

# 2004 Paper 6 Question 11

## Semantics of Programming Languages

L1 has the expression syntax

$$\begin{aligned} e ::= & n \mid b \mid e_1 \text{ op } e_2 \mid \mathbf{if } e_1 \mathbf{then } e_2 \mathbf{else } e_3 \\ | \ell ::= & e \mid !\ell \mid \mathbf{skip} \mid e_1; e_2 \mid \mathbf{while } e_1 \mathbf{do } e_2 \end{aligned}$$

- (a) Give the reduction rules for conditionals and while-loops. [3 marks]
  - (b) Define *semantic equivalence*,  $e_1 \simeq_{\Gamma}^T e_2$ , for L1. [4 marks]
  - (c) For each of the following pairs, state whether they are semantically equivalent; if not, state a nontrivial condition on the subexpressions  $e, e_1, e_2, e_3$  that makes them so, and explain informally why it suffices.
- (i)  $\ell := 3; e \stackrel{?}{\simeq} e; l := 3$  [3 marks]
- (ii)  $e; (\mathbf{if } e_1 \mathbf{then } e_2 \mathbf{else } e_3) \stackrel{?}{\simeq} \mathbf{if } e_1 \mathbf{then } e; e_2 \mathbf{else } e; e_3$  [3 marks]
- (iii)  $e; (\mathbf{if } e_1 \mathbf{then } e_2 \mathbf{else } e_3) \stackrel{?}{\simeq} \mathbf{if } e; e_1 \mathbf{then } e_2 \mathbf{else } e_3$  [3 marks]
- (iv)  $\mathbf{while } !l \geq 0 \mathbf{do } (e_2; e_3) \stackrel{?}{\simeq} \mathbf{if } !l \geq 0 \mathbf{then } e_2; (\mathbf{while } !l \geq 0 \mathbf{do } (e_3; e_2)); e_3 \mathbf{else skip}$  [4 marks]