

1993 Paper 9 Question 6

Optimising Compilers

Consider a flowgraph, containing 3-address instructions, which represents a source-level routine. Let e be an expression (e may be considered to be a right-hand side 3-address instruction, i.e. either x or $x \text{ op } y$ where x and y are variables).

We say that e is *very busy* at a node n if all paths from n compute the expression e at least once *and* each such computation yields the same value as evaluating e at n would (i.e. no modification of its variables occurs between n and the first occurrence of e on any path from n).

Let $VB(n)$ be the set of very busy expressions at n .

- (a) Give data flow equations for $VB(n)$. [4 marks]
- (b) Give the relationship, if any, to the set $Avail(n)$ of expressions available at n including the direction (forwards/backwards) of the analyses. Indicate whether either inclusion $VB(n) \subseteq Avail(n)$ or $Avail(n) \subseteq VB(n)$ holds. [4 marks]
- (c) Sketch an algorithm to compute $VB(n)$, briefly commenting on any initialisation. [4 marks]

Suppose now that we compile a program in a call-by-need functional language into 3-address code using closures (i.e. $\lambda().e'$) to represent laziness. Given a functional definition $f(x, y, z) = e$ we have notions of f being strict in, or needing, its second parameter y .

Point out similarities and differences between these notions and that of y (or $y()$) being very busy at some, to be determined, point in the 3-address code form of e . [8 marks]

Hint: you may find it helpful to consider separately

- (a) a case where e uses only the conditional function and strict primitive functions such as $+$
- (b) a case such as $f(x, y) = g(x, y + 1)$