## SECTION 7 Advanced data structures SECTION 7.1

# Aggregate analysis







Running time of each operation, in a run of Dijkstra's algorithm

popmin push decreasekey

with a binary heap

with a binary heap

time

with a binomial heap

total time =  $O(V) \times c_{\text{popmin}}$ + $O(E) \times c_{\text{push/dec.key}}$  Don't worry about the worst-case cost of each individual operation.

Worry about the worst-case aggregate cost of a sequence of operations.

page 51

## Adding an item to a binomial heap



## Adding an item to a binomial heap



page 51

Adding a second item to a binomial heap



Norst-cape cost of add is O(log n) n= # items is heap. Norst-cose cost of two adds is O(1+log n)

How can we reason about aggregate costs?

- Just be clever and work hard
- Use an accounting trick called *amortized costs*

## Analysis of running time for recursive <u>dfs</u>



## SECTION 7.2, 7.3 Amortized costs

#### class MinList<T>:

def append(T value):
 # append a new value

## def flush(): # empty the list

def foreach(f):
 # do f(x) for each item

### def T min(): 4----

- # return the smallest
  # (without removing it
- # (without removing it)

## ODDD



and is O(1)

min is O(n)

oppend is O(1) min is O(1)

### Stage 0

Use a linked list

 min iterates over the entire list

## Stage 1

- Use a linked list
- min caches its result, so that next time it only needs to iterate over newer values

## Stage 2

- Use a linked list
- Store the current minimum, and update it on every append

## Stage 3

- min caches its result, the same as Stage 1
- ... but we argue it's just as good as Stage 2











We get the same anpuer for aggregate cost whether ne add true costs or "amortized" costs.

#### Stage 3

- min caches its result, the same as Stage 1
- ... but we argue it's just as good as Stage 2





### FUNDAMENTAL INEQUALITY OF AMORTIZATION

Let there be a sequence of m operations, applied to an initiallyempty data structure, whose true costs are  $c_1, c_2, ..., c_m$ . Suppose someone invents  $c'_1, c'_2, ..., c'_m$ . These are called **amortized costs** if

$$c_1 + \dots + c_j \le c'_1 + \dots + c'_j$$
 for all  $j \le m$   
a generate time cost  $agg$  amortized  
of a sequence of ops those operations

for AN' sequence of ops.

I've designed a data structure that supports push at amortized cost O(1) and popmin at amortized cost O(log M), where the number of items never exceeds N.



### This makes it easy for the user to reason about aggregate costs.

For any sequence of  $m_1 \times \text{push}$  and  $m_2 \times \text{popmin}$ , applied to an initially empty data structure,

worst-case aggregate cost  $\leq m_1 O(1) + m_2 O(\log N) = O(m_1 + m_2 \log N)$ 

i.e. there exist  $N_0$  and  $\kappa > 0$  such that, for any  $N \ge N_0$ , and for any sequence of of  $m_1 \times \text{push}$  and  $m_2 \times \text{popmin}$ on a data structure that starts empty and always has  $\le N$  elements,

worst-case aggregate cost  $\leq \kappa(m_1 + m_2 \log N)$ 

## SECTION 7.4 How on earth are we meant to come up with useful amortized costs?

SECTION 7.5 *Please review the Binary and Binomial heaps, before Wednesday's lecture.*