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## The Original Article (1954)

# SOLUTION OF A LARGE-SCALE TRAVELING-SALESMAN PROBLEM* 

G. DANTZIG, R. FULKERSON, and S. JOHNSON<br>The Rand Corporation, Santa Monica, California

(Received August 9, 1954)

> It is shown that a certain tour of 49 cities, one in each of the 48 states and Washington, D. C., has the shortest road distance.

THE TRAVELING-SALESMAN PROBLEM might be described as follows: Find the shortest route (tour) for a salesman starting from a given city, visiting each of a specified group of cities, and then returning to the original point of departure. More generally, given an $n$ by $n$ symmetric matrix $D=\left(d_{I J}\right)$, where $d_{I J}$ represents the 'distance' from $I$ to $J$, arrange the points in a cyclic order in such a way that the sum of the $d_{I J}$ between consecutive points is minimal. Since there are only a finite number of possibilities (at most $1 / 2(n-1)!$ ) to consider, the problem is to devise a method of picking out the optimal arrangement which is reasonably efficient for fairly large values of $n$. Although algorithms have been devised for problems of similar nature, e.g., the optimal assignment problem, ${ }^{3,7,8}$ little is known about the traveling-salesman problem. We do not claim that this note alters the situation very much; what we shall do is outline a way of approaching the problem that sometimes, at least, enables one to find an optimal path and prove it so. In particular, it will be shown that a certain arrangement of 49 cities, one in each of the 48 states and Washington, D. C., is best, the $d_{I J}$ used representing road distances as taken from an atlas.

## Travelling Salesman Problem: The 42 (49) Cities

1. Manchester, N. H.
2. Montpelier, Vt.
3. Detroit, Mich.
4. Cleveland, Ohio
5. Charleston, W. Va.
6. Louisville, Ky.
7. Indianapolis, Ind.
8. Chicago, Ill.
9. Milwaukee, Wis.
10. Minneapolis, Minn.
11. Pierre, S. D.
12. Bismarck, N. D.
13. Helena, Mont.
14. Seattle, Wash.
15. Portland, Ore.
16. Boise, Idaho
17. Salt Lake City, Utah
18. Carson City, Nev.
19. Los Angeles, Calif.
20. Phoenix, Ariz.
21. Santa Fe, N. M.
22. Denver, Colo.
23. Cheyenne, Wyo.
24. Omaha, Neb.
25. Des Moines, Iowa
26. Kansas City, Mo.
27. Topeka, Kans.
28. Oklahoma City, Okla.
29. Dallas, Tex.
30. Little Rock, Ark.
31. Memphis, Tenn.
32. Jackson, Miss.
33. New Orleans, La.
34. Birmingham, Ala.
35. Atlanta, Ga.
36. Jacksonville, Fla.
37. Columbia, S. C.
38. Raleigh, N. C.
39. Richmond, Va.
40. Washington, D. C.
41. Boston, Mass.
42. Portland, Me.
A. Baltimore, Md.
B. Wilmington, Del.
C. Philadelphia, Penn.
D. Newark, N. J.
E. New York, N. Y.
F. Hartford, Conn.
G. Providence, R. I.

## Computing the Optimal Tour



We are going to use our own implementation of the Simplex-Algorithm along with a visulation to solve a series of linear programs in order to solve the TSP instance optimally!


There are a couple of exercises spread across the recordings to test your understanding!
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## Sorting Networks

- only perform comparisons
- can only handle inputs of a fixed size
- sequence of comparisons is set in advance
- Comparisons can be performed in parallel

Allows to sort $n$ numbers in sublinear time!

Simple concept, but surprisingly deep and complex theory!
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Tracing back a path must never cycle back on itself and go through the same comparator twice.
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This network would not be a sorting network (Exercise 2)
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Figure 27.4 The operation of the comparator in the proof of Lemma 27.1. The function $f$ is monotonically increasing.

## Zero-One Principle

Zero-One Principle: A sorting networks works correctly on arbitrary inputs if it works correctly on binary inputs.

## Lemma 27.1

If a comparison network transforms the input $a=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle$ into the output $b=\left\langle b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\rangle$, then for any monotonically increasing function $f$, the network transforms $f(a)=\left\langle f\left(a_{1}\right), f\left(a_{2}\right), \ldots, f\left(a_{n}\right)\right\rangle$ into $f(b)=\left\langle f\left(b_{1}\right), f\left(b_{2}\right), \ldots, f\left(b_{n}\right)\right\rangle$.

## Theorem 27.2 (Zero-One Principle)

If a comparison network with $n$ inputs sorts all $2^{n}$ possible sequences of 0's and 1's correctly, then it sorts all sequences of arbitrary numbers correctly.

## Proof of the Zero-One Principle

Theorem 27.2 (Zero-One Principle)
If a comparison network with $n$ inputs sorts all $2^{n}$ possible sequences of 0's and 1's correctly, then it sorts all sequences of arbitrary numbers correctly.

## Proof of the Zero-One Principle

## Theorem 27.2 (Zero-One Principle)

If a comparison network with $n$ inputs sorts all $2^{n}$ possible sequences of 0's and 1's correctly, then it sorts all sequences of arbitrary numbers correctly.

Proof:

## Proof of the Zero-One Principle

## Theorem 27.2 (Zero-One Principle)

If a comparison network with $n$ inputs sorts all $2^{n}$ possible sequences of 0's and 1's correctly, then it sorts all sequences of arbitrary numbers correctly.

## Proof:

- For the sake of contradiction, suppose the network does not correctly sort.


## Proof of the Zero-One Principle

## Theorem 27.2 (Zero-One Principle)

If a comparison network with $n$ inputs sorts all $2^{n}$ possible sequences of 0's and 1's correctly, then it sorts all sequences of arbitrary numbers correctly.

## Proof:

- For the sake of contradiction, suppose the network does not correctly sort.
- Let $a=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle$ be the input with $a_{i}<a_{j}$, but the network places $a_{j}$ before $a_{i}$ in the output


## Proof of the Zero-One Principle

## Theorem 27.2 (Zero-One Principle)

If a comparison network with $n$ inputs sorts all $2^{n}$ possible sequences of 0's and 1's correctly, then it sorts all sequences of arbitrary numbers correctly.

## Proof:

- For the sake of contradiction, suppose the network does not correctly sort.
- Let $a=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle$ be the input with $a_{i}<a_{j}$, but the network places $a_{j}$ before $a_{i}$ in the output
- Define a monotonically increasing function $f$ as:


## Proof of the Zero-One Principle

## Theorem 27.2 (Zero-One Principle)

If a comparison network with $n$ inputs sorts all $2^{n}$ possible sequences of 0's and 1's correctly, then it sorts all sequences of arbitrary numbers correctly.

## Proof:

- For the sake of contradiction, suppose the network does not correctly sort.
- Let $a=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle$ be the input with $a_{i}<a_{j}$, but the network places $a_{j}$ before $a_{i}$ in the output
- Define a monotonically increasing function $f$ as:

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x \leq a_{i} \\ 1 & \text { if } x>a_{i}\end{cases}
$$

## Proof of the Zero-One Principle

## Theorem 27.2 (Zero-One Principle)

If a comparison network with $n$ inputs sorts all $2^{n}$ possible sequences of 0's and 1's correctly, then it sorts all sequences of arbitrary numbers correctly.

## Proof:

- For the sake of contradiction, suppose the network does not correctly sort.
- Let $a=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle$ be the input with $a_{i}<a_{j}$, but the network places $a_{j}$ before $a_{i}$ in the output
- Define a monotonically increasing function $f$ as:

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x \leq a_{i} \\ 1 & \text { if } x>a_{i}\end{cases}
$$

- Since the network places $a_{j}$ before $a_{i}$, by the previous lemma


## Proof of the Zero-One Principle

## Theorem 27.2 (Zero-One Principle)

If a comparison network with $n$ inputs sorts all $2^{n}$ possible sequences of 0's and 1's correctly, then it sorts all sequences of arbitrary numbers correctly.

## Proof:

- For the sake of contradiction, suppose the network does not correctly sort.
- Let $a=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle$ be the input with $a_{i}<a_{j}$, but the network places $a_{j}$ before $a_{i}$ in the output
- Define a monotonically increasing function $f$ as:

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x \leq a_{i} \\ 1 & \text { if } x>a_{i}\end{cases}
$$

- Since the network places $a_{j}$ before $a_{i}$, by the previous lemma $\Rightarrow f\left(a_{j}\right)$ is placed before $f\left(a_{i}\right)$


## Proof of the Zero-One Principle
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If a comparison network with $n$ inputs sorts all $2^{n}$ possible sequences of 0's and 1's correctly, then it sorts all sequences of arbitrary numbers correctly.

## Proof:

- For the sake of contradiction, suppose the network does not correctly sort.
- Let $a=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle$ be the input with $a_{i}<a_{j}$, but the network places $a_{j}$ before $a_{i}$ in the output
- Define a monotonically increasing function $f$ as:

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x \leq a_{i} \\ 1 & \text { if } x>a_{i}\end{cases}
$$

- Since the network places $a_{j}$ before $a_{i}$, by the previous lemma $\Rightarrow f\left(a_{j}\right)$ is placed before $f\left(a_{i}\right)$
- But $f\left(a_{j}\right)=1$ and $f\left(a_{i}\right)=0$, which contradicts the assumption that the network sorts all sequences of 0's and 1's correctly
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## Bitonic Sequences

## Bitonic Sequence

A sequence is bitonic if it monotonically increases and then monotonically decreases, or can be circularly shifted to become monotonically increasing and then monotonically decreasing.

## Examples:

- $\langle 1,4,6,8,3,2\rangle \checkmark$
- $\langle 6,9,4,2,3,5\rangle \quad \checkmark$
- $\langle 9,8,3,2,4,6\rangle \checkmark$
- $\langle 4,5,7,1,2,6\rangle$
- binary sequences: $0^{i} 1^{j} 0^{k}$, or, $1^{i} 0^{j} 1^{k}$, for $i, j, k \geq 0$.
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This suggests a recursive approach, since it now suffices to sort the top and bottom half separately.

The Bitonic Sorter


Figure 27.9 The comparison network Bitonic-Sorter $[n$ ], shown here for $n=8$. (a) The recursive construction: Half-CLEANER[ $n$ ] followed by two copies of Bitonic-Sorter[ $n / 2$ ] that operate in parallel. (b) The network after unrolling the recursion. Each half-cleaner is shaded. Sample zero-one values are shown on the wires.
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Figure 27.9 The comparison network Bitonic-Sorter $[n$ ], shown here for $n=8$. (a) The recursive construction: HALF-CLEANER[ $n$ ] followed by two copies of Bitonic-Sorter[ $n / 2$ ] that operate in parallel. (b) The network after unrolling the recursion. Each half-cleaner is shaded. Sample zero-one values are shown on the wires.

Recursive Formula for depth $D(n)$ :
Henceforth we will always assume that $n$ is a power of 2 .

$$
D(n)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } n=1 \\ D(n / 2)+1 & \text { if } n=2^{k}\end{cases}
$$

BITONIC-SORTER $[n]$ has depth $\log n$ and sorts any zero-one bitonic sequence.
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## Basic Idea:

- consider two given sequences $X=00000111, Y=00001111$
- concatenating $X$ with $Y^{R}$ (the reversal of $Y$ ) $\Rightarrow 0000011111110000$

This sequence is bitonic!
Hence in order to merge the sequences $X$ and $Y$, it suffices to perform a bitonic sort on $X$ concatenated with $Y^{R}$.
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Construction of a Merging Network (2/2)
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Figure 27.11 A network that merges two sorted input sequences into one sorted output sequence. The network MERGER $[n]$ can be viewed as BitOnic-SORTER $[n]$ with the first half-cleaner altered to compare inputs $i$ and $n-i+1$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n / 2$. Here, $n=8$. (a) The network decomposed into the first stage followed by two parallel copies of Bitonic-Sorter $[n / 2]$. (b) The same network with the recursion unrolled. Sample zero-one values are shown on the wires, and the stages are shaded.
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can be seen as a parallel version of merge sort
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Recursion for $D(n)$ :

$$
D(n)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } n=1 \\ D(n / 2)+\log n & \text { if } n=2^{k} .\end{cases}
$$

Solution: $D(n)=\Theta\left(\log ^{2} n\right)$.

Sorter[ $n$ ] has depth $\Theta\left(\log ^{2} n\right)$ and sorts any input.
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A perfect halver is a comparison network that, given any input, places the $n / 2$ smaller keys in $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n / 2}$ and the $n / 2$ larger keys in $b_{n / 2+1}, \ldots, b_{n}$.
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- $G$ has $n$ vertices ( $n / 2$ on each side)
- the edge-set is union of $d$ perfect matchings
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## Expander Graphs:

- probabilistic construction "easy": take $d$ (disjoint) random matchings
- explicit construction is a deep mathematical problem with ties to number theory, group theory, combinatorics etc.
- many applications in networking, complexity theory and coding theory
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- Same argument $\Rightarrow$ at most $\epsilon \cdot k$, $\epsilon:=1 /(\mu+1)$, of the $k$ largest input keys are
 placed in $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n / 2}$.
- typical application of expander graphs in parallel algorithms
- Much more work needed to construct the AKS sorting network


## AKS network vs. Batcher's network



Donald E. Knuth (Stanford)
"Batcher's method is much better, unless $n$ exceeds the total memory capacity of all computers on earth!"


Richard J. Lipton (Georgia Tech)
"The AKS sorting network is galactic: it needs that $n$ be larger than $2^{78}$ or so to finally be smaller than Batcher's network for $n$ items."


## Outline

## Outline of this Course

## Some Highlights

## Introduction to Sorting Networks

## Batcher's Sorting Network

Bonus Material: Construction of an Optimal Sorting Network (non-examinable)

Counting Networks
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Switching (Shuffling) Networks

- creates a random permutation of $n$ items
- special case of Permutation Networks


Counting Networks

- balances any stream of tokens over $n$ wires
- special case of Balancing Networks
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Number of tokens differs by at most one

## Bitonic Counting Network

## Counting Network (Formal Definition)
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## Counting Network (Formal Definition)

1. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be the number of tokens (ever received) on the designated input wires
2. Let $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}$ be the number of tokens (ever received) on the designated output wires
3. In a quiescent state: $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}$
4. A counting network is a balancing network with the step-property:

$$
0 \leq y_{i}-y_{j} \leq 1 \text { for any } i<j
$$

Bitonic Counting Network: Take Batcher's Sorting Network and replace each comparator by a balancer.

## Correctness of the Bitonic Counting Network (non-examinable)

Facts
Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ have the step property. Then:

1. We have $\sum_{i=1}^{n / 2} x_{2 i-1}=\left\lceil\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right\rceil$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{n / 2} x_{2 i}=\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right\rfloor$
2. If $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}$, then $x_{i}=y_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$.
3. If $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}+1$, then $\exists!j=1,2, \ldots, n$ with $x_{j}=y_{j}+1$ and $x_{i}=y_{i}$ for $j \neq i$.
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Counting can be done as follows: Add local counter to each output wire $i$, to assign consecutive numbers $i, i+n, i+2 \cdot n, \ldots$

## A Periodic Counting Network [Aspnes, Herlihy, Shavit, JACM 1994]
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Consists of $\log n$ BLOCK[ $n]$ networks each of which has depth $\log n$
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## Counting vs. Sorting

If a network is a counting network, then it is also a sorting network.

## Proof.

- Let $C$ be a counting network, and $S$ be the corresponding sorting network
- Consider an input sequence $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} \in\{0,1\}^{n}$ to $S$
- Define an input $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in\{0,1\}^{n}$ to $C$ by $x_{i}=1$ iff $a_{i}=0$.
- $C$ is a counting network $\Rightarrow$ all ones will be routed to the lower wires
- $S$ corresponds to $C \Rightarrow$ all zeros will be routed to the lower wires
- By the Zero-One Principle, $S$ is a sorting network.



Exercise: Consider a network which is a sorting network, but not a counting network.
Hint: Try to find a simple network with 4 wires that corresponds to a basic sequential sorting algorithm.

