Quantum Mechanics
Basic Principles

What is quantum mechanics?
It is a framework for the development of physical theories.
It is not a complete physical theory in its own right.

Operating system
Applications software

Specific rules
Quantum mechanics
Quantum electrodynamics (QED)

QM consists of four mathematical postulates which lay the ground rules for our description of the world.

Newton's laws of motion
Newtonian gravitation

How successful is quantum mechanics?
It is unbelievably successful.
Not just for the small stuff!
QM crucial to explain why stars shine, how the Universe formed, and the stability of matter.

No deviations from quantum mechanics are known
Most physicists believe that any "theory of everything" will be a quantum mechanical theory

A conceptual issue, the so-called "measurement problem", remains to be clarified.
Attempts to describe gravitation in the framework of quantum mechanics have (so far) failed.

The structure of quantum mechanics

linear algebra
Dirac notation

4 postulates of quantum mechanics

1. How to describe quantum states of a closed system.
2. How to describe quantum dynamics.
3. How to describe measurements of a quantum system.
4. How to describe quantum state of a composite system.

"state vectors" and "state space"
"unitary evolution"
"projective measurements"
"tensor products"

Example: qubits
(two-level quantum systems)

|\psi> = \alpha |0> + \beta |1>

"Normalization"
|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1

|0> and |1> are the computational basis states

"All we do is draw little arrows on a piece of paper - that's all."
- Richard Feynman

Postulate 1: Rough Form

Associated to any quantum system is a complex vector space known as state space.
The state of a closed quantum system is a unit vector in state space.
Example: we'll work mainly with qubits, which have state space C^2.

Quantum mechanics does not prescribe the state spaces of specific systems, such as electrons. That's the job of a physical theory like quantum electrodynamics.
A few conventions

We write vectors in state space as: \( |\psi\rangle = \psi \)

This is the \textit{ket} notation.

We always assume that our physical systems have finite-dimensional state spaces.

\[
|\psi\rangle = \alpha_0 |0\rangle + \alpha_1 |1\rangle + \alpha_2 |2\rangle + \cdots + \alpha_{d-1} |d-1\rangle
\]

Qudit

\[\begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_0 \\
\alpha_1 \\
\vdots \\
\alpha_{d-1}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Dynamics: quantum logic gates

Quantum not gate:

\[
\begin{array}{c|c}
\text{Input qubit} & \text{Output qubit} \\
\hline
|0\rangle & X \\
\hline
|1\rangle & 0
\end{array}
\]

Quantum logic gates:

\[
X|0\rangle = |1\rangle, \quad X|1\rangle = |0\rangle.
\]

\[
\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle \rightarrow \gamma|0\rangle + \delta|1\rangle
\]

Matrix representation:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\alpha & \beta \\
\delta & \gamma
\end{bmatrix}
\]

General dynamics of a closed quantum system (including logic gates) can be represented as a unitary matrix.

Unitary matrices

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Hermitian conjugation: taking the adjoint

\[
A^\dagger = (A^\dagger)^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix}
a^\dagger & c^\dagger \\
-b^\dagger & d^\dagger
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\(A\) is said to be unitary if \(A^\dagger A = A A^\dagger = I\)

We usually write unitary matrices as \(U\).

Example:

\[
XX^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Nomenclature tips

\[
\text{matrix = (linear) operator = (linear) transformation = (linear) map = quantum gate (modulo unitarity)}
\]

Postulate 2

The evolution of a closed quantum system is described by a unitary transformation.

\[|\psi\rangle = U|\phi\rangle\]

Why unitaries?

Unitary maps are the only linear maps that preserve normalization.

\[
|\psi\rangle = U|\phi\rangle \implies \langle\psi|\psi\rangle = \langle U|\phi|U\rangle = 1
\]

Exercise: prove that unitary evolution preserves normalization.
Pauli gates

X gate (AKA $\sigma_x$ or $\sigma_x$)

\[ X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

Y gate (AKA $\sigma_y$ or $\sigma_y$

\[ Y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

Z gate (AKA $\sigma_z$ or $\sigma_z$

\[ Z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \]

Notation: $I \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Exercise: prove that $XZ = iZ$

Exercise: prove that $X^2 = Y^2 = Z^2 = I$

Measuring a qubit: a rough and ready prescription

\[ |\psi\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle \]

Quantum mechanics DOES NOT allow us to determine $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

We can, however, read out limited information about $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

"Measuring in the computational basis"

\[ P(0) = |\alpha|^2 \quad P(1) = |\beta|^2 \]

Measurement unavoidably disturbs the system, leaving it in a state $|0\rangle$ or $|1\rangle$ determined by the outcome.

More general measurements

Let $|e_0\rangle, \ldots, |e_2\rangle$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{C}^d$.

A "measurement of $|\psi\rangle$ in the basis $|e_0\rangle, \ldots, |e_2\rangle$" gives result $j$ with probability

\[ P(j) = |(\alpha|e_j\rangle + \beta|e_j\rangle)|^2. \]

Reminder:

\[ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} X \\ \delta \end{bmatrix} = \alpha X + \beta \delta \]

Measurement unavoidably disturbs the system, leaving it in a state $|e_j\rangle$ determined by the outcome.

Qubit example

\[ |\psi\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle \]

Introduce orthonormal basis

\[ |\uparrow\rangle = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \quad |\downarrow\rangle = \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \]

\[ P(\uparrow) = \frac{|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2}{2} \quad P(\downarrow) = \frac{|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2}{2} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>\alpha</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Inner products and duals

“Young man, in mathematics you don’t understand things, you just get used to them.” - John von Neumann

The inner product is used to define the dual of a vector |v⟩.

If |v⟩ lives in C^n then the dual of |v⟩ is a function ⟨v|: C^n → C defined by ⟨v|(|φ⟩) = ⟨v|φ⟩

Simplified notation: ⟨v|φ⟩

Example: ⟨01|1⟩ = [1 0] • [a] = a

Properties: ⟨a|b⟩ = ⟨b|a⟩', since ⟨(a|b),|φ⟩⟩ = ⟨(b|a),|φ⟩⟩

A|b⟩ ↔ |b|A', since ⟨A|b⟩,|c⟩⟩ = ⟨|b⟩,A'||c⟩⟩ = ⟨|b⟩|A|c⟩

Duals as row vectors

Suppose |a⟩=∑a_j|j⟩ and |b⟩=∑b_j|j⟩. Then

⟨a|b⟩ = ⟨|a⟩|b⟩⟩ = ∑ a_j b_j

= [a_1 a_2 ... a_n] [b_1 b_2 ... b_n]

This suggests the very useful identification of |a⟩ with the row vector [a_1 a_2 ... a_n].

Postulate 3: rough form

If we measure |v⟩ in an orthonormal basis |e_1⟩,...,|e_n⟩, then we obtain the result j with probability Pr(j) = |⟨e_j|v⟩|^2.

The measurement disturbs the system, leaving it in a state |e_j⟩ determined by the outcome.

The measurement problem

Quantum system

Measuring apparatus

Rest of the Universe

Postulate 3

Postulates 1 and 2

Postulate 3

Research problem: solve the measurement problem.

Irrelevance of “global phase”

Suppose we measure |v⟩ in the orthonormal basis |e_1⟩,...,|e_n⟩. Then

Pr(j) = |⟨e_j|v⟩|^2.

Suppose we measure e^{iθ}|v⟩ in the orthonormal basis |e_1⟩,...,|e_n⟩. Then

Pr(j) = |⟨e_j|e^{iθ}|v⟩|^2 = |⟨e_j|v⟩|^2.

The global phase factor e^{iθ} is thus unobservable, and we may identify the states |v⟩ and e^{iθ}|v⟩.

Revised postulate 1

Associated to any quantum system is a complex inner product space known as state space.

The state of a closed quantum system is a unit vector in state space.

Note: These inner product spaces are often called Hilbert spaces.
**Multiple-qubit systems**

\[ a_0 |00\rangle + a_1 |01\rangle + a_2 |10\rangle + a_3 |11\rangle \]

Measurement in the computational basis: \( P(x,y) = |a_y|^2 \)

General state of \( n \) qubits: \( \sum_{x\in\{0,1\}^n} a_x |x\rangle \)

Classically, requires \( O(2^n) \) bits to describe the state.

“Hilbert space is a big place” - Carlton Caves

Perhaps [...] we need a mathematical theory of quantum automata. [...] the quantum state space has far greater capacity than the classical one: [...] in the quantum case we get the exponential growth [...] the quantum behavior of the system might be much more complex than its classical simulation.” - Yu Manin (1980)

---

**Some conventions implicit in Postulate 4**

Alice

Bob

If Alice prepares her system in state \( |a\rangle \), and Bob prepares his in state \( |b\rangle \), then the joint state is \( |a\rangle \otimes |b\rangle \).

Conversely, if the joint state is \( |a\rangle \otimes |b\rangle \) then we say that Alice’s system is in the state \( |a\rangle \), and Bob’s system is in the state \( |b\rangle \).

“Alise applies the gate \( U \) to her system” means that \( (U \otimes I) \) is applied to the joint system.

\( (A \otimes B) |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle = A|v\rangle \otimes B|w\rangle \)

---

**Postulate 4**

The state space of a composite physical system is the tensor product of the state spaces of the component systems.

**Example:** Two-qubit state space is \( C^2 \otimes C^2 = C^4 \)

Computational basis states: \( |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle; |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle; |1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle; |1\rangle \otimes |1\rangle \)

Alternative notations: \( |00\rangle; |01\rangle; |10\rangle; |11\rangle \)

**Properties**

\[ z |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle = (z |v\rangle) \otimes |w\rangle = |v\rangle \otimes (z |w\rangle) \]

\[ (|v\rangle + |w\rangle) \otimes |v\rangle = |w\rangle \otimes |v\rangle + |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle \]

\[ |v\rangle \otimes (|w\rangle = |w\rangle) + |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle + |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle \]

---

**Examples**

Suppose a NOT gate is applied to the second qubit of the state

\[ \sqrt{3} |00\rangle + \sqrt{3} |01\rangle + \sqrt{2} |10\rangle + \sqrt{1} |11\rangle \]

The resulting state is

\[ \sqrt{3} |01\rangle + \sqrt{3} |00\rangle + \sqrt{2} |10\rangle + \sqrt{1} |11\rangle \]

\[ \sqrt{3} |00\rangle + \sqrt{3} |01\rangle + \sqrt{2} |10\rangle + \sqrt{1} |11\rangle \]

**Summary**

Postulate 1: A closed quantum system is described by a unit vector in a complex inner product space known as state space.

Postulate 2: The evolution of a closed quantum system is described by a unitary transformation.

\[ |v\rangle \leftarrow U |v\rangle \]

Postulate 3: If we measure \( |v\rangle \) in an orthonormal basis \( |e_1\rangle, |e_2\rangle, \), then we obtain the result \( j \) with probability

\[ P(j) = \langle e_j | v \rangle^2 \]

The measurement disturbs the system, leaving it in a state \( |e_j\rangle \) determined by the outcome.

Postulate 4: The state space of a composite physical system is the tensor product of the state spaces of the component systems.

---

**Quantum entanglement**

\[ |\psi\rangle = \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \]

\[ |\phi\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle \]

\[ |\psi\rangle \neq |a\rangle \otimes |b\rangle \]

\[ |\psi\rangle = (\alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle)(|0\rangle + \delta |1\rangle) \]

\[ = \alpha^2 |00\rangle + \beta \delta |01\rangle + \alpha \beta \delta |10\rangle + \beta \delta |11\rangle \]

\[ \Rightarrow \beta = 0 \text{ or } \gamma = 0. \]

Schroedinger (1935): “I would not call [entanglement] one but rather the characteristic trait of quantum mechanics, the one that enforces its entire departure from classical lines of thought.”