

# Lecture 9

# STLC equations

take the form  $\Gamma \vdash s = t : A$  where  $\Gamma \vdash s : A$  and  $\Gamma \vdash t : A$  are provable.

Such an equation is satisfied by the semantics in a ccc if  $M[\Gamma \vdash s : A]$  and  $M[\Gamma \vdash t : A]$  are equal  $\mathbf{C}$ -morphisms  $M[\Gamma] \rightarrow M[A]$ .

**Qu:** which equations are always satisfied in any ccc?

**Ans:**  $\beta\eta$ -equivalence...

# STLC $\beta\eta$ -Equality

The relation  $\Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A$  (where  $\Gamma$  ranges over typing environments,  $s$  and  $t$  over terms and  $A$  over types) is inductively defined by the following rules:

# STLC $\beta\eta$ -Equality

The relation  $\Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A$  (where  $\Gamma$  ranges over typing environments,  $s$  and  $t$  over terms and  $A$  over types) is inductively defined by the following rules:

►  $\beta$ -conversions

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B \quad \Gamma \vdash s : A}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A. t)s =_{\beta\eta} t[s/x] : B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash s : A \quad \Gamma \vdash t : B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fst}(s, t) =_{\beta\eta} s : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash s : A \quad \Gamma \vdash t : B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{snd}(s, t) =_{\beta\eta} t : B}$$

# STLC $\beta\eta$ -Equality

The relation  $\Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A$  (where  $\Gamma$  ranges over typing environments,  $s$  and  $t$  over terms and  $A$  over types) is inductively defined by the following rules:

- ▶  $\beta$ -conversions
- ▶  $\eta$ -conversions

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \rightarrow B \quad x \text{ does not occur in } t}{\Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} (\lambda x : A. t x) : A \rightarrow B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \times B}{\Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} (\text{fst } t, \text{snd } t) : A \times B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \text{unit}}{\Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} () : \text{unit}}$$

# STLC $\beta\eta$ -Equality

The relation  $\Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A$  (where  $\Gamma$  ranges over typing environments,  $s$  and  $t$  over terms and  $A$  over types) is inductively defined by the following rules:

- ▶  $\beta$ -conversions
- ▶  $\eta$ -conversions
- ▶ congruence rules

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} t' : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. t =_{\beta\eta} \lambda x : A. t' : A \rightarrow B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} s' : A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} t' : A}{\Gamma \vdash s t =_{\beta\eta} s' t' : B} \text{ etc}$$

# STLC $\beta\eta$ -Equality

The relation  $\Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A$  (where  $\Gamma$  ranges over typing environments,  $s$  and  $t$  over terms and  $A$  over types) is inductively defined by the following rules:

- ▶  $\beta$ -conversions
- ▶  $\eta$ -conversions
- ▶ congruence rules
- ▶  $=_{\beta\eta}$  is reflexive, symmetric and transitive

$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A}{\Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} t : A}$	$\frac{\Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A}{\Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} s : A}$
$\frac{\Gamma \vdash r =_{\beta\eta} s : A \quad \Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A}{\Gamma \vdash r =_{\beta\eta} t : A}$	

# STLC $\beta\eta$ -Equality

**Soundness Theorem** for semantics of STLC in a ccc.  
If  $\Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A$  is provable, then in any ccc

$$M[\Gamma \vdash s : A] = M[\Gamma \vdash t : A]$$

are equal **C**-morphisms  $M[\Gamma] \rightarrow M[A]$ .

**Proof** is by induction on the structure of the proof of  $\Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A$ .

Here we just check the case of  $\beta$ -conversion for functions.

So suppose we have  $\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B$  and  $\Gamma \vdash s : A$ . We have to see that

$$M[\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A. t)s : B] = M[\Gamma \vdash t[s/x] : B]$$



Suppose

$$M[\Gamma] = X$$

$$M[A] = Y$$

$$M[B] = Z$$

$$M[\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B] = f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$$

$$M[\Gamma \vdash s : A] = g : X \rightarrow Z$$

Then

$$M[\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. t : A \rightarrow B] = \text{cur } f : X \rightarrow Z^Y$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& M[\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A. t)s : B] \\
&= \text{app} \circ \langle \text{cur } f, g \rangle \\
&= \text{app} \circ (\text{cur } f \times \text{id}_Y) \circ \langle \text{id}_X, g \rangle && \text{since } (a \times b) \circ \langle c, d \rangle = \langle a \circ c, b \circ d \rangle \\
&= f \circ \langle \text{id}_X, g \rangle && \text{by definition of cur } f \\
&= M[\Gamma \vdash t[s/x] : B] && \text{by the Substitution Theorem}
\end{aligned}$$

as required.

# The internal language of a ccc, $\mathbf{C}$

- ▶ one ground type for each  $\mathbf{C}$ -object  $X$
- ▶ for each  $X \in \mathbf{C}$ , one constant  $f^X$  for each  $\mathbf{C}$ -morphism  $f : 1 \rightarrow X$  (“global element” of the object  $X$ )

The types and terms of STLC over this language usefully describe constructions on the objects and morphisms of  $\mathbf{C}$  using its cartesian closed structure, but in an “element-theoretic” way.

For example. . .

# Example

In any ccc  $\mathbf{C}$ , for any  $X, Y, Z \in \mathbf{C}$  there is an isomorphism

$$Z^{(X \times Y)} \cong (Z^Y)^X$$

# Example

In any ccc  $\mathbf{C}$ , for any  $X, Y, Z \in \mathbf{C}$  there is an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{Z}^{(X \times Y)} \cong (\mathbf{Z}^Y)^X$$

which in the internal language of  $\mathbf{C}$  is described by the terms

$$\diamond \vdash s : ((X \times Y) \rightarrow Z) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow (Y \rightarrow Z))$$

$$\diamond \vdash t : (X \rightarrow (Y \rightarrow Z)) \rightarrow ((X \times Y) \rightarrow Z)$$

where  $\begin{cases} s & \triangleq \lambda f : (X \times Y) \rightarrow Z. \lambda x : X. \lambda y : Y. f(x, y) \\ t & \triangleq \lambda g : X \rightarrow (Y \rightarrow Z). \lambda z : X \times Y. g(\text{fst } z) (\text{snd } z) \end{cases}$

and which satisfy  $\begin{cases} \diamond, f : (X \times Y) \rightarrow Z \vdash t(sf) =_{\beta\eta} f \\ \diamond, g : X \rightarrow (Y \rightarrow Z) \vdash s(tg) =_{\beta\eta} g \end{cases}$

# Free cartesian closed categories

The Soundness Theorem has a converse—completeness.

In fact for a given set of ground types and typed constants there is a single ccc

**F** (the **free ccc** for that language) with an interpretation function  $M$  so that  $\Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A$  is provable iff  $M[\Gamma \vdash s : A] = M[\Gamma \vdash t : A]$  in **F**.

# Free cartesian closed categories

The Soundness Theorem has a converse—completeness.

In fact for a given set of ground types and typed constants there is a single ccc

**F** (the **free ccc** for that language) with an interpretation function  $M$  so that  $\Gamma \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A$  is provable iff  $M[\Gamma \vdash s : A] = M[\Gamma \vdash t : A]$  in **F**.

- ▶ **F**-objects are the STLC types over the given set of ground types
- ▶ **F**-morphisms  $A \rightarrow B$  are equivalence classes of STLC terms  $t$  satisfying  $\diamond \vdash t : A \rightarrow B$  (so  $t$  is a *closed* term—it has no free variables) with respect to the equivalence relation equating  $s$  and  $t$  if  $\diamond \vdash s =_{\beta\eta} t : A \rightarrow B$  is provable.
- ▶ identity morphism on  $A$  is the equivalence class of  $\diamond \vdash \lambda x : A. x : A \rightarrow A$ .
- ▶ composition of a morphism  $A \rightarrow B$  represented by  $\diamond \vdash s : A \rightarrow B$  and a morphism  $B \rightarrow C$  represented by  $\diamond \vdash t : B \rightarrow C$  is represented by  $\diamond \vdash \lambda x : A. t(s x) : A \rightarrow C$ .

# Curry-Howard correspondence

<b>Logic</b>		<b>Type Theory</b>
propositions	$\leftrightarrow$	types
proofs	$\leftrightarrow$	terms

E.g. IPL *versus* STLC.







# Curry-Howard-Lawvere / Lambek correspondence

<b>Logic</b>		<b>Type Theory</b>		<b>Category Theory</b>
propositions	$\leftrightarrow$	types	$\leftrightarrow$	objects
proofs	$\leftrightarrow$	terms	$\leftrightarrow$	morphisms

E.g. IPL *versus* STLC *versus* CCCs

# Curry-Howard-Lawvere / Lambek correspondence

<b>Logic</b>		<b>Type Theory</b>		<b>Category Theory</b>
propositions	$\leftrightarrow$	types	$\leftrightarrow$	objects
proofs	$\leftrightarrow$	terms	$\leftrightarrow$	morphisms

E.g. IPL *versus* STLC *versus* CCCs

These correspondences can be made into category-theoretic equivalences—we first need to define the notions of **functor** and **natural transformation** in order to define the notion of **equivalence of categories**.