

# Lecture 8

Recall:

# Simply-Typed Lambda Calculus (STLC)

**Types:**  $A, B, C, \dots ::=$

$G, G', G'' \dots$  “ground” types

unit                    unit type

$A \times B$                 product type

$A \rightarrow B$              function type

**Terms:**  $s, t, r, \dots ::=$

$c^A$                     constants (of given type  $A$ )

$x$                       variable (countably many)

$()$                       unit value

$(s, t)$                 pair

$\text{fst } t$      $\text{snd } t$         projections

$\lambda x : A. t$             function abstraction

$s t$                     function application

Recall :

## Semantics of STLC terms in a ccc

Given a cartesian closed category  $\mathbf{C}$ ,

given any function  $M$  mapping

- ▶ ground types  $G$  to  $\mathbf{C}$ -objects  $M(G)$
- ▶ constants  $c^A$  to  $\mathbf{C}$ -morphisms  $M(c^A) : 1 \rightarrow M[A]$

we get a function mapping provable instances of the typing relation  $\Gamma \vdash t : A$  to  $\mathbf{C}$ -morphisms

$$M[\Gamma \vdash t : A] : M[\Gamma] \rightarrow M[A]$$

defined by recursing over the proof of  $\Gamma \vdash t : A$  from the typing rules (which follows the structure of  $t$ ):

# Semantics of STLC terms in a ccc

## Variables:

$$M[\Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A] = M[\Gamma] \times M[A] \xrightarrow{\pi_2} M[A]$$

$$M[\Gamma, x' : A' \vdash x : A] =$$

$$M[\Gamma] \times M[A'] \xrightarrow{\pi_1} M[\Gamma] \xrightarrow{M[\Gamma \vdash x : A]} M[A]$$

## Constants:

$$M[\Gamma \vdash c^A : A] = M[\Gamma] \xrightarrow{\langle \rangle} 1 \xrightarrow{M(c^A)} M[A]$$

## Unit value:

$$M[\Gamma \vdash () : \text{unit}] = M[\Gamma] \xrightarrow{\langle \rangle} 1$$

# Semantics of STLC terms in a ccc

**Pairing:**

$$M[\Gamma \vdash (s, t) : A \times B] = \\ M[\Gamma] \xrightarrow{\langle M[\Gamma \vdash s : A], M[\Gamma \vdash t : B] \rangle} M[A] \times M[B]$$

**Projections:**

$$M[\Gamma \vdash \text{fst } t : A] = \\ M[\Gamma] \xrightarrow{M[\Gamma \vdash t : A \times B]} M[A] \times M[B] \xrightarrow{\pi_1} M[A]$$

# Semantics of STLC terms in a ccc

## Pairing:

$$M[\Gamma \vdash (s, t) : A \times B] = \\ M[\Gamma] \xrightarrow{\langle M[\Gamma \vdash s : A], M[\Gamma \vdash t : B] \rangle} M[A] \times M[B]$$

## Projections:

$$M[\Gamma \vdash \text{fst } t : A] = \\ M[\Gamma] \xrightarrow{M[\Gamma \vdash t : A \times B]} M[A] \times M[B] \xrightarrow{\pi_1} M[A]$$

Given that  $\Gamma \vdash \text{fst } t : A$  holds,  
there is a unique type  $B$   
such that  $\Gamma \vdash t : A \times B$  already  
holds.

**Lemma.** If  $\Gamma \vdash t : A$  and  $\Gamma \vdash t : B$  are provable, then  $A = B$ .

# Semantics of STLC terms in a ccc

**Pairing:**

$$M[\Gamma \vdash (s, t) : A \times B] = \\ M[\Gamma] \xrightarrow{\langle M[\Gamma \vdash s : A], M[\Gamma \vdash t : B] \rangle} M[A] \times M[B]$$

**Projections:**

$$M[\Gamma \vdash \text{snd } t : B] = \\ M[\Gamma] \xrightarrow{M[\Gamma \vdash t : A \times B]} M[A] \times M[B] \xrightarrow{\pi_2} M[B]$$

(As for the case of `fst`, if  $\Gamma \vdash \text{snd } t : B$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash t : A \times B$  already holds for a unique type  $A$ .)

# Semantics of STLC terms in a ccc

## Function abstraction:

$$M[\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. t : A \rightarrow B] = \\ \text{cur } f : M[\Gamma] \rightarrow (M[A] \rightarrow M[B])$$

where

$$f = M[\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B] : M[\Gamma] \times M[A] \rightarrow M[B]$$



# Semantics of STLC terms in a ccc

## Function application:

$$M[\Gamma \vdash st : B] = \\ M[\Gamma] \xrightarrow{\langle f, g \rangle} (M[A] \rightarrow M[B]) \times M[A] \xrightarrow{\text{app}} M[B]$$

where

$A$  = unique type such that  $\Gamma \vdash s : A \rightarrow B$  and  $\Gamma \vdash t : A$  already holds (exists because  $\Gamma \vdash st : B$  holds)

$$f = M[\Gamma \vdash s : A \rightarrow B] : M[\Gamma] \rightarrow (M[A] \rightarrow M[B])$$

$$g = M[\Gamma \vdash t : A] : M[\Gamma] \rightarrow M[A]$$

# Example

Consider  $t \triangleq \lambda x : A. g(f x)$  so that  $\Gamma \vdash t : A \rightarrow C$  when  $\Gamma \triangleq \diamond, f : A \rightarrow B, g : B \rightarrow C$ .

Suppose  $M[A] = X$ ,  $M[B] = Y$  and  $M[C] = Z$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Then

$$M[\Gamma] = (1 \times Y^X) \times Z^Y$$

$$M[\Gamma, x : A] = ((1 \times Y^X) \times Z^Y) \times X$$

$$M[\Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A] = \pi_2$$

$$M[\Gamma, x : A \vdash g : B \rightarrow C] = \pi_2 \circ \pi_1$$

$$M[\Gamma, x : A \vdash f : A \rightarrow B] = \pi_2 \circ \pi_1 \circ \pi_1$$

$$M[\Gamma, x : A \vdash f x : B] = \text{app} \circ \langle \pi_2 \circ \pi_1 \circ \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle$$

$$M[\Gamma, x : A \vdash g(f x) : C] = \text{app} \circ \langle \pi_2 \circ \pi_1, \text{app} \circ \langle \pi_2 \circ \pi_1 \circ \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle \rangle$$

$$M[\Gamma \vdash t : A \rightarrow C] = \text{cur}(\text{app} \circ \langle \pi_2 \circ \pi_1, \text{app} \circ \langle \pi_2 \circ \pi_1 \circ \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle \rangle)$$

# STLC equations

take the form  $\Gamma \vdash s = t : A$  where  $\Gamma \vdash s : A$  and  $\Gamma \vdash t : A$  are provable.

Such an equation is **satisfied** by the semantics in a ccc if  $M[\Gamma \vdash s : A]$  and  $M[\Gamma \vdash t : A]$  are equal **C**-morphisms  $M[\Gamma] \rightarrow M[A]$ .

**Qu:** which equations are always satisfied in any ccc?

# STLC equations

take the form  $\Gamma \vdash s = t : A$  where  $\Gamma \vdash s : A$  and  $\Gamma \vdash t : A$  are provable.

Such an equation is **satisfied** by the semantics in a ccc if  $M[\Gamma \vdash s : A]$  and  $M[\Gamma \vdash t : A]$  are equal **C**-morphisms  $M[\Gamma] \rightarrow M[A]$ .

**Qu:** which equations are always satisfied in any ccc?

**Ans:**  **$(\alpha)\beta\eta$ -equivalence** — to define this, first have to define **alpha-equivalence**, **substitution** and its semantics.

# Alpha equivalence of STLC terms

The names of  $\lambda$ -bound variables should not affect meaning.

E.g.  $\lambda f : A \rightarrow B. \lambda x : A. f x$  should have the same meaning as  $\lambda x : A \rightarrow B. \lambda y : A. x y$

# Alpha equivalence of STLC terms

The names of  $\lambda$ -bound variables should not affect meaning.

E.g.  $\lambda f : A \rightarrow B. \lambda x : A. f x$  should have the same meaning as  $\lambda x : A \rightarrow B. \lambda y : A. x y$

This issue is best dealt with at the level of syntax rather than semantics: from now on we re-define “STLC term” to mean not an abstract syntax tree (generated as described before), but rather an equivalence class of such trees with respect to **alpha-equivalence**  $s =_{\alpha} t$ , defined as follows. . .

(Alternatively, one can use a “nameless” (de Bruijn) representation of terms.)

# Alpha equivalence of STLC terms

$$\frac{}{c^A =_\alpha c^A}$$

$$\frac{}{x =_\alpha x}$$

$$\frac{}{() =_\alpha ()}$$

$$\frac{s =_\alpha s' \quad t =_\alpha t'}{(s, t) =_\alpha (s', t')}$$

$$\frac{t =_\alpha t'}{\text{fst } t =_\alpha \text{fst } t'}$$

$$\frac{t =_\alpha t'}{\text{snd } t =_\alpha \text{snd } t'}$$

$$\frac{s =_\alpha s' \quad t =_\alpha t'}{st =_\alpha s't'}$$

$$\frac{(y x) \cdot t =_\alpha (y x') \cdot t' \quad y \text{ does not occur in } \{x, x', t, t'\}}{\lambda x : A. t =_\alpha \lambda x' : A. t'}$$

# Alpha equivalence of STLC terms

$$\frac{}{c^A =_\alpha c^A}$$

$$\frac{}{x =_\alpha x}$$

$$\frac{}{() =_\alpha ()}$$

$$\frac{s =_\alpha s' \quad t =_\alpha t'}{(s, t) =_\alpha (s', t')}$$

$$\frac{t =_\alpha t'}{\text{fst } t =_\alpha \text{fst } t'}$$

$$\frac{t =_\alpha t'}{\text{snd } t =_\alpha \text{snd } t'}$$

$$\frac{s =_\alpha s' \quad t =_\alpha t'}{st =_\alpha s't'}$$

$$\frac{(y x) \cdot t =_\alpha (y x') \cdot t' \quad y \text{ does not occur in } \{x, x', t, t'\}}{\lambda x : A. t =_\alpha \lambda x' : A. t'}$$

result of replacing all occurrences of  $x$  with  $y$  in  $t$



# Alpha equivalence of STLC terms

$$\frac{}{c^A =_\alpha c^A}$$

$$\frac{}{x =_\alpha x}$$

$$\frac{}{() =_\alpha ()}$$

$$\frac{s =_\alpha s' \quad t =_\alpha t'}{(s, t) =_\alpha (s', t')}$$

$$\frac{t =_\alpha t'}{\text{fst } t =_\alpha \text{fst } t'}$$

$$\frac{t =_\alpha t'}{\text{snd } t =_\alpha \text{snd } t'}$$

$$\frac{s =_\alpha s' \quad t =_\alpha t'}{st =_\alpha s't'}$$

$$\frac{(y x) \cdot t =_\alpha (y x') \cdot t' \quad y \text{ does not occur in } \{x, x', t, t'\}}{\lambda x : A. t =_\alpha \lambda x' : A. t'}$$

E.g.

$$\lambda x : A. x x =_\alpha \lambda y : A. y y \neq_\alpha \lambda x : A. x y$$

$$(\lambda y : A. y) x =_\alpha (\lambda x : A. x) x \neq_\alpha (\lambda x : A. x) y$$

# Substitution

$t[s/x]$  = result of replacing all free occurrences of variable  $x$  in term  $t$  (i.e. those not occurring within the scope of a  $\lambda x : A. \_$  binder) by the term  $s$ , alpha-converting  $\lambda$ -bound variables in  $t$  to avoid them “capturing” any free variables of  $t$ .

E.g.  $(\lambda y : A. (y, x))[y/x]$  is  $\lambda z : A. (z, y)$  and is not  $\lambda y : A. (y, y)$

# Substitution

$t[s/x]$  = result of replacing all free occurrences of variable  $x$  in term  $t$  (i.e. those not occurring within the scope of a  $\lambda x : A. \_$  binder) by the term  $s$ , alpha-converting  $\lambda$ -bound variables in  $t$  to avoid them “capturing” any free variables of  $t$ .

E.g.  $(\lambda y : A. (y, x))[y/x]$  is  $\lambda z : A. (z, y)$  and is not  $\lambda y : A. (y, y)$

The relation  $t[s/x] = t'$  can be inductively defined by the following rules...

# Substitution

$$\frac{}{c^A[s/x] = c^A}$$

$$\frac{}{x[s/x] = s}$$

$$\frac{y \neq x}{y[s/x] = y}$$

$$\frac{}{() [s/x] = ()}$$

$$\frac{t_1[s/x] = t'_1 \quad t_2[s/x] = t'_2}{(t_1, t_2)[s/x] = (t'_1, t'_2)}$$

$$\frac{t[s/x] = t'}{(\text{fst } t)[s/x] = \text{fst } t'}$$

$$\frac{t[s/x] = t'}{(\text{snd } t)[s/x] = \text{snd } t'}$$

$$\frac{t_1[s/x] = t'_1 \quad t_2[s/x] = t'_2}{(t_1 t_2)[s/x] = t'_1 t'_2}$$

$$\frac{t[s/x] = t' \quad y \neq x \text{ and } y \text{ does not occur in } s}{(\lambda y : A. t)[s/x] = \lambda y : A. t'}$$

# Semantics of substitution in a ccc

**Substitution Lemma** If  $\Gamma \vdash s : A$  and  $\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B$  are provable, then so is  $\Gamma \vdash t[s/x] : B$ .

**Substitution Theorem** If  $\Gamma \vdash s : A$  and  $\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B$  are provable, then in any ccc the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M[\Gamma] & \xrightarrow{\langle \text{id}, M[\Gamma \vdash s : A] \rangle} & M[\Gamma] \times M[A] \\ & \searrow M[\Gamma \vdash t[s/x] : B] & \downarrow M[\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B] \\ & & M[B] \end{array}$$