## Logical Deduction - Modus Ponens -

A main rule of logical deduction is that of Modus Ponens:
From the statements $P$ and $P \Longrightarrow Q$, the statement Q follows.
or, in other words,
If $P$ and $P \Longrightarrow Q$ hold then so does $Q$.
or, in symbols,


The use of implications:
To use an assumption of the form $P \Longrightarrow \mathrm{Q}$, aim at establishing $P$.
Once this is done, by Modus Ponens, one can conclude Q and so further assume it.

Theorem 11 Let $P_{1}, P_{2}$, and $P_{3}$ be statements. If $P_{1} \Longrightarrow P_{2}$ and $P_{2} \Longrightarrow P_{3}$ then $P_{1} \Longrightarrow P_{3}$.

Proof: Ass. $P_{1} \Rightarrow P_{2}, P_{2} \Rightarrow P_{3}$.
$\operatorname{RTP} \quad P_{1} \Rightarrow P_{3}$.
Assume $P_{2}$
By $M P, P_{1}, P_{1} \Rightarrow P_{2}$, get $P_{2}$
B MP, $P_{2}-P_{2} \Rightarrow B$ get $P_{3}$
Thevefine $P_{3} \Longrightarrow P_{3}$

## Bi-implication

Some theorems can be written in the form
$P$ is equivalent to Q
or, in other words,
P implies Q, and vice versa
or
Q implies P, and vice versa
or

> P if, and only if, Q

P iff Q
or, in symbols,

$$
\mathrm{P} \Longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Q}
$$

## Proof pattern:

In order to prove that

$$
\mathrm{P} \Longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Q}
$$

1. Write: $(\Longrightarrow)$ and give a proof of $P \Longrightarrow Q$.
2. Write: $(\Longleftarrow)$ and give a proof of $\mathrm{Q} \Longrightarrow \mathrm{P}$.

Proposition 12 Suppose that n is an integer. Then, n is even of $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ is even.
Proof: $(\Rightarrow)$ Assume $n$ even, ie $n=2 \cdot i$ for an integer $i$ Thereptre $n^{2}=(2 i)^{2}=2 .\left(2 i^{2}\right)$ so $4^{2}$ i even.
$C=$ ) Assume $h^{2}$ been, $\cos ^{2}=2 i \cdots$ We show the contrapositive, $n$ a Id $\Rightarrow n^{2}$ odd. But we have show Mop 8 hat $m$, $n$ oder $\Rightarrow$. mi a odd. So we new Mas

## Divisibility and congruence

Definition 13 Let d and n be integers. We say that divides n , and write $\mathrm{d} \mid \mathrm{n}$, whenever there is an integer k such that $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{k} \cdot \mathrm{d}$.

Example 14 The statement $2 \mid 4$ is true, while $4 \mid 2$ is not.
Definition 15 Fix a positive integer m . For integers a and b , we say that a is congruent to b modulo m , and write $\mathrm{a} \equiv \mathrm{b}(\bmod \mathrm{m})$, whenever $\mathrm{m} \mid(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b})$.

## Example 16

1. $18 \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$
2. $2 \equiv-2(\bmod 4)$
3. $18 \equiv-2(\bmod 4)$


## Proposition 17 For every integer n,

1. n is even if, and only if, $\mathrm{n} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, and
2. $n$ is odd if, and only if, $n \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$.

## Proof:

The use of bi-implications:
To use an assumption of the form $\mathrm{P} \Longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Q}$, use it as two separate assumptions $P \Longrightarrow Q$ and $Q \Longrightarrow P$.

## Universal quantification

Universal statements are of the form
for all individuals $x$ of the universe of discourse, the property $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})$ holds
or, in other words,
no matter what individual $x$ in the universe of discourse one considers, the property $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})$ for it holds
or, in symbols,

$$
\forall x . P(x)
$$

## Example 18

2. For every positive real number $x$, if $x$ is irrrational then so is $\sqrt{x}$.
3. For every integer $n$, we have that $n$ is even iff so is $n^{2}$.

The main proof strategy for universal statements:
To prove a goal of the form

$$
\forall x . P(x)
$$

let $x$ stand for an arbitrary individual and prove $P(x)$.

## Proof pattern:

In order to prove that

$$
\forall x . \mathrm{P}(x)
$$

1. Write: Let $x$ be an arbitrary individual.
2. Show that $P(x)$ holds.

## Proof pattern:

In order to prove that

$$
\forall x . P(x)
$$

1. Write: Let $x$ be an arbitrary individual.

Warning: Make sure that the variable $x$ is new (also referred to as fresh) in the proof! If for some reason the variable $x$ is already being used in the proof to stand for something else, then you must use an unused variable, say $y$, to stand for the arbitrary individual, and prove P(y).
2. Show that $P(x)$ holds.

## Scratch work:

Before using the strategy

Assumptions
Goal

$$
\forall x . P(x)
$$

After using the strategy
Assumptions
Goal
$P(x) \quad$ (for a new (or fresh) $x$ )

## The use of universal statements:

To use an assumption of the form $\forall x . \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})$, you can plug in any value, say $a$, for $x$ to conclude that $P(a)$ is true and so further assume it.

This rule is called universal instantiation.

Proposition 19 Fix a positive integer $m$. For integers a and b , we have that $\mathrm{a} \equiv \mathrm{b}(\bmod m)$ if, and only if, for all positive integers n , we have that $n \cdot a \equiv n \cdot b(\bmod n \cdot m)$.
PROOF: $(\Longleftrightarrow)$ Assume $a \equiv b(\operatorname{modm})$, ie. $a-b=K \cdot m$ for inter $k$. RP. $\forall n, n+$ remiteger, $n \cdot a \equiv n . b$ (modnim, Let $n$ be a tree ut. $B_{y}(1), n \cdot(a-b)=$ n.K.m ie. $n \cdot a-n \cdot b=k \cdot(n \cdot m)$, so by deft. n. $a \equiv n \cdot b \cdot \bmod / n$ $(\leftrightarrow)$ Assume $\forall n$, n tue cutger. $n, a \equiv n, b$ (modn.m lose universal cirtatiation, taking $n=1$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1, a \equiv 1 . b & (\bmod f \cdot m) \\
1+a & =b \\
(\bmod a)
\end{array}
$$



$$
\forall x \forall y\left(x=y=\left(\begin{array}{l}
y=2 \Rightarrow x-2) \\
x \neq 2 \Rightarrow y \neq 2
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

$$
\text { Equality axioms } P(y) \Leftrightarrow y=x
$$

Just for the record, here are the axioms for equality.

- Every individual is equal to itself.

$$
\forall x . x=x
$$

$$
\forall x \forall y x=y \Rightarrow y=x
$$

- For any pair of equal individuals, if a property holds for one of them then it also holds for the other one.

$$
\forall x \cdot \forall y \cdot x=y \Longrightarrow(P(x) \Longrightarrow P(y))
$$

Liebuiz

NB From these axioms one may deduce the usual intuitive properties of equality, such as

$$
\forall x \cdot \forall y \cdot x=y \Longrightarrow y=x
$$

and

$$
\forall x \cdot \forall y \cdot \forall z \cdot x=y \Longrightarrow(y=z \Longrightarrow x=z)
$$

However, in practice, you will not be required to formally do so; rather you may just use the properties of equality that you are already familiar with.

