Theorem 77 (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic) For every positive integer n there is a unique finite ordered sequence of aka von tererough primes $(p_1 \leq \cdots \leq p_{\ell})$ with $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathfrak{n} = \prod(\mathfrak{p}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{p}_\ell)$. PROOF: Use the least number principle: A non-empty subset of N has a least element. (The least umber prhuple is equivelent la mathe ind.) Proof by contradiction. Assume pere is par it mont a unique de composition.

Then,
$$h = p_1 \dots p_e = q_1 \dots q_k$$
 for different sequences
of primes $p_1 \leq \dots \leq p_e$ and $q_1 \leq \dots \leq q_k$, and is the least such.
Note $p_1 \mid n$. As $p_1 \leq p_1 he p \mid q_i$ for some i.
Rut q_i is prime $p_1 = q_i \dots q_i \leq p_1$.
Symmetrically $p_1 \leq q_2$. $p_1 = q_1$.
So dubiding n by $p_1 (= g_1)$,
 $p_2 \dots p_e = q_2 \dots q_k$
two distribut decomposition q a studie
ho. $k \approx h$.



Euclid's infinitude of primes

Theorem 80 The set of primes is infinite.

Proof by antradistron: **PROOF**: Assume the set of pulses is fini, te 1.0 2 pi, ... pu ?. Define There is public 9 st. 9. In. But q = pi som i. So q gibes a remaider 1. X

Structural Induction Syntax of Boolean propositions: A, B, ... $:= \alpha, b, c, \dots$ |T| F|AAB|AVB|7Å To prove P(A) for all Boolean props. A la prove it suffices P(a), P(b), P(c), P(T), P(F)Base case $P(A) \& P(B) \implies P(A \land B)$ Induction step P(A) & P(B) => P(AVB) P(A) = P(-A)for all Boolean expression A, B.

Definitions by structural induction

(1) We can define the length of a Bostean proposition by stuctural induction:

$$|a| = |b| = |c| = \cdots = 1$$

$$|T| = 1 \qquad |F| = 1$$

$$|A \land B| = |A| + |B| + 1$$

$$|A \lor B| = |A| + |B| + 1$$

$$|A \lor B| = |A| + |B| + 1$$

$$|A \lor B| = |A| + 1$$



- tr(a) = a br(T) = T tr(F) = F
- $tr(A \land B) = tr(A) \land tr(B)$ $tr(A \lor B) = -(-tr(A) \land -tr(B))$ tr(-A) = -7 tr(A).

Exercise 1.1 pore by structural induction on Boolean propositions that [/Er(A)] < 3/A/ -1 for all Boolean proportions A.

Well-founded Induction

A very general induction principle, important for example n proving the termston of 1. morans

Well-formed relations

A relation 2 on a set A is well-founded iff there are no infinite descending chamis $\ldots \prec \alpha_h \prec \ldots \prec \alpha_1 \prec \alpha_0$

Roposition A relation L on a set A is well-founded iff every non-empty subset Q of A has a minimal element m MEQ & Hb<m. b&Q. ie,

Examples of well-founded relations (1) m < n iff m + 1 = n in M $m < n \quad \text{iff} \quad m < n \quad \text{in } N$ (2) A is an immediate sub-expression JB in Boolean propositions · ff $(3) A \prec B$

Non-example

Z with <

- - - - - - - - - 1 0

Proposition A relation 2 on a set A 6 well-founded iff every non-empty subset Q has a minimal element me, VE MEQ & YDXM. DFQ. "mby if": Idea: $M \left(\frac{1}{x} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{x$

An application. For strings u, u' over an alphabet 2, u' < u iff $\exists a \in \Sigma$. au' = udefines a well-founded relation on strings. Exercise 1.4 There is no string a over \lesssim st. au = ub for distinct symbols a and b. Proof Assume there were (to obtain a contradiction). Then there would be a < minimal string u s.t. au = ubu = a u'But then i dau' = du'b Buf u' ~ u. X au' = u'bK