

Exactly solving TSP using the Simplex algorithm

Andrej Ivašković, Thomas Sauerwald

CST Part II ADVANCED ALGORITHMS

15 May 2019

(original slides by Petar Veličković)

Exactly solving TSP using the Simplex algorithm

Andrej Ivašković, Thomas Sauerwald

Travelling Salesman Problem (http://xkcd.com/399/)



Aside: Held–Karp algorithm

- Use a dynamic programming approach. Main idea: solve the slightly simpler problem of the shortest path visiting all nodes, then route the end to the beginning.
- Assume (wlog) that the path starts from node 1. Given a node *x* and set of nodes *S* with 1 ∈ *S*, maintain the solution *dp*(*x*, *S*) as the shortest path length starting from 1, visiting all nodes in *S*, and ending in *x*.
- ▶ Base case: *dp* (1, {1}) = 0.
- Recurrence relation:

$$dp(x,S) = \begin{cases} \min_{y \in S} \left\{ dp\left(y, S \setminus \{x\}\right) + c_{yx} \right\} & x \in S \land 1 \in S \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Aside: Held–Karp algorithm

- ► Finally, *dp*(*x*, *V*) will give the shortest path visiting all nodes, starting in 1 and ending in *x*.
- ► Now the optimum TSP length is simply:

$$\min_{x\in V}\left\{dp(x,V)+c_{x1}\right\}$$

The cycle itself can be extracted by backtracking.

- The set S can be efficiently maintained as an n-bit number, with the i-th bit indicating whether or not the i-th node is in S.
- Complexity: $O(n^2 2^n)$ time, $O(n2^n)$ space.

LP formulation

- We will be using *indicator variables* x_{ij}, which should be set to 1 if the edge i ↔ j is included in the optimum cycle, and 0 otherwise. To avoid duplication, we impose i > j.
- An adequate linear program is as follows:

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{minimise} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_{ij} x_{ij} \\ \text{subject to} \\ \forall i. \ 1 \leq i \leq n & \sum_{j < i} x_{ij} + \sum_{j > i} x_{ji} &= 2 \\ \forall i, j. \ 1 \leq j < i \leq n & x_{ij} \leq 1 \\ \forall i, j. \ 1 \leq j < i \leq n & x_{ij} \geq 0 \end{array}$

- ► This is *intentionally* an incompletely specified problem:
 - We allow for *subcycles* in the returned path.
 - ► We allow for "partially used edges" (0 < x_{ij} < 1) this LP approximates an integer program.</p>

LP solution

If the Simplex algorithm finds a correct cycle (with no subcycles or partially used edges) on the underspecified LP instance, then we have successfully solved the problem!

 Otherwise, we need to resort to further specifying the problem by adding additional constraints (manually or automatically).

Further constraints: subcycles

- If the returned solution contains a subcycle, we may eliminate it by adding an explicit constraint against it, and then attempt solving the LP again.
- For a subcycle containing nodes from a set S ⊂ V, we may demand at least two edges between S and V \ S:

$$\sum_{\substack{i \in S \\ j \in V \setminus S}} x_{\max(i,j),\min(i,j)} \ge 2$$

- We will not add all of these contraints why?
- We often don't need to add all the constraints in order to reach a valid solution.

Further constraints: partially used edges

- If the returned solution contains a partially used edge, we may attempt a *branch&bound* strategy on it.
- For a partially used edge a ↔ b, we initially add a constraint x_{ab} = 1, and continue solving the LP.
- Once a valid solution has been found, we remove all the constraints added since then, add a new constraint x_{ab} = 0, and solve the LP again.
- We may stop searching a branch if we reach a worse objective value than the best valid solution found so far.
- The optimum solution is the better out of the two obtained solutions! If we choose the edges wisely, we may often obtain a valid solution in a complexity much better than exponential.

Demo: abstract

SOLUTION OF A LARGE-SCALE TRAVELING-SALESMAN PROBLEM*

G. DANTZIG, R. FULKERSON, AND S. JOHNSON The Rand Corporation, Santa Monica, California (Received August 9, 1954)

It is shown that a certain tour of 49 cities, one in each of the 48 states and Washington, D. C., has the shortest road distance.

THE TRAVELING-SALESMAN PROBLEM might be described as follows: Find the shortest route (tour) for a salesman starting from a given city, visiting each of a specified group of cities, and then returning to the original point of departure. More generally, given an n by n symmetric matrix $D = (d_{IJ})$, where d_{IJ} represents the 'distance' from I to J, arrange the points in a cyclic order in such a way that the sum of the d_{IJ} between consecutive points is minimal. Since there are only a finite number of possibilities (at most $\frac{1}{2}(n-1)!$) to consider, the problem is to devise a method of picking out the optimal arrangement which is reasonably efficient for fairly large values of n. Although algorithms have been devised for problems of similar nature, e.g., the optimal assignment problem.^{3,7,8} little is known about the traveling-salesman problem. We do not claim that this note alters the situation very much; what we shall do is outline a way of approaching the problem that sometimes, at least, enables one to find an optimal path and prove it so. In particular, it will be shown that a certain arrangement of 49 cities, one in each of the 48 states and Washington, D. C., is best, the d_{II} used representing road distances as taken from an atlas.

Demo: nodes

Now we will make advantage of these techniques to solve the TSP problem for 42 cities in the USA—using the *Held-Karp* algorithm would require ~ 4 hours (and unreasonable amounts of memory)!

- 1. Manchester, N. H.
- 2. Montpelier, Vt.
- 3. Detroit, Mich.
- 4. Cleveland, Ohio
- 5. Charleston, W. Va.
- 6. Louisville, Ky.
- 7. Indianapolis, Ind.
- 8. Chicago, Ill.
- 9. Milwaukee, Wis.
- 10. Minneapolis, Minn.
- 11. Pierre, S. D.
- 12. Bismarck, N. D.
- 13. Helena, Mont.
- 14. Seattle, Wash.
- 15. Portland, Ore.
- 16. Boise, Idaho
- 17. Salt Lake City, Utah

- 18. Carson City, Nev.
- 19. Los Angeles, Calif.
- 20. Phoenix, Ariz.
- 21. Santa Fe, N. M.
- 22. Denver, Colo.
- 23. Cheyenne, Wyo.
- 24. Omaha, Neb.
- 25. Des Moines, Iowa
- 26. Kansas City, Mo.
- 27. Topeka, Kans.
- 28. Oklahoma City, Okla.
- 29. Dallas, Tex.
- 30. Little Rock, Ark.
- 31. Memphis, Tenn.
- 32. Jackson, Miss.
- 33. New Orleans, La.

- 34. Birmingham, Ala.
- 35. Atlanta, Ga.
- 36. Jacksonville, Fla.
- 37. Columbia, S. C.
- 38. Raleigh, N. C.
- 39. Richmond, Va.
- 40. Washington, D. C.
- 41. Boston, Mass.
- 42. Portland, Me.
- A. Baltimore, Md.
- B. Wilmington, Del.
- C. Philadelphia, Penn.
- D. Newark, N. J.
- E. New York, N. Y.
- F. Hartford, Conn.
- G. Providence, R. I.

Demo: adjacency matrix

-	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 24 22 24 22 24 22 24 22 23 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 41 41 41 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 5	23456789
1	621 8103851111111111111111111111111111111111	8 39 37 50 61 58 59
2	661 817 11495 1766 13228 80 9366 1328 9971 997 1075 107	45 47 49 62 60 60
3	200 46266 401 101 332 200 477 3436 4826 95 42 56 442 101 332 420 477 3445 486 69 50 42 56 442 101 435 30 4 55 3	9 21 21 16
4	2546718440448833368888466031154556331404432213414	15 20 17 20
5	31 0 2 7 7 7 4 0 5 6 0 11 1 4 3 0 4 4 8 3 4 6 5 6 6 6 4 8 3 5 5 6 5 3 5 1 4 2 5 0 8 8 4 5 3 6 6 6 6 6 4 8 5 5 6 5 3 5 1 4 2 5 0 8 18 1 7 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	17 18 26
6	224 33866 222 41 3 38 4 3 33 4 7 1 3 2 3 4 2 3 5 4 3 3 3 3 5 6 4 1 4 3 3 3 4 7 1 3 2 3 4 2 3 5 4 3 3 3 3 3 5 6 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	6 17
7	155 561 99357 101 3366 82 3 47 8 26 3 47 3 26 3 99 3 3 5 1 91 23 6 6 8 2 3 3 4 5 3 4 6 3 99 3 3 2 1 5 6 8 8 6 3 5 5 1 7	10
8	54 6 188 40 4857 45 776 528 9 933958 24 6 91 6 778 6 9 8 11 20 76 528 9 933958 24 5 3 14 5 91 6 778 6 98 11 20 76 528 9 933958 24 5 91 6 788 6 98 11 20 76 528 9 933958 24 5 91 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	,
9	20 446 4840 11 10 861 599 21 326 446 65 53 15 566 436 990 446 66 55 55 15 566 9	
10	236 995 975 1078 107 542 14 2308 57 54 51 56 56 26 48 37 73 76 58 38 4 57 54 51 56 75 62 48 37 73 76 58 38 457 54 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	
11	11 495 975 18 336 6 34 8 3 9 96 3 46 96 6 3766 78 8 3 9 99 93 7 51 11 11	
12	40 761 64 598 426 350 475 571 571 571 571 571 571 571 5	
13	35 44 29 54 77 65 49 77 1 65 49 77 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1	Tł di
14	10 31 53 66 99 82 77 51 11 51 15 51 15 11 51 15 15	ie fi vide
15	27 48 58 58 58 58 57 11 12 10 55 11 55 11 55 11 55 11 55 11 55 11 55 11 55 11 12 10 55 11 12 10 10 55 11 12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	gure d by
16	216 58 56 574 483 899 92 13 11 12 15 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11	s in 7 17
17	313432 32756466366759 300411321111 3261111 32611111 17 17	, the
18	2658629861 2658869978855223661111111 577801 18	Ro. e ta d re
19	22 50 69 99 77 99 78 99 99 79 59 90 79 59 90 79 59 90 90 79 59 90 90 79 59 90 90 79 59 90 90 79 50 90 90 79 50 90 90 79 50 90 90 79 50 90 90 70 90 90 70 90 90 70 90 90 70 90 90 70 90 90 70 90 90 70 90 90 70 90 90 70 90 90 70 90 90 70 90 90 70 90 90 70 90 90 70 90 70 90 70 90 90 70 90 70 90 70 70 90 90 70 70 90 70 70 90 70 70 10 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	ad] ble oun
20	30 49 55 87 72 59 62 88 87 72 59 62 81 11 122 139 161 167 20	Dis are ded
21	21 27 54 60 47 441 38 53 60 21 67 75 85 108 11 34 11 40 21	mil to
22	52 34 36 32 4 33 4 7 6 4 7 7 9 8 5 1 9 22 1 9 1 9 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	ces eag the
23	29 37 362 562 668 81 867 999 116 119 23	вет es b nea
24	8 12 98 33 3 352 24 28 336 54 59 77 73 167 86 90 24	TA we etw rest
25	1153423699552765766660787 25	BL EN ceen int
26	³ 21224 2394247 34927 34977 34977 34977 34977 34977 34977 34977 34977 34977 34977 349777 349777 349777 349777 3497777 3497777777777	E I Cirri the ege
27	20 328 31 3 44 53 3 45 70 5 70 70 70 70 70 88 94 27	e tw
28	12 20 28 35 40 43 3 70 67 75 84 9 101 107 28	IN Å
29	20 22 22 29 39 49 60 72 78 2 77 82 108 114 29	a de ci
30	8 15253 3 486 552 88 77 30	iste fied
31	12 23 14 24 0 38 6 53 86 31	ь U nun
32	11 14 36 37 49 55 86 92 32	NIT: abei
33	21 33 33 43 54 56 2 98 33	s ed (
34	9 25 34 45 71 80 34	citie
35	18 13 24 38 64 74 35	es, l
36	177 298 345 71777 36	888
37	12 21 54 60 37	11,
38	9 15 41 48 38	
39	6 32 38 39	
40	²⁵ 32 40	
41	<u>6</u> 41	

Demo: final solution



FIG. 16. The optimal tour of 49 cities.

Demo: materials

The full implementation of this TSP solver in C++ (along with all the necessary files to perform this demo) may be found at: https://github.com/PetarV-/Simplex-TSP-Solver

Methods similar to these have been successfully applied for solving far larger TSP instances. For example: http://www.math.uwaterloo.ca/tsp/

13,509 largest towns in the US



15,112 largest towns in Germany



All 24,978 populated places in Sweden









































































