Programming in C Lecture 9: Tooling

Dr Neel Krishnaswami

Michaelmas Term 2017-2018

• We have seen that C is an *unsafe* language

- ▶ We have seen that C is an *unsafe* language
- Programming errors can arbitrarily corrupt runtime data structures...

- ▶ We have seen that C is an *unsafe* language
- Programming errors can arbitrarily corrupt runtime data structures...
- In the second second

- ▶ We have seen that C is an *unsafe* language
- Programming errors can arbitrarily corrupt runtime data structures...
- In the second second
- Enormous number of possible sources of undefined behavior (See https://blog.regehr.org/archives/1520)

- ▶ We have seen that C is an *unsafe* language
- Programming errors can arbitrarily corrupt runtime data structures...
- In the second second
- Enormous number of possible sources of undefined behavior (See https://blog.regehr.org/archives/1520)
- What can we do about it?

Tooling and Instrumentation

- ▶ We have seen that C is an *unsafe* language
- Programming errors can arbitrarily corrupt runtime data structures...
- In the second second
- There is a great deal of undefined behaviour

Tooling and Instrumentation

- ▶ We have seen that C is an *unsafe* language
- Programming errors can arbitrarily corrupt runtime data structures...
- In the second second
- There is a great deal of undefined behaviour
- Add instrumentation to detect unsafe behaviour!

Tooling and Instrumentation

- ▶ We have seen that C is an *unsafe* language
- Programming errors can arbitrarily corrupt runtime data structures...
- In the second second
- There is a great deal of undefined behaviour
- Add instrumentation to detect unsafe behaviour!
- ▶ We will look at 3 tools: ASan, UBSan, and Valgrind

• One of the leading causes of errors in C is memory corruption:

Out-of-bounds array accesses

- Out-of-bounds array accesses
- Use pointer after call to free()

- Out-of-bounds array accesses
- Use pointer after call to free()
- Use stack variable after it is out of scope

- Out-of-bounds array accesses
- Use pointer after call to free()
- Use stack variable after it is out of scope
- Double-frees or other invalid frees

- Out-of-bounds array accesses
- Use pointer after call to free()
- Use stack variable after it is out of scope
- Double-frees or other invalid frees
- Memory leaks

• One of the leading causes of errors in C is memory corruption:

- Out-of-bounds array accesses
- Use pointer after call to free()
- Use stack variable after it is out of scope
- Double-frees or other invalid frees
- Memory leaks

AddressSanitizer instruments code to detect these errors

- Out-of-bounds array accesses
- Use pointer after call to free()
- Use stack variable after it is out of scope
- Double-frees or other invalid frees
- Memory leaks
- AddressSanitizer instruments code to detect these errors
- Need to recompile

- Out-of-bounds array accesses
- Use pointer after call to free()
- Use stack variable after it is out of scope
- Double-frees or other invalid frees
- Memory leaks
- AddressSanitizer instruments code to detect these errors
- Need to recompile
- Adds runtime overhead

- Out-of-bounds array accesses
- Use pointer after call to free()
- Use stack variable after it is out of scope
- Double-frees or other invalid frees
- Memory leaks
- AddressSanitizer instruments code to detect these errors
- Need to recompile
- Adds runtime overhead
- Use it while developing

- Out-of-bounds array accesses
- Use pointer after call to free()
- Use stack variable after it is out of scope
- Double-frees or other invalid frees
- Memory leaks
- AddressSanitizer instruments code to detect these errors
- Need to recompile
- Adds runtime overhead
- Use it while developing
- Built into gcc and clang!

```
#include <stdlib.h>
1
   #include <stdio.h>
2
3
   #define N 10
4
5
   int main(void) {
6
     char s[N] = "123456789";
7
     for (int i = 0; i <= N; i++)
8
       printf ("%c", s[i]);
9
     printf("\n");
10
     return 0;
11
  }
12
```

```
#include <stdlib.h>
1
   #include <stdio.h>
2
3
   #define N 10
4
5
   int main(void) {
6
     char s[N] = "123456789";
7
     for (int i = 0; i <= N; i++)
8
       printf ("%c", s[i]);
9
     printf("\n");
10
     return 0;
11
   }
12
```

 Loop bound goes past the end of the array

```
#include <stdlib.h>
1
   #include <stdio.h>
2
3
   #define N 10
4
5
   int main(void) {
6
     char s[N] = "123456789";
7
     for (int i = 0; i <= N; i++)
8
       printf ("%c", s[i]);
9
     printf("\n");
10
     return 0;
11
   }
12
```

- Loop bound goes past the end of the array
- Undefined behaviour!

```
#include <stdlib.h>
1
   #include <stdio.h>
2
3
   #define N 10
4
5
   int main(void) {
6
     char s[N] = "123456789";
7
     for (int i = 0; i <= N; i++)
8
       printf ("%c", s[i]);
9
     printf("\n");
10
     return 0;
11
   }
12
```

- Loop bound goes past the end of the array
- Undefined behaviour!
- Compile with
 - -fsanitize=address

```
1 #include <stdlib.h>
2
3 int main(void) {
4    int *a =
5     malloc(sizeof(int) * 100);
6    free(a);
7    return a[5]; // DOOM!
8 }
```

```
#include <stdlib.h>
1
2
   int main(void) {
3
                                     1. array is allocated
     int *a =
4
       malloc(sizeof(int) * 100);
5
     free(a);
6
  return a[5]; // DOOM!
7
  }
8
```

```
#include <stdlib.h>
1
2
   int main(void) {
3
                                      1. array is allocated
     int *a =
4
                                      2. array is freed
       malloc(sizeof(int) * 100);
5
     free(a);
6
    return a[5]; // DOOM!
7
  }
8
```

```
1 #include <stdlib.h>
2
3 int main(void) {
4    int *a =
5     malloc(sizeof(int) * 100);
6    free(a);
7    return a[5]; // DOOM!
8 }
```

- 1. array is allocated
- 2. array is freed
- 3. array is dereferenced!

```
1 #include <stdlib.h>
2
3 int main(void) {
4    int *a =
5     malloc(sizeof(int) * 100);
6    free(a);
7    return a[5]; // DOOM!
8 }
```

```
#include <stdlib.h>
1
2
   int main(void) {
3
                                     1. array is allocated
     int *a =
4
       malloc(sizeof(int) * 100);
5
     free(a);
6
  return a[5]; // DOOM!
7
   }
8
```

```
#include <stdlib.h>
1
2
   int main(void) {
3
                                      1. array is allocated
     int *a =
4
                                      2. array is freed
       malloc(sizeof(int) * 100);
5
     free(a);
6
    return a[5]; // DOOM!
7
  }
8
```

```
1 #include <stdlib.h>
2
3 int main(void) {
4    int *a =
5     malloc(sizeof(int) * 100);
6    free(a);
7    return a[5]; // DOOM!
8 }
```

- 1. array is allocated
- 2. array is freed
- 3. array is dereferenced!

```
#include <stdlib.h>
1
2
   int main(void) {
3
     char *s =
4
        malloc(sizeof(char) * 10);
5
     free(s);
6
     free(s);
\overline{7}
   printf("%s", s);
8
     return 0;
9
   }
10
```

```
#include <stdlib.h>
1
2
   int main(void) {
3
      char *s =
4
                                         1. array is allocated
        malloc(sizeof(char) * 10);
5
     free(s);
6
     free(s);
\overline{7}
     printf("%s", s);
8
     return 0;
9
   }
10
```
```
#include <stdlib.h>
1
2
   int main(void) {
3
      char *s =
4
                                         1. array is allocated
        malloc(sizeof(char) * 10);
5
                                         2. array is freed
      free(s);
6
      free(s);
\overline{7}
     printf("%s", s);
8
      return 0;
9
   }
10
```

```
#include <stdlib.h>
1
2
   int main(void) {
3
     char *s =
4
                                        1. array is allocated
        malloc(sizeof(char) * 10);
5
                                        2. array is freed
     free(s);
6
                                        3. array is double-freed
     free(s);
7
     printf("%s", s);
8
     return 0;
9
   }
10
```

Must recompile code

- Must recompile code
- Adds considerable runtime overhead

- Must recompile code
- Adds considerable runtime overhead
- Does not catch all memory errors

- Must recompile code
- Adds considerable runtime overhead
- Does not catch all memory errors
 - NEVER catches unitialized memory accesses

- Must recompile code
- Adds considerable runtime overhead
- Does not catch all memory errors
 - NEVER catches unitialized memory accesses
 - NEVER catches unitialized memory accesses

- Must recompile code
- Adds considerable runtime overhead
- Does not catch all memory errors
 - NEVER catches unitialized memory accesses
 - NEVER catches unitialized memory accesses
- Still: a must-use tool during development

There is lots of non-memory-related undefined behaviour in C:

- There is lots of non-memory-related undefined behaviour in C:
 - Signed integer overflow

- There is lots of non-memory-related undefined behaviour in C:
 - Signed integer overflow
 - Dereferencing null pointers

- There is lots of non-memory-related undefined behaviour in C:
 - Signed integer overflow
 - Dereferencing null pointers
 - Pointer arithmetic overflow

- There is lots of non-memory-related undefined behaviour in C:
 - Signed integer overflow
 - Dereferencing null pointers
 - Pointer arithmetic overflow
 - Dynamic arrays whose size is non-positive

- There is lots of non-memory-related undefined behaviour in C:
 - Signed integer overflow
 - Dereferencing null pointers
 - Pointer arithmetic overflow
 - Dynamic arrays whose size is non-positive
- Undefined Behaviour Sanitizer (UBSan) instruments code to detect these errors

- There is lots of non-memory-related undefined behaviour in C:
 - Signed integer overflow
 - Dereferencing null pointers
 - Pointer arithmetic overflow
 - Dynamic arrays whose size is non-positive
- Undefined Behaviour Sanitizer (UBSan) instruments code to detect these errors
- Need to recompile

- There is lots of non-memory-related undefined behaviour in C:
 - Signed integer overflow
 - Dereferencing null pointers
 - Pointer arithmetic overflow
 - Dynamic arrays whose size is non-positive
- Undefined Behaviour Sanitizer (UBSan) instruments code to detect these errors
- Need to recompile
- Adds runtime overhead

- There is lots of non-memory-related undefined behaviour in C:
 - Signed integer overflow
 - Dereferencing null pointers
 - Pointer arithmetic overflow
 - Dynamic arrays whose size is non-positive
- Undefined Behaviour Sanitizer (UBSan) instruments code to detect these errors
- Need to recompile
- Adds runtime overhead
- Use it while developing

- There is lots of non-memory-related undefined behaviour in C:
 - Signed integer overflow
 - Dereferencing null pointers
 - Pointer arithmetic overflow
 - Dynamic arrays whose size is non-positive
- Undefined Behaviour Sanitizer (UBSan) instruments code to detect these errors
- Need to recompile
- Adds runtime overhead
- Use it while developing
- Built into gcc and clang!

```
1 #include <limits.h>
```

```
\mathbf{2}
```

```
3 int main(void) {
```

```
4 int n = INT_MAX;
```

```
5 int m = n + 1;
```

```
6 return 0;
```

7 }

```
1 #include <limits.h>
2
3 int main(void) {
4 int n = INT_MAX;
5 int m = n + 1;
6 return 0;
7 }
```

1. Signed integer overflow is undefined

```
1 #include <limits.h>
2
3 int main(void) {
4 int n = INT_MAX;
5 int m = n + 1;
6 return 0;
7 }
```

- 1. Signed integer overflow is undefined
- 2. So value of m is undefined

```
1 #include <limits.h>
```

 $\mathbf{2}$

- 3 int main(void) {
- 4 int n = INT_MAX;

```
5 \quad int m = n + 1;
```

```
6 return 0;
```

7 }

- 1. Signed integer overflow is undefined
- 2. So value of m is undefined
- 3. Compile with
 - -fsanitize=address

- 1 #include <limits.h>
- $\mathbf{2}$
- 3 int main(void) {
- 4 int n = 65
- 5 int m = n / (n n);
- 6 return 0;

7 }

1 #include <limits.h>

 $\mathbf{2}$

3 int main(void) {

```
_{4} int n = 65
```

```
5 int m = n / (n - n);
```

```
6 return 0;
```

7 }

1. Division-by-zero is undefined

- 1 #include <limits.h>
- $\mathbf{2}$
- 3 int main(void) {
- $_{4}$ int n = 65
- 5 int m = n / (n n);

```
6 return 0;
```

7 }

- $1. \ \ {\rm Division-by-zero} \ {\rm is} \ {\rm undefined}$
- 2. So value of m is undefined

- 1 #include <limits.h>
- $\mathbf{2}$

6

7 }

- 3 int main(void) {
- $_{4}$ int n = 65
- 5 int m = n / (n n);
 - return 0;

- $1. \ \ {\rm Division-by-zero} \ {\rm is} \ {\rm undefined}$
- 2. So value of m is undefined
- 3. Any possible behaviour is legal!

```
#include <stdlib.h>
1
\mathbf{2}
3 struct foo {
   int a, b;
4
  };
\mathbf{5}
6
   int main(void) {
7
   struct foo *x = NULL;
8
     int m = x - a;
9
10 return 0;
11 }
```

```
UBSan Example #3
```

```
#include <stdlib.h>
1
2
   struct foo {
3
     int a, b;
4
   };
\mathbf{5}
6
   int main(void) {
7
     struct foo *x = NULL;
8
     int m = x - a;
9
     return 0;
10
   }
11
```

1. Accessing a null pointer is undefined

```
#include <stdlib.h>
1
\mathbf{2}
   struct foo {
3
      int a, b;
4
   };
\mathbf{5}
6
   int main(void) {
7
      struct foo *x = NULL;
8
      int m = x - a;
9
      return 0;
10
   }
11
```

- 1. Accessing a null pointer is undefined
- 2. So accessing fields of x is undefined

```
#include <stdlib.h>
1
2
   struct foo {
3
      int a, b;
\mathbf{4}
   };
5
6
   int main(void) {
7
      struct foo *x = NULL;
8
      int m = x - a;
9
      return 0;
10
   }
11
```

- 1. Accessing a null pointer is undefined
- 2. So accessing fields of x is undefined
- 3. Any possible behaviour is legal!

Must recompile code

- Must recompile code
- Adds modest runtime overhead

- Must recompile code
- Adds modest runtime overhead
- Does not catch all undefined behaviour
UBSan Limitations

- Must recompile code
- Adds modest runtime overhead
- Does not catch all undefined behaviour
- Still: a must-use tool during development

UBSan Limitations

- Must recompile code
- Adds modest runtime overhead
- Does not catch all undefined behaviour
- Still: a must-use tool during development
- Seriously consider using it in production



UBSan and ASan require recompiling

- UBSan and ASan require recompiling
- UBSan and ASan don't catch accesses to unitialized memory

- UBSan and ASan require recompiling
- UBSan and ASan don't catch accesses to unitialized memory
- Enter Valgrind!

- UBSan and ASan require recompiling
- UBSan and ASan don't catch accesses to unitialized memory
- Enter *Valgrind*!
- Instruments binaries to detect numerous errors

```
#include <stdio.h>
1
2
  int main(void) {
3
   char s[10];
4
     for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++)
5
      printf("%c", s[i]);
6
   printf("\n");
7
    return 0;
8
  }
9
```

```
#include <stdio.h>
1
2
   int main(void) {
3
   char s[10];
4
     for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++)
5
       printf("%c", s[i]);
6
    printf("\n");
7
     return 0;
8
  }
9
```

1. Accessing elements of s is undefined

```
#include <stdio.h>
1
2
   int main(void) {
3
    char s[10];
4
     for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++)
5
       printf("%c", s[i]);
6
     printf("\n");
7
     return 0;
8
   }
9
```

- 1. Accessing elements of s is undefined
- 2. Program prints unitialized memory

```
#include <stdio.h>
1
2
   int main(void) {
3
     char s[10];
4
     for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++)
5
       printf("%c", s[i]);
6
     printf("\n");
7
     return 0;
8
   }
9
```

- 1. Accessing elements of s is undefined
- 2. Program prints unitialized memory
- 3. Any possible behaviour is legal!

```
#include <stdio.h>
1
2
   int main(void) {
3
     char s[10];
4
     for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++)
       printf("%c", s[i]);
6
     printf("\n");
7
     return 0;
8
   }
9
```

- 1. Accessing elements of s is undefined
- 2. Program prints unitialized memory
- 3. Any possible behaviour is legal!
- 4. Invoke valgrind with binary name

Adds very substantial runtime overhead

- Adds very substantial runtime overhead
- Not built into GCC/clang (plus or minus?)

- Adds very substantial runtime overhead
- Not built into GCC/clang (plus or minus?)
- As usual, does not catch all undefined behaviour

- Adds very substantial runtime overhead
- Not built into GCC/clang (plus or minus?)
- As usual, does not catch all undefined behaviour
- Still: a must-use tool during testing

Assessed Exercise

See "Head of Department's Announcement"

- ► To be completed by noon on Monday 22 January 2018
- Viva examinations 1330-1630 on Thursday 25 January 2018
- Viva examinations 1330-1630 on Friday 26 January 2018
- Download the starter pack from: http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/Teaching/1718/ProgC++/
- This should contain eight files:

server.c client.c rfc0791.txt rfc0793.txt
message1 message2 message3 message4

Exercise aims

Demonstrate an ability to:

- Understand (simple) networking code
- Use control flow, functions, structures and pointers
- Use libraries, including reading and writing files
- Understand a specification
- Compile and test code
- Comprehending man pages

Task is split into three parts:

- Comprehension and debugging
- Preliminary analysis
- Completed code and testing

Exercise submission

- Assessment is in the form of a 'tick'
- There will be a short viva; remember to sign up!
- Submission is via email to c-tick@cl.cam.ac.uk
- Your submission should include seven files, packed in to a ZIP file called crsid.zip and attached to your submission email:

answers.txt	client1.c	summary.c	message1.txt
	server1.c	extract.c	message2.jpg

Hints: IP header

2 3 0 0 23456789012345 6789 0 1 23456789 0 1 |Type of Service| Total Length Version IHL Identification |Flags| Fragment Offset -+-+-+-+ -+-+-+-+ Protocol Header Checksum Time to Live | Τ -+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+ Source Address Destination Address -+-+-+-+ -+-+-+-+-+ Options Padding -+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+

```
Hints: IP header (in C)
1 #include <stdint.h>
2
3 struct ip {
    uint8_t hlenver;
4
   uint8_t tos;
5
   uint16_t len;
6
   uint16_t id;
7
   uint16_t off;
8
   uint8_t ttl;
9
10
   uint8_t p;
uint16_t sum;
12 uint32_t src;
    uint32_t dst;
13
```

```
14 };
```

```
15
```

```
16 #define IP_HLEN(lenver) (lenver & OxOf)
```

```
17 #define IP_VER(lenver) (lenver >> 4)
```

Hints: network byte order

- The IP network is big-endian; x86 is little-endian; ARM can be either
- Reading multi-byte values requires possible conversion
- The BSD API specifies:
 - uint16_t ntohs(uint16_t netshort)
 - uint32_t ntohl(uint32_t netlong)
 - uint16_t htons(uint16_t hostshort)
 - uint32_t htonl(uint32_t hostlong)

which encapsulate the notions of \underline{host} and $\underline{network}$ and their interconversion (which may be a no-op)