To derive the god slgor. Thm.

Lemma 56 (Key Lemma) Let m and m' be natural numbers and let n be a positive integer such that $m \equiv m' \pmod{n}$. Then,

$$CD(m,n) = CD(m',n)$$
.

Proof:

Giren mænden ohose m's.t. m.z.m'(mol.n)
So as to compute

m'=m-n. CD(min) by wonputing instead CD(m,n) CD(m-n,n)

Lemma 58 For all positive integers m and n,

 Lemma 58 For all positive integers m and n,

$$\mathrm{CD}(m,n) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{D}(n) & \text{, if } n \mid m \\ \\ \mathrm{CD}\big(n,\mathrm{rem}(m,n)\big) & \text{, otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

Since a positive integer n is the greatest divisor in D(n), the lemma suggests a recursive procedure:

$$\gcd(m,n) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} n & \text{, if } n \mid m \\ \\ \gcd\left(n,\operatorname{rem}(m,n)\right) & \text{, otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

for computing the *greatest common divisor*, of two positive integers m and n. This is

Euclid's Algorithm

```
gcd
```

Example 59 $(\gcd(13, 34) = 1)$

$$\gcd(13,34) = \gcd(34,13)$$
 $= \gcd(13,8)$
 $= \gcd(8,5)$
 $= \gcd(5,3)$
 $= \gcd(3,2)$
 $= \gcd(2,1)$

Theorem 60 Euclid's Algorithm \gcd terminates on all pairs of positive integers and, for such m and n, $\gcd(m,n)$ is the greatest common divisor of m and n in the sense that the following two properties hold:

- (i) both $gcd(m, n) \mid m$ and $gcd(m, n) \mid n$, and
- (ii) for all positive integers d such that $d \mid m$ and $d \mid n$ it necessarily follows that $d \mid gcd(m, n)$.

$$(*)$$
 CD $(m,n) = D(gcd(m,n))$
which is equivalent to $(!)$ and (2) .

characterisis property of gcd (m, n) In fact
(*)

(=)

+d. de CD(m,n) (=> de D(gcd(m,n)) $\forall d. (d|m \wedge d|n) \Rightarrow d|g.cd(m,n)$

Exercise Show Met

(*) (=) (i) \((ii))

PROOF PRINCIPLE

To prove that k is The g.cd (m,n)

Ne med prove

(i) k|m ~ R|n

(ii) + d. d|m ~ d|n => d|k

Termination: () (mm) gcd(m,n) $m = q \cdot n + r$ 0 < m < nn|mq > 0, 0 < r < ngcd(n, m)n r|nq' > 0, 0 < r' < r $r \leftarrow 1$ gcd(r, r')Termination: $O(\log(\max(m,n)))$ Claim: $r < n \implies 2r < n$ because 2r < r + r < n There is a more precise analysis related to Fibonacci numbers. For Hint: compute gcd (Forti, For)

Fractions in lowest terms

Some fundamental properties of gcds

Lemma 62 For all positive integers l, m, and n,

1. (Commutativity) gcd(m, n) = gcd(n, m),

(2) (Associativity) gcd(l, gcd(m, n)) = gcd(gcd(l, m), n),

3. (Linearity) a $gcd(l \cdot m, l \cdot n) = l \cdot gcd(m, n)$.

Proof:

Exerust

easily.
provable
by The
ROOF
PRINCIP

PRINCIPLE

^aAka (Distributivity).

(1) To show gcd (m,n) = gcd (n,m) De establish the disroctivizing property of gcd (m,n); That is, (i) ged (n,m) | m n ged (n,m) | n (ii) Vd. Almadla = Alged(n,m) This follows straight forwardly by The characteristing property of gcd (n,m). Let limin be positive ute pers. 2.78 gcd (l.m, l.n) = l.gcd (min) Cose 1 n/m: n|m > ln|lm l. g.cd (m,n) = l. n and me are done gcd (lm, ln) = l.n Cose2 otherwise: (Suppose w.l.g. That min) l. g.cd (m,n) = l. gcd (n, rem (m,n)) gcd(lm, ln) = gcd(ln, rem(lm, ln)) = gcd(ln, l. rem(m, n))

The property stare is maintained throught The computation and so The output of gcd (lm, ln) is I times The output of gcd (m, n)



We need to relate rem (m,n)

rem (lm, ln)

m = g - n + rem(m,n)

 $0 \le rem(m,n) \le n \implies$

 $0 \le l \cdot rev(u,n) < l n$

l.m = q. (ln) + l. rem (m,n)

l. rem(m,n)
= rem(lm,ln)

Euclid's Theorem

Theorem 63 For positive integers k, m, and n, if $k \mid (m \cdot n)$ and gcd(k, m) = 1 then $k \mid n$.

PROOF: Let
$$k, m, n$$
 by $pr. int$.

From $k[(m \cdot n)] \rightarrow d$ $gcd(k, m) = 1$
 $pre}: k[n \cdot (2-1)] \qquad (1)$
 $pre}: k[n \cdot (2-1)] \qquad (2) \Rightarrow m \cdot n = k \cdot i$
 $pre}: k[n \cdot (2-1)] \qquad (2) \Rightarrow m \cdot n = k \cdot i$
 $pre}: k[n \cdot (2-1)] \qquad (2) \Rightarrow m \cdot n = k \cdot i$
 $pre}: k[n \cdot (2-1)] \qquad (2) \Rightarrow m \cdot n = k \cdot i$
 $pre}: k[n \cdot (2-1)] \qquad (1) \Rightarrow pre}: k \cdot pre}: k$

Corollary 64 (Euclid's Theorem) For positive integers \mathfrak{m} and \mathfrak{n} , and prime \mathfrak{p} , if $\mathfrak{p} \mid (\mathfrak{m} \cdot \mathfrak{n})$ then $\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{m}$ or $\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{n}$.

Now, the second part of Fermat's Little Theorem follows as a corollary of the first part and Euclid's Theorem.

PROOF: