

⑥ Mini-ML *type-inference* problem:

given Γ & M , does there exist σ
such that $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$ holds?

Two examples involving self-application

$$M \triangleq \text{let } f = \lambda x_1 (\lambda x_2 (x_1)) \text{ in } f f$$

$$M' \triangleq (\lambda f (f f)) \lambda x_1 (\lambda x_2 (x_1))$$

Are M and M' typeable in the Mini-ML type system?

(in the empty typing environment)

Figure 1 [p15]

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{x_1: \quad , x_2: \quad \vdash x_1:} \text{(C3)} \\
 \frac{}{x_1: \quad \vdash \lambda x_2(x_1):} \text{(C2)} \\
 \frac{}{\{ \} \vdash \lambda x_1(\lambda x_2(x_1)) :} \text{(C1)} \\
 \frac{}{f: \quad \vdash f:} \text{(C4)} \\
 \frac{}{f: \quad \vdash ff:} \text{(C6)} \\
 \frac{}{\{ \} \vdash \text{let } f = \lambda x_1(\lambda x_2(x_1)) \text{ in } ff :} \text{(C0)}
 \end{array}$$

Figure 1 [p 19]

$\forall\{\}\tau_3$

$\forall\{\}\tau_5$

$x_1 : \tau_3, x_2 : \tau_5 \vdash x_1 : \tau_6$ (C3)

$x_1 : \tau_3 \vdash \lambda x_2(x_1) : \tau_4$ (C2)

$\{\} \vdash \lambda x_1(\lambda x_2(x_1)) : \tau_2$ (C1)

$f : \forall A(\tau_2) \vdash f : \tau_7$ (C5) $f : \forall A(\tau_2) \vdash f : \tau_8$ (C6)

$f : \forall A(\tau_2) \vdash ff : \tau_1$ (C4)

$\{\} \vdash \text{let } f = \lambda x_1(\lambda x_2(x_1)) \text{ in } ff : \tau_1$ (C0)

Constraints generated while inferring a type for
 $\text{let } f = \lambda x_1 (\lambda x_2 (x_1)) \text{ in } f f$

$$A = f t v(\tau_2) \quad (\text{C0})$$

$$\tau_2 = \tau_3 \rightarrow \tau_4 \quad (\text{C1})$$

$$\tau_4 = \tau_5 \rightarrow \tau_6 \quad (\text{C2})$$

$$\forall \{ \} (\tau_3) \succ \tau_6, \text{ i.e. } \tau_3 = \tau_6 \quad (\text{C3})$$

$$\tau_7 = \tau_8 \rightarrow \tau_1 \quad (\text{C4})$$

$$\forall A (\tau_2) \succ \tau_7 \quad (\text{C5})$$

$$\forall A (\tau_2) \succ \tau_8 \quad (\text{C6})$$

$$\tau_2 \stackrel{(C1)}{=} \tau_3 \rightarrow \tau_4 \stackrel{(C2)}{=} \tau_3 \rightarrow (\tau_5 \rightarrow \tau_6) \stackrel{(C3)}{=} \tau_6 \rightarrow (\tau_5 \rightarrow \tau_6)$$

$$\tau_2 \stackrel{(C1)}{=} \tau_3 \rightarrow \tau_4 \stackrel{(C2)}{=} \tau_3 \rightarrow (\tau_5 \rightarrow \tau_6) \stackrel{(C3)}{=} \tau_6 \rightarrow (\tau_5 \rightarrow \tau_6)$$

Take $\left. \begin{array}{l} \tau_6 = \alpha_1 \\ \tau_5 = \alpha_2 \end{array} \right\}$ type variables.

$$\text{So } A = \text{ftv}(\tau_2) = \text{ftv}(\alpha_1 \rightarrow (\alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_1)) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$$

$$\tau_2 \stackrel{(C1)}{=} \tau_3 \rightarrow \tau_4 \stackrel{(C2)}{=} \tau_3 \rightarrow (\tau_5 \rightarrow \tau_6) \stackrel{(C3)}{=} \tau_6 \rightarrow (\tau_5 \rightarrow \tau_6)$$

Take $\left. \begin{array}{l} \tau_6 = \alpha_1 \\ \tau_5 = \alpha_2 \end{array} \right\}$ type variables.

$$\text{So } A = \text{ftv}(\tau_2) = \text{ftv}(\alpha_1 \rightarrow (\alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_1)) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$$

$$(C5): \quad \forall \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} (\alpha_1 \rightarrow (\alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_1)) > \tau_7 \stackrel{(C4)}{=} \tau_8 \rightarrow \tau_1$$

$$(C6): \quad \text{" " " " } > \tau_8$$

$$\text{so } \begin{cases} \tau_8 \rightarrow \tau_1 = \tau_9 \rightarrow (\tau_{10} \rightarrow \tau_9) \\ \tau_8 = \tau_{11} \rightarrow (\tau_{12} \rightarrow \tau_{11}) \end{cases} \text{ for some } \begin{array}{l} \tau_9, \tau_{10}, \\ \tau_{11}, \tau_{12} \end{array}$$

$$\tau_2 \stackrel{(C1)}{=} \tau_3 \rightarrow \tau_4 \stackrel{(C2)}{=} \tau_3 \rightarrow (\tau_5 \rightarrow \tau_6) \stackrel{(C3)}{=} \tau_6 \rightarrow (\tau_5 \rightarrow \tau_6)$$

Take $\left. \begin{array}{l} \tau_6 = \alpha_1 \\ \tau_5 = \alpha_2 \end{array} \right\}$ type variables.

So $A = \text{ftv}(\tau_2) = \text{ftv}(\alpha_1 \rightarrow (\alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_1)) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$

(C5): $\forall \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} (\alpha_1 \rightarrow (\alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_1)) > \tau_7 \stackrel{(C4)}{=} \tau_8 \rightarrow \tau_1$

(C6): " " " " $> \tau_8$

so $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tau_8 = \tau_9 \ \& \ \tau_1 = (\tau_{10} \rightarrow \tau_9) \\ \tau_8 = \tau_{11} \rightarrow (\tau_{12} \rightarrow \tau_{11}) \end{array} \right.$ for some $\tau_9, \tau_{10}, \tau_{11}, \tau_{12}$

so $\tau_1 = \tau_{10} \rightarrow \tau_9 = \tau_{10} \rightarrow \tau_8 = \tau_{10} \rightarrow (\tau_{11} \rightarrow (\tau_{12} \rightarrow \tau_{11}))$

Thus

$$\{ \} \vdash (\text{let } f = \lambda x_1 (\lambda x_2 (x_1)) \text{ in } ff) : \tau_{10} \rightarrow (\tau_{11} \rightarrow (\tau_{12} \rightarrow \tau_{11}))$$

holds for any $\tau_{10}, \tau_{11}, \tau_{12}$

So

$$\vdash (\text{let } f = \lambda x_1 (\lambda x_2 (x_1)) \text{ in } ff) :$$

$$\forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 (\alpha_1 \rightarrow (\alpha_2 \rightarrow (\alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_2)))$$

Two examples involving self-application

$$M \triangleq \text{let } f = \lambda x_1 (\lambda x_2 (x_1)) \text{ in } f f$$

$$M' \triangleq (\lambda f (f f)) \lambda x_1 (\lambda x_2 (x_1))$$

Are M and M' typeable in the Mini-ML type system?

[Page 17]

The constraints generated from trying to type

$(\lambda f(f f)) \lambda x_1(\lambda x_2(x_1))$

give

$$\tau_7 \stackrel{(C13)}{=} \tau_4 \stackrel{(C12)}{=} \tau_6 \stackrel{(C11)}{=} \tau_7 \rightarrow \tau_5$$

[Page 17]

The constraints generated from trying to type

$(\lambda f(f f)) \lambda x_1(\lambda x_2(x_1))$

give

$\tau_7 \stackrel{(C13)}{=} \tau_4 \stackrel{(C12)}{=} \tau_6 \stackrel{(C11)}{=} \tau_7 \rightarrow \tau_5$

~~XXXX~~

these
cannot be equal - they
have different numbers of
the symbol " \rightarrow " in them

Two examples involving self-application

$$M \triangleq \text{let } f = \lambda x_1 (\lambda x_2 (x_1)) \text{ in } f f$$

$$M' \triangleq (\lambda f (f f)) \lambda x_1 (\lambda x_2 (x_1))$$

Are M and M' typeable in the Mini-ML type system?

This is not typeable

Principal type schemes for closed expressions

A type scheme $\forall A (\tau)$ is the *principal* type scheme of a closed Mini-ML expression M if

Principal type schemes for closed expressions

A type scheme $\forall A (\tau)$ is the *principal* type scheme of a closed Mini-ML expression M if

(a) $\vdash M : \forall A (\tau)$ (ie. $\{\} \vdash M : \tau$ is provable)
& $A = \text{ftv}(\tau)$

Principal type schemes for closed expressions

A type scheme $\forall A (\tau)$ is the *principal* type scheme of a closed Mini-ML expression M if

(a) $\vdash M : \forall A (\tau)$

(b) for any other type scheme $\forall A' (\tau')$,
if $\vdash M : \forall A' (\tau')$, then $\forall A (\tau) \succ \tau'$

Principal type schemes for closed expressions

A type scheme $\forall A (\tau)$ is the *principal* type scheme of a closed Mini-ML expression M if

(a) $\vdash M : \forall A (\tau)$

(b) for any other type scheme $\forall A' (\tau')$,
if $\vdash M : \forall A' (\tau')$, then $\forall A (\tau) \succ \tau'$

eg $\forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 (\alpha_1 \rightarrow (\alpha_2 \rightarrow (\alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_2)))$ is principal type scheme

let $f = \lambda x_1 (\lambda x_2 (x_1, 1))$ in ff

Theorem (Hindley; Damas-Milner)

Theorem. If the closed Mini-ML expression M is typeable (i.e. $\vdash M : \sigma$ holds for some type scheme σ), then there is a principal type scheme for M .

Theorem (Hindley; Damas-Milner)

Theorem. If the closed Mini-ML expression M is typeable (i.e. $\vdash M : \sigma$ holds for some type scheme σ), then there is a principal type scheme for M .

Indeed, there is an algorithm which, given any closed Mini-ML expression M as input, decides whether or not it is typeable and returns a principal type scheme if it is.

An ML expression with
a principal type scheme
hundreds of pages long

```
let pair =  $\lambda x (\lambda y (\lambda z (z x y)))$  in  
  let  $x_1 = \lambda y (pair\ y\ y)$  in  
    let  $x_2 = \lambda y (x_1(x_1\ y))$  in  
      let  $x_3 = \lambda y (x_2(x_2\ y))$  in  
        let  $x_4 = \lambda y (x_3(x_3\ y))$  in  
          let  $x_5 = \lambda y (x_4(x_4\ y))$  in  
             $x_5(\lambda y (y))$ 
```

Unification of ML types

There is an algorithm *mgv* which when input two Mini-ML types τ_1 and τ_2 decides whether τ_1 and τ_2 are *unifiable*, i.e. whether there exists a type-substitution $S \in \mathbf{Sub}$ with

Unification of ML types

There is an algorithm *mg* which when input two Mini-ML types τ_1 and τ_2 decides whether τ_1 and τ_2 are *unifiable*, i.e. whether there exists a type-substitution $S \in \mathbf{Sub}$ with

(a) $S(\tau_1) = S(\tau_2)$.

Unification of ML types

There is an algorithm *mgu* which when input two Mini-ML types τ_1 and τ_2 decides whether τ_1 and τ_2 are *unifiable*, i.e. whether there exists a type-substitution $S \in \mathbf{Sub}$ with

$$(a) \ S(\tau_1) = S(\tau_2).$$

Moreover, if they are unifiable, $mgu(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ returns the *most general unifier*—an S satisfying both (a) and

Unification of ML types

There is an algorithm *mgu* which when input two Mini-ML types τ_1 and τ_2 decides whether τ_1 and τ_2 are *unifiable*, i.e. whether there exists a type-substitution $S \in \mathbf{Sub}$ with

(a) $S(\tau_1) = S(\tau_2)$.

Moreover, if they are unifiable, $mgu(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ returns the *most general unifier*—an S satisfying both (a) and

(b) for all $S' \in \mathbf{Sub}$, if $S'(\tau_1) = S'(\tau_2)$, then $S' = TS$ for some $T \in \mathbf{Sub}$

Unification of ML types

There is an algorithm *mgu* which when input two Mini-ML types τ_1 and τ_2 decides whether τ_1 and τ_2 are *unifiable*, i.e. whether there exists a type-substitution $S \in \mathbf{Sub}$ with

(a) $S(\tau_1) = S(\tau_2)$.

Moreover, if they are unifiable, $mgu(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ returns the *most general unifier*—an S satisfying both (a) and

(b) for all $S' \in \mathbf{Sub}$, if $S'(\tau_1) = S'(\tau_2)$, then $S' = TS$ for some $T \in \mathbf{Sub}$

(any other substitution S' can be factored through S , by specialising S with T)

Unification of ML types

There is an algorithm *mgu* which when input two Mini-ML types τ_1 and τ_2 decides whether τ_1 and τ_2 are *unifiable*, i.e. whether there exists a type-substitution $S \in \mathbf{Sub}$ with

(a) $S(\tau_1) = S(\tau_2)$.

Moreover, if they are unifiable, $mgu(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ returns the *most general unifier*—an S satisfying both (a) and

(b) for all $S' \in \mathbf{Sub}$, if $S'(\tau_1) = S'(\tau_2)$, then $S' = TS$ for some $T \in \mathbf{Sub}$

(any other substitution S' can be factored through S , by specialising S with T)

By convention $mgu(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \mathit{FAIL}$ if (and only if) τ_1 and τ_2 are not unifiable.

Principal type schemes for open expressions

A *solution* for the typing problem $\Gamma \vdash M : ?$ is a pair (S, σ) consisting of a type substitution S and a type scheme σ satisfying

$$S\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$$

(where $S\Gamma = \{x_1 : S\sigma_1, \dots, x_n : S\sigma_n\}$, if $\Gamma = \{x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n\}$).

Principal type schemes for open expressions

A *solution* for the typing problem $\Gamma \vdash M : ?$ is a pair (S, σ) consisting of a type substitution S and a type scheme σ satisfying

$$S\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$$

(where $S\Gamma = \{x_1 : S\sigma_1, \dots, x_n : S\sigma_n\}$, if $\Gamma = \{x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n\}$).

capture-avoiding substitution

eg if $S = \{\alpha \mapsto \beta\}$ and $\sigma_1 = \forall \beta(\alpha)$

then $S\sigma_1 = \forall \gamma(\beta)$ (any $\gamma \neq \beta$)

$S\sigma_1 \neq \forall \beta(\beta)$

Principal type schemes for open expressions

A *solution* for the typing problem $\Gamma \vdash M : ?$ is a pair (S, σ) consisting of a type substitution S and a type scheme σ satisfying

$$S\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$$

(where $S\Gamma = \{x_1 : S\sigma_1, \dots, x_n : S\sigma_n\}$, if $\Gamma = \{x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n\}$).

Such a solution is *principal* if given any other, (S', σ') , there is some $T \in \mathbf{Sub}$ with $TS = S'$ and $T(\sigma) \succ \sigma'$.

Principal type schemes for open expressions

A *solution* for the typing problem $\Gamma \vdash M : ?$ is a pair (S, σ) consisting of a type substitution S and a type scheme σ satisfying

$$S\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$$

(where $S\Gamma = \{x_1 : S\sigma_1, \dots, x_n : S\sigma_n\}$, if $\Gamma = \{x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n\}$).

Such a solution is *principal* if given any other, (S', σ') , there is some $T \in \mathbf{Sub}$ with $TS = S'$ and $T(\sigma) \succ \sigma'$.

(For type schemes σ and σ' , with $\sigma' = \forall A' (\tau')$ say, we define $\sigma \succ \sigma'$ to mean $A' \cap \text{ftv}(\sigma) = \{\}$ and $\sigma \succ \tau'$.)

Principal type schemes for open expressions

A *solution* for the typing problem $\Gamma \vdash M : ?$ is a pair (S, σ) consisting of a type substitution S and a type scheme σ satisfying

$$S\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$$

(where $S\Gamma = \{x_1 : S\sigma_1, \dots, x_n : S\sigma_n\}$, if $\Gamma = \{x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n\}$).

Such a solution is *principal* if given any other, (S', σ') , there is some $T \in \mathbf{Sub}$ with $TS = S'$ and $T(\sigma) \succ \sigma'$.

(For type schemes σ and σ' , with $\sigma' = \forall A' (\tau')$ say, we define $\sigma \succ \sigma'$ to mean $A' \cap \text{ftv}(\sigma) = \{\}$ and $\sigma \succ \tau'$.)

eg $\forall \alpha (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \succ (\beta \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta$
bwt $\forall \alpha (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \not\succeq \forall \beta ((\beta \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta)$

Example typing problem and solutions

Typing problem

$$x : \forall \alpha (\beta \rightarrow (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha)) \vdash x \text{ true} : ?$$

has solutions:

Example typing problem and solutions

Typing problem

$$x : \forall \alpha (\beta \rightarrow (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha)) \vdash x \text{ true} : ?$$

has solutions:

► $S_1 = \{\beta \mapsto \text{bool}\}, \sigma_1 = \forall \alpha (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha)$

Example typing problem and solutions

Typing problem

$$x : \forall \alpha (\beta \rightarrow (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha)) \vdash x \text{ true} : ?$$

has solutions:

- ▶ $S_1 = \{\beta \mapsto \text{bool}\}, \sigma_1 = \forall \alpha (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha)$
- ▶ $S_2 = \{\beta \mapsto \text{bool}, \gamma \mapsto \alpha\}, \sigma_2 = \forall \alpha' (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha')$

$$\underline{\text{NB}} \quad \sigma_2 (\forall \alpha (\beta \rightarrow (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha))) = \forall \alpha' (\text{bool} \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha'))$$

(any $\alpha' \neq \alpha$)

Example typing problem and solutions

Typing problem

$$x : \forall \alpha (\beta \rightarrow (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha)) \vdash x \text{ true} : ?$$

has solutions:

- ▶ $S_1 = \{\beta \mapsto \text{bool}\}, \sigma_1 = \forall \alpha (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha)$
- ▶ $S_2 = \{\beta \mapsto \text{bool}, \gamma \mapsto \alpha\}, \sigma_2 = \forall \alpha' (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha')$
- ▶ $S_3 = \{\beta \mapsto \text{bool}, \gamma \mapsto \alpha\}, \sigma_3 = \forall \alpha' (\alpha \rightarrow (\alpha' \rightarrow \alpha'))$

Example typing problem and solutions

Typing problem

$$x : \forall \alpha (\beta \rightarrow (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha)) \vdash x \text{ true} : ?$$

has solutions:

- ▶ $S_1 = \{\beta \mapsto \text{bool}\}, \sigma_1 = \forall \alpha (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha)$
- ▶ $S_2 = \{\beta \mapsto \text{bool}, \gamma \mapsto \alpha\}, \sigma_2 = \forall \alpha' (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha')$
- ▶ $S_3 = \{\beta \mapsto \text{bool}, \gamma \mapsto \alpha\}, \sigma_3 = \forall \alpha' (\alpha \rightarrow (\alpha' \rightarrow \alpha'))$
- ▶ $S_4 = \{\beta \mapsto \text{bool}, \gamma \mapsto \text{bool}\}, \sigma_3 = \forall \{ \} (\text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool})$

Example typing problem and solutions

Typing problem

$$x : \forall \alpha (\beta \rightarrow (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha)) \vdash x \text{ true} : ?$$

has solutions:

- ▶ $S_1 = \{\beta \mapsto \text{bool}\}, \sigma_1 = \forall \alpha (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha)$
- ▶ $S_2 = \{\beta \mapsto \text{bool}, \gamma \mapsto \alpha\}, \sigma_2 = \forall \alpha' (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha')$
- ▶ $S_3 = \{\beta \mapsto \text{bool}, \gamma \mapsto \alpha\}, \sigma_3 = \forall \alpha' (\alpha \rightarrow (\alpha' \rightarrow \alpha'))$
- ▶ $S_4 = \{\beta \mapsto \text{bool}, \gamma \mapsto \text{bool}\}, \sigma_3 = \forall \{ \} (\text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool})$

Both (S_1, σ_1) and (S_2, σ_2) are in fact principal solutions.

Properties of the Mini-ML typing relation with respect to substitution and type scheme specialisation

- ▶ If $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$, then for any type substitution $S \in \mathbf{Sub}$

$$S\Gamma \vdash M : S\sigma$$

Properties of the Mini-ML typing relation with respect to substitution and type scheme specialisation

- ▶ If $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$, then for any type substitution $S \in \mathbf{Sub}$

$$S\Gamma \vdash M : S\sigma$$

- ▶ If $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$ and $\sigma \succ \sigma'$, then

$$\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma'$$

Requirements for a principal typing algorithm, *pt*

pt operates on typing problems $\Gamma \vdash M : ?$ (consisting of a typing environment Γ and a Mini-ML expression M).

It returns either a pair (S, τ) consisting of a type substitution $S \in \mathbf{Sub}$ and a Mini-ML type τ , or the exception *FAIL*.

- ▶ If $\Gamma \vdash M : ?$ has a solution (cf. Slide 28), then $pt(\Gamma \vdash M : ?)$ returns (S, τ) for some S and τ ;
moreover, setting $A = (ftv(\tau) - ftv(S \Gamma))$, then $(S, \forall A (\tau))$ is a principal solution for the problem $\Gamma \vdash M : ?$.
- ▶ If $\Gamma \vdash M : ?$ has no solution, then $pt(\Gamma \vdash M : ?)$ returns *FAIL*.

How the principal typing algorithm *pt* works

$$pt(\Gamma \vdash M : ?) = (S, \tau) \mid FAIL$$

- ▶ Call *pt* recursively following the structure of *M* and guided by the typing rules, bottom-up.
- ▶ Thread substitutions sequentially and compose them together when returning from a recursive call.
- ▶ When types need to agree to satisfy a typing rule, use *mgu* (and *pt* returns *FAIL* only if *mgu* does).
- ▶ When types are unknown, generate a fresh type variable.

Some of the clauses in a definition of *pt*

Function abstractions: $pt(\Gamma \vdash \lambda x (M) : ?) \triangleq$

let $\alpha = \text{fresh in}$

let $(S, \tau) = pt(\Gamma, x : \alpha \vdash M : ?)$ in $(S, S(\alpha) \rightarrow \tau)$

$$(f_n) \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash M : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x (M) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \quad x \notin \text{dom } \Gamma$$

Some of the clauses in a definition of *pt*

$$(app) \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash N : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash MN : \tau_2}$$

Function applications: $pt(\Gamma \vdash M_1 M_2 : ?) \triangleq$

let $(S_1, \tau_1) = pt(\Gamma \vdash M_1 : ?)$ in

let $(S_2, \tau_2) = pt(S_1 \Gamma \vdash M_2 : ?)$ in

let $\alpha = \text{fresh}$ in

let $S_3 = mgu(S_2 \tau_1, \tau_2 \rightarrow \alpha)$ in $(S_3 S_2 S_1, S_3(\alpha))$

$$\rho\epsilon(\Gamma \vdash M_1 : ?) = (S_1, \tau_1)$$


$$S_1, \Gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau_1$$

$$\hookrightarrow \rho\epsilon(\Gamma \vdash M_1, M_2 : ?) =$$

$$\text{pt}(\Gamma \vdash M_1 : ?) = (S_1, \tau_1)$$

+slide 28

$$S_2 S_1 \Gamma \vdash M_1 : S_2 \tau_1$$

$$\text{pt}(S_1 \Gamma \vdash M_2 : ?) = (S_2, \tau_2)$$

$$S_2 S_1 \Gamma \vdash M_2 : \tau_2$$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{pt}(\Gamma' \vdash M_1 M_2 : ?) =$$

$$\text{pt}(\Gamma \vdash M_1 : ?) = (S_1, \tau_1)$$

$$\text{pt}(S_1 \Gamma \vdash M_2 : ?) = (S_2, \tau_2)$$

$$\text{mgu}(S_2 \tau_1, \tau_2 \rightarrow \alpha) = S_3$$

+ slide 28

$$S_3 \tau_2 \rightarrow S_3 \alpha$$

$$S_3 S_2 S_1 \Gamma \vdash M_1 : S_3 S_2 \tau_1$$

$$S_3 S_2 S_1 \Gamma \vdash M_2 : S_3 \tau_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hookrightarrow \text{pt}(\Gamma' \vdash M_1 M_2 : ?) = \\ (S_3 S_2 S_1, S_3 \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{pt}(\Gamma \vdash M_1 : ?) = (S_1, \tau_1)$$

$$\text{pt}(S_1 \Gamma \vdash M_2 : ?) = (S_2, \tau_2)$$

$$\text{mgu}(S_2 \tau_1, \tau_2 \rightarrow \alpha) = S_3$$

$$S_3 S_2 \tau_1$$

$\rightarrow \parallel$

$$S_3 S_2 S_1 \Gamma \vdash M_1 : S_3 \tau_2 \rightarrow S_3 \alpha$$

$$S_3 S_2 S_1 \Gamma \vdash M_2 : S_3 \tau_2$$

(app)

$$S_3 S_2 S_1 \Gamma \vdash M_1 M_2 : S_3 \alpha$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hookrightarrow \text{pt}(\Gamma \vdash M_1 M_2 : ?) = \\ (S_3 S_2 S_1, S_3 \alpha) \end{aligned}$$