Alistair Fisher: Annotation 3

To early man, fire was a divine gift randomly delivered in the form of lightning, forest fire or burning lava.

 $C_f = \{\text{fire (subject, so takes precedence)}, \text{ early man, lightning, forest fire, burning lava}\}$

Unable to make flame for themselves (peoples - this is a cataphor), the earliest peoples probably stored fire by keeping slow-burning logs alight or by carrying charcoal in pots.

 C_f = {the earliest peoples (subject of unable), fire, slow-burning logs, charcoal, pots} C_b = fire (directly realized by flame).

Transition: n/a as no previous C_h

How and where man learnt how to produce flame at will is unknown.

C_f = {man (subject of learnt), fire}

 C_b = fire (directly realized by "flame"). This is arguable, but I am judging that 'man' is a distinct entity from 'the earliest peoples.

Transition: RETAIN

It (fire) was probably a secondary invention, accidentally made during tool-making operations with wood or stone.

C_f = {fire (subject of was), wood, stone} C_b = fire

Transition: CONTINUATION

'It' resolves to fire to comply with rule 1, since all antecedents are in earlier sentences, need to resolve it to the previous $C_{\rm b}$, which cannot be realised by a different pronoun in the sentence (as there are none).

Studies of primitive societies suggest that the earliest method of making fire was through friction.

C_f = {studies of primitive societies (subject of suggest), earliest method of making fire, fire, friction}

 C_b = fire

Transition: RETAIN

European peasants would insert a wooden drill in a round hole and rotate it (drill) briskly between their palms.

C_f = {peasants, wooden drill, round hole}

C_b = earliest method of making fire, this is indirectly realized by the method described.

Transition: SHIFT