

Outline of today's lecture

Lecture 3: Prediction and part-of-speech tagging

- Corpora in NLP

- Word prediction

- Part-of-speech (POS) tagging

- Evaluation in general, evaluation of POS tagging

First of two lectures that concern **syntax** (i.e., how words fit together). This lecture: 'shallow' syntax: word sequences and POS tags. Next lecture: more detailed syntactic structures.

First lecture on **statistical NLP**

Statistical techniques: NLP and linguistics

But it must be recognized that the notion 'probability of a sentence' is an entirely useless one, under any known interpretation of this term. (Chomsky 1969)

Whenever I fire a linguist our system performance improves. (Jelinek, 1988: reported)

Statistical techniques: NLP and linguistics

But it must be recognized that the notion 'probability of a sentence' is an entirely useless one, under any known interpretation of this term. (Chomsky 1969)

Whenever I fire a linguist our system performance improves. (Jelinek, 1988: reported)

Corpora

- ▶ **corpus**: text that has been collected for some purpose.
- ▶ **balanced corpus**: texts representing different genres
genre is a type of text (vs domain)
- ▶ **tagged corpus**: a corpus annotated with POS tags
- ▶ **treebank**: a corpus annotated with parse trees
- ▶ specialist corpora — e.g., collected to train or evaluate particular applications
 - ▶ Movie reviews for sentiment classification
 - ▶ Data collected from simulation of a dialogue system

Prediction

Guess the missing word:

Wright tells her story with great _____.

Prediction

Guess the missing word:

Wright tells her story with great professionalism.

Uses of prediction

- ▶ language modelling for speech recognition to disambiguate results from signal processing: e.g., using **n-grams**.
 - ▶ *have an ice Dave*
 - ▶ *heaven ice day*
 - ▶ *have a nice day*
- ▶ word prediction for communication aids:
e.g., to help enter text that's input to a synthesiser
- ▶ text entry on mobile phones and similar devices

Uses of prediction

- ▶ language modelling for speech recognition to disambiguate results from signal processing: e.g., using **n-grams**.
 - ▶ *have an ice Dave*
 - ▶ *heaven ice day*
 - ▶ *have a nice day*
- ▶ word prediction for communication aids:
e.g., to help enter text that's input to a synthesiser
- ▶ text entry on mobile phones and similar devices

Uses of prediction

- ▶ language modelling for speech recognition to disambiguate results from signal processing: e.g., using **n-grams**.
 - ▶ *have an ice Dave*
 - ▶ *heaven ice day*
 - ▶ *have a nice day*
- ▶ word prediction for communication aids:
e.g., to help enter text that's input to a synthesiser
- ▶ text entry on mobile phones and similar devices

bigrams (n-gram with N=2)

A probability is assigned to a word based on the previous word:

$$P(w_n | w_{n-1})$$

where w_n is the nth word in a sentence.

Probability of a sequence of words (assuming independence):

$$P(W_1^n) \approx \prod_{k=1}^n P(w_k | w_{k-1})$$

bigrams: probability estimation

Probability is estimated from counts in a training corpus:

$$P(w_n | w_{n-1}) = \frac{C(w_{n-1} w_n)}{\sum_w C(w_{n-1} w)} \approx \frac{C(w_{n-1} w_n)}{C(w_{n-1})}$$

i.e. count of a particular bigram in the corpus divided by the count of all bigrams starting with the prior word.

Lecture 3: Prediction and part-of-speech tagging

L Word prediction

⟨s⟩ good morning ⟨/s⟩ ⟨s⟩ good afternoon ⟨/s⟩ ⟨s⟩ good
 afternoon ⟨/s⟩ ⟨s⟩ it is very good ⟨/s⟩ ⟨s⟩ it is good ⟨/s⟩

sequence	count	bigram probability
⟨s⟩	5	
⟨s⟩ good	3	.6
⟨s⟩ it	2	.4
good	5	
good morning	1	.2
good afternoon	2	.4
good ⟨/s⟩	2	.4

...

Sentence probabilities

⟨s⟩ good morning ⟨/s⟩ ⟨s⟩ good afternoon ⟨/s⟩ ⟨s⟩ good
afternoon ⟨/s⟩ ⟨s⟩ it is very good ⟨/s⟩ ⟨s⟩ it is good ⟨/s⟩

Probability of ⟨s⟩ it is good afternoon ⟨/s⟩ is estimated as:

$$P(it|\langle s \rangle)P(is|it)P(good|is)P(afternoon|good)P(\langle /s \rangle|afternoon)$$

$$= .4 \times 1 \times .5 \times .4 \times 1 = .08$$

What about the probability of ⟨s⟩ very good ⟨/s⟩ ?

$P(\text{very}|\langle s \rangle)$?

Sentence probabilities

⟨s⟩ good morning ⟨/s⟩ ⟨s⟩ good afternoon ⟨/s⟩ ⟨s⟩ good
afternoon ⟨/s⟩ ⟨s⟩ it is very good ⟨/s⟩ ⟨s⟩ it is good ⟨/s⟩

Probability of ⟨s⟩ it is good afternoon ⟨/s⟩ is estimated as:

$$P(it|\langle s \rangle)P(is|it)P(good|is)P(afternoon|good)P(\langle /s \rangle|afternoon)$$

$$= .4 \times 1 \times .5 \times .4 \times 1 = .08$$

What about the probability of ⟨s⟩ very good ⟨/s⟩ ?

$P(\text{very}|\langle s \rangle)$?

Sentence probabilities

⟨s⟩ good morning ⟨/s⟩ ⟨s⟩ good afternoon ⟨/s⟩ ⟨s⟩ good
afternoon ⟨/s⟩ ⟨s⟩ it is very good ⟨/s⟩ ⟨s⟩ it is good ⟨/s⟩

Probability of ⟨s⟩ it is good afternoon ⟨/s⟩ is estimated as:

$$P(it|\langle s \rangle)P(is|it)P(good|is)P(afternoon|good)P(\langle /s \rangle|afternoon)$$

$$= .4 \times 1 \times .5 \times .4 \times 1 = .08$$

What about the probability of ⟨s⟩ very good ⟨/s⟩ ?

$P(\text{very}|\langle s \rangle)$?

Sentence probabilities

Problems because of **sparse data**:

- ▶ **smoothing**: distribute 'extra' probability between rare and unseen events
- ▶ **backoff**: approximate unseen probabilities by a more general probability, e.g. unigrams

cf Chomsky: *Colorless green ideas sleep furiously*

smoothing means unseen phrases have a non-zero probability estimate.

Sentence probabilities

Problems because of **sparse data**:

- ▶ **smoothing**: distribute 'extra' probability between rare and unseen events
- ▶ **backoff**: approximate unseen probabilities by a more general probability, e.g. unigrams

cf Chomsky: *Colorless green ideas sleep furiously*

smoothing means unseen phrases have a non-zero probability estimate.

Part of speech tagging

They can fish.

- ▶ They_pronoun can_modal fish_verb.
(‘can’ meaning ‘are able to’)
- ▶ They_pronoun can_verb fish_plural-noun.
(‘can’ meaning ‘put into cans’)

Ambiguity

can: modal verb, verb, singular noun

fish: verb, singular noun, plural noun

Tagset (CLAWS 5)

tagset: standardized codes for fine-grained parts of speech.

CLAWS 5: over 60 tags, including:

NN1	singular noun	┆	NN2	plural noun
PNP	personal pronoun	┆	VM0	modal auxiliary verb
VVB	base form of verb	┆	VVI	infinitive form of verb

- ▶ They_PNP can_VM0 fish_VVI ._PUN
- ▶ They_PNP can_VVB fish_NN2 ._PUN

Stochastic part of speech tagging

1. Start with untagged text.
2. Assign all possible tags to each word in the text on the basis of a lexicon that associates words and tags.
3. Find the most probable sequence (or n-best sequences) of tags, based on probabilities from the training data.
 - ▶ lexical probability: e.g., is *can* most likely to be VM0, VVB, VVI or NN1?
 - ▶ and tag sequence probabilities: e.g., is VM0 or NN1 more likely after PNP?

Assigning probabilities

Estimate tag sequence: n tags with the maximum probability, given n words:

$$\hat{t}_1^n = \operatorname{argmax}_{t_1^n} P(t_1^n | w_1^n)$$

By Bayes theorem:

$$P(t_1^n | w_1^n) = \frac{P(w_1^n | t_1^n) P(t_1^n)}{P(w_1^n)}$$

but $P(w_1^n)$ is constant:

$$\hat{t}_1^n = \operatorname{argmax}_{t_1^n} P(w_1^n | t_1^n) P(t_1^n)$$

Bigrams

Bigram assumption: probability of a tag depends on previous tag, hence product of bigrams:

$$P(t_1^n) \approx \prod_{i=1}^n P(t_i | t_{i-1})$$

Probability of word estimated on basis of its tag alone:

$$P(w_1^n | t_1^n) \approx \prod_{i=1}^n P(w_i | t_i)$$

Hence:

$$\hat{t}_1^n = \operatorname{argmax}_{t_1^n} \prod_{i=1}^n P(w_i | t_i) P(t_i | t_{i-1})$$

Example

Tagging: *they fish* (ignoring punctuation)

Assume PNP is the only tag for *they*, and that *fish* could be NN2 or VVB.

Then the estimate for PNP NN2 will be:

$$P(\text{they}|\text{PNP}) P(\text{NN2}|\text{PNP}) P(\text{fish}|\text{NN2})$$

and for PNP VVB:

$$P(\text{they}|\text{PNP}) P(\text{VVB}|\text{PNP}) P(\text{fish}|\text{VVB})$$

Training stochastic POS tagging

They_PNP used_VVD to_TO0 can_VVI fish_NN2 in_PRP
 those_DT0 towns_NN2 ._PUN But_CJC now_AV0 few_DT0
 people_NN2 fish_VVB in_PRP these_DT0 areas_NN2
 ._PUN

sequence	count	bigram probability
NN2	4	
NN2 PRP	1	0.25
NN2 PUN	2	0.5
NN2 VVB	1	0.25

Also lexicon: fish NN2 VVB

Training stochastic POS tagging

They_PNP used_VVD to_TO0 can_VVI fish_NN2 in_PRP
 those_DT0 towns_NN2 ._PUN But_CJC now_AV0 few_DT0
 people_NN2 fish_VVB in_PRP these_DT0 areas_NN2
 ._PUN

<u>sequence</u>	<u>count</u>	<u>bigram probability</u>
NN2	4	
NN2 PRP	1	0.25
NN2 PUN	2	0.5
NN2 VVB	1	0.25

Also lexicon: fish NN2 VVB

Training stochastic POS tagging

They_PNP used_VVD to_TO0 can_VVI fish_NN2 in_PRP
 those_DT0 towns_NN2 ._PUN But_CJC now_AV0 few_DT0
 people_NN2 fish_VVB in_PRP these_DT0 areas_NN2
 ._PUN

<u>sequence</u>	<u>count</u>	<u>bigram probability</u>
NN2	4	
NN2 PRP	1	0.25
NN2 PUN	2	0.5
NN2 VVB	1	0.25

Also lexicon: fish NN2 VVB

Assigning probabilities, more details

- ▶ Maximise the overall tag sequence probability
- ▶ Actual systems use trigrams — smoothing and backoff are critical.
- ▶ Unseen words: these are not in the lexicon, so use all possible **open class** tags, possibly restricted by morphology.

Evaluation of POS tagging

- ▶ percentage of correct tags, i.e. **accuracy**
- ▶ one tag per word (some systems give multiple tags when uncertain)
- ▶ accuracy over 95% for English (but note punctuation is unambiguous)
- ▶ **baseline** of taking the most common tag gives 90% accuracy

Evaluation in general

- ▶ **Training data and test data** Test data must be kept unseen, often 90% training and 10% test data.
- ▶ **Baseline** – simple approach, same training data
- ▶ **Ceiling** Human performance on the task, where the ceiling is the percentage agreement found between two annotators (**interannotator agreement**)
- ▶ **Error analysis** Error rates are nearly always unevenly distributed.
- ▶ **Reproducibility**

Examples of PoS tagging errors

Turkey will keep for several days in a fridge.

Turkey_**NP0** will_VM0 keep_VVI for_PRP several_DT0
days_NN2 in_PRP a_AT0 fridge_NN1

We have hope that the next year will be peaceful.

We_PNP have_VHB hope_**VVB** that_CJT the_AT0 next_ORD
year_NN1 will_VM0 be_VBI peaceful_AJ0

Examples of PoS tagging errors

Turkey will keep for several days in a fridge.

Turkey_ **NP0** will_ VM0 keep_ VVI for_ PRP several_ DT0
days_ NN2 in_ PRP a_ AT0 fridge_ NN1

We have hope that the next year will be peaceful.

We_ PNP have_ VHB hope_ **VVB** that_ CJT the_ AT0 next_ ORD
year_ NN1 will_ VM0 be_ VBI peaceful_ AJ0