There are now over 700 million  $motor\ vehicles_1$  in  $the\ world_2$  - and the number is rising by more than 40 million each  $year_1$ . The average  $distance_4$  driven by  $carusers_5$ is growing too - from 8  $km_6$   $a \, day_7$  per  $person_8$  in  $western \, Europe_9$  in  $1965_{17}$  to 25  $km_6 \ a \ day_7 \ in \ 1995_{18}$ .  $This\ dependence_{10}$  on  $motor\ vehicles_1$  has given  $major\ problems_{11}$ , including  $environmental\ pollution_{12}$ , depletion of  $[oil\ resources_{14}]_{13}$ ,  $traffic\ congestion_{15}$  and  $safety_{16}$ . While emissions from  $[new\ cars_{25}]_{19}$  are far less harmful than  $they_{19}$  used to be,  $city\ streets_{20}$  and  $motorways_{21}$  are becoming more crowded than ever, often with  $older\ trucks_{22}$ ,  $buses_{23}$  and  $taxis_{24}$ , which emit excessive levels of  $[smoke_{26}]$  and  $[fumes_{27}]_{28}$ . This concentration of  $[vehicles_1]_{29}$  makes air quality<sub>30</sub> in  $urban \ areas_{31}$  unpleasant and sometimes dangerous to breathe. Even  $Moscow_{32}$  has list of [capitals afflicted by congestion  $_{15}$  and [traffic fumes $_{27}$ ] $_{34}$ ] $_{33}$ . joined the Mexico City<sub>36</sub>, vehicle pollution<sub>37</sub> is a major health hazard<sub>35</sub>. Until a hundred years<sub>1</sub> ago, most  $journeys_{38}$  were in the 20  $km_6\,\mathrm{range}$ , the  $distance_4\,\mathrm{conveniently}$  accessible by Heavy freight<sub>40</sub> could only be carried by water<sub>41</sub>  $rail_{42}$ . or invention of the  $[motor\ vehicle_1]_{43}$  brought  $personal\ mobility_{44}$  to the  $masses_{45}$  and made  $rapid \ [freight_{47}] \ delivery_{46}$  possible over a much wider  $area_{48}$ . Today about 90 per cent of  $inland\ freight_{49}$  in the  $United\ Kingdom_{50}$  is carried by  $road_{51}$ . Clearly the  $world_2$  cannot revert to the  $horse-drawn\,wagon_{52}$ . Can  $it_2$  avoid being locked into congested and polluting  $[ways_{51}]_{62}$  of transporting  $people_{53}$  and  $goods_{54}$ ? In  $Europe_{55}$  most  $cities_{56}$  are still designed for the **old modes** of  $transport_{57}$ . Adaptation to the  $motor \ car_{80}$  has involved adding  $ring \ roads_{58}$ ,  $one-way \ systems_{59}$  and  $parking \ lots_{60}$ . In United States<sub>61</sub>, more  $land_{48}$  is assigned to  $car_{80}$  use than to  $housing_{62}$ .  $Urban\ sprawl_{63}$  means that  $life\ without\ a\ [car_1]_{64}$  is next to impossible. Mass use of  $motor\ vehicles_1$  has also killed or injured millions of  $people_{53}$ . Other  $social\ effects_{65}$ have been blamed on the  $car_{80}$  such as alienation<sub>66</sub> and aggressive [human<sub>53</sub>] behaviour<sub>67</sub>. A 1993 study<sub>68</sub> by the European Federation for Transport and Environment<sub>69</sub> found that car transport<sub>70</sub> is seven times as costly as rail travel 42 in terms external social costs<sub>71</sub>  $it_{70}$  entails such as  $congestion_{15}$ ,  $accidents_{72}$ , pollution<sub>37</sub>, loss of cropland and natural habitats<sub>73</sub>, depletion of  $[oil\ resources_{14}]_{13}$ , and so  $cars_{80}$  easily surpass  $trains_{74}$  or  $buses_{75}$  as a flexible and convenient mode of  $personal\ transport_{76}$  . It is unrealistic to expect  $people_{53}$  to give up  $private\ cars_{80}$  in favour of  $mass transit_{77}$ . Technical solutions<sub>78</sub> can reduce the pollution problem<sub>12</sub> and the  $[fuel_{85}]$  efficiency<sub>79</sub> of **engines<sub>81</sub>**. But fuel consumption<sub>82</sub>  $exhaust\ emissions_{19}$  depend on which  $cars_{80}$  are preferred by  $customers_{83}$  and how  $they_{80}$ are driven. Many  $people_{53}$  buy  $larger cars_{84}$  than  $they_{53}$  need for  $daily purposes_{86}$  or waste  $fuel_{85}$  by driving aggressively. Besides,  $global \, \llbracket car_{80} \rrbracket \, use_{87}$  is increasing at a faster  $rate_{88}$  than the  $improvement\ in\ [emissions_{19}]] and\ [[fuel_{85}]]\ efficiency_{79}]]_{89}$  $technology_{78}$  is now making possible. One  $solution_{90}$  that has been put forward is the long-term  $solution_{90}$  of designing  $cities_{56}$  and  $neighbourhoods_{91}$  so that  $[car_{80}]$  journeys\_{70} are not necessary - all  $essential services_{92}$  being located within  $walking distance_{93}$  or easily accessible by  $public\ transport_{77}$ . Not only would this save  $energy_{94}$  and cut carbon dioxide emissions<sub>19</sub>, it would also enhance the quality of  $[community \ life_{95}]_{96}$ , putting the  $emphasis_{97}$  on  $people_{53}$  instead of  $cars_{80}$ .  $Good local government_{98}$  is already bringing this about in  $some\ places_{99}$ . But  $few\ democratic\ communities_{100}$  are blessed  $the \ vision_{101}$  - and  $the\ capital_{102}$  - to profound changes<sub>103</sub> make such  $modern\ lifestyles_{104}$ . A more likely  $scenario_{105}$  seems to be a  $combination_{106}$ mass transit systems<sub>77</sub> for travel into and around [cities<sub>56</sub>], with small low emission cars<sub>107</sub> larger hybrid or lean burn cars<sub>108</sub> for **urban use**<sub>109</sub> and for use elsewhere<sub>110</sub>. Electronically tolled highways $_{111}$  might be used to ensure that  $drivers_{112}$  pay  $charges_{113}$ 

geared to actual  $road_{51}$  use. Better  $integration\ of\ [transport\ systems_{114}]_{115}$  is also highly desirable – and made more feasible by  $modern\ computers_{116}$ . But  $these_{111,115}$  are  $solutions_{90}$  for  $countries_{117}$  which can afford  $them_{90}$ . In most  $developing\ countries_{118}$ ,  $old\ cars_{119}$  and  $old\ technologies_{120}$  continue to predominate.