

Functors

are the appropriate notion of morphism between categories. Given categories \mathbb{C} & \mathbb{D} , a **functor** $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is specified by :

- a function $\text{Obj } \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{Obj } \mathbb{D}$ whose value at a \mathbb{C} -object X is written Fx
- for all \mathbb{C} -objects X & Y , a function $\mathbb{C}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(Fx, Fy)$ whose value at a \mathbb{C} -morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is written $Ff : Fx \rightarrow Fy$

satisfying { $F(g \circ f) = Fg \circ Ff$
 $F(id_X) = id_{Fx}$

Composing functors

Given functors

$$\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{G} \mathbb{E}$$

we get a functor

$$G \circ F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$$

$$G \circ F \left(\begin{array}{c} X \\ \downarrow f \\ Y \end{array} \right) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \begin{array}{c} G(FX) \\ \downarrow \\ G(Ff) \\ G(FY) \end{array}$$

(This preserves composition & identities because
 F & G do so)

Identity functor

on a category \mathbb{C} is

$$\boxed{\text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}}$$

where

$$\text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} X \\ \downarrow f \\ Y \end{smallmatrix} \right) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \begin{smallmatrix} X \\ \downarrow f \\ Y \end{smallmatrix}$$

Functor composition satisfies the usual
Category laws

associativity $H \circ (G \circ F) = (H \circ G) \circ F$

unity $Id_D \circ F = F = F \circ Id_C$

So we can get categories whose
objects are categories
morphisms are functors
but we have to be a bit careful about size...

Russell's Paradox

Unrestricted use of set comprehension

$\{x \mid \varphi(x)\}$ the set of all objects x
that have property $\varphi(x)$

leads to contradiction (a proof of false),

Since $R \triangleq \{x \mid x \notin x\}$

satisfies $R \in R \Leftrightarrow R \notin R$,

which is logically equivalent to false.

Size

We can't form the "set of all sets"
or "the category of all categories"

because we assume

Set membership is a well-founded
relation – there can be no infinite
sequence of sets x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots with
 $\dots x_{n+1} \in x_n \in \dots \in x_2 \in x_1 \in x_0$

so in particular, there is no set X with $X \in X$

Size

We can't form the "set of all sets"
or "the category of all categories"

but we do assume there are (lots of)
big sets

$$U_0 \in U_1 \in U_2 \in \dots$$

where each U_i is a Grothendieck
universe ...

A Grothendieck Universe, \mathcal{U}

is a set of sets satisfying

- $x \in Y \in \mathcal{U} \Rightarrow x \in \mathcal{U}$
- $x, Y \in \mathcal{U} \Rightarrow \{x, Y\} \in \mathcal{U}$
- $x \in \mathcal{U} \Rightarrow P_x \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \{Y \mid Y \subseteq x\} \in \mathcal{U}$
- $x \in \mathcal{U} \& F \in \mathcal{U}^x \Rightarrow \{y \mid (\exists x \in X) y \in F_x\} \in \mathcal{U}$

and hence also

$$x, Y \in \mathcal{U} \Rightarrow x \times Y \in \mathcal{U} \& Y^x \in \mathcal{U}.$$

The above properties are satisfied by $\mathcal{U} = \emptyset$, but we will always assume

- (axiom of infinity) $\mathbb{N} \in \mathcal{U}$

Size

We assume there is an infinite sequence
 $U_0 \in U_1 \in U_2 \in \dots$
of bigger & bigger Grothendieck universes.

and revise our previous definition
of "the" category of sets :

$\text{Set}_i \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \text{category}$ whose objects are
all the elements of U_i and with
 $\text{Set}_i(X, Y) = Y^X = \text{all functions from}$
 X to Y

$\text{Set} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \text{Set}_0$ - its objects are called **small sets**
(and other sets we call **large**)

Size

Set is the category of small sets.

Definition A category \mathcal{C} is locally small if for all $X, Y \in \text{obj } \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \in \text{Set}$

\mathcal{C} is a small category if it is both locally small & $\text{obj } \mathcal{C} \in \text{Set}$

E.g. Set, Pre, Mon are all locally small (but not small).

Each $P \in \text{Pre}$ & $M \in \text{Mon}$ determines a small category.

The category of small categories, Cat

- objects are all small categories
- morphisms $\text{Cat}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ are all functors $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$
- Composition & identities - for functors, as before

Cat is cartesian

- Terminal object in Cat is

$\boxed{* \xrightarrow{\text{id}} *}$ = one-object, one morphism category

Cat is cartesian

- Binary product $\mathbb{C} \leftarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$
 - objects of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{D}$ are pairs (X, Y) with $X \in \text{Obj } \mathbb{C}$ & $Y \in \text{Obj } \mathbb{D}$
 - morphisms $(X, Y) \rightarrow (X', Y')$ are pairs (f, g) of morphisms $f \in \mathbb{C}(X, X')$, $g \in \mathbb{D}(Y, Y')$
 - composition & identities as in \mathbb{C} & \mathbb{D}
 - $\pi_1(X, Y) = X$ $\pi_1(f, g) = f$
 - $\pi_2(X, Y) = Y$ $\pi_2(f, g) = g$

Cat is not only cartesian, it is also cartesian closed – exponentials in Cat are called **functor categories** and to define them we need to consider **natural transformations** which are the appropriate notion of morphism between functors.