

Denotational Semantics

10 lectures for Part II CST 2015/16

Marcelo Fiore

Course web page:

<http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/teaching/1516/DenotSem/>

Topic 1

Introduction

What is this course about?

- General area.

Formal methods: Mathematical techniques for the specification, development, and verification of software and hardware systems.

- Specific area.

Formal semantics: Mathematical theories for ascribing meanings to computer languages.

Why do we care?

Why do we care?

- Rigour.
 - ... specification of programming languages
 - ... justification of program transformations

Why do we care?

- Rigour.
 - ... specification of programming languages
 - ... justification of program transformations
- Insight.
 - ... generalisations of notions computability
 - ... higher-order functions
 - ... data structures

- Feedback into language design.
 - ... continuations
 - ... monads

- Feedback into language design.
 - ... continuations
 - ... monads
- Reasoning principles.
 - ... Scott induction
 - ... Logical relations
 - ... Co-induction

Styles of formal semantics

Operational.

Axiomatic.

Denotational.

Styles of formal semantics

Operational.

Meanings for program phrases defined in terms of the *steps of computation* they can take during program execution.

Axiomatic.

Denotational.

Styles of formal semantics

Operational.

Meanings for program phrases defined in terms of the *steps of computation* they can take during program execution.

Axiomatic.

Meanings for program phrases defined indirectly via the *axioms and rules* of some logic of program properties.

Denotational.

Styles of formal semantics

Operational.

Meanings for program phrases defined in terms of the *steps of computation* they can take during program execution.

Axiomatic.

Meanings for program phrases defined indirectly via the *axioms and rules* of some logic of program properties.

Denotational.

Concerned with giving *mathematical models* of programming languages. Meanings for program phrases defined abstractly as elements of some suitable mathematical structure.

Basic idea of denotational semantics

Syntax $\xrightarrow{[[-]]}$ Semantics

$P \mapsto [[P]]$

Basic idea of denotational semantics

Syntax $\xrightarrow{\llbracket - \rrbracket}$ Semantics

Recursive program \mapsto Partial recursive function

$P \mapsto \llbracket P \rrbracket$

Basic idea of denotational semantics

Syntax $\xrightarrow{\llbracket - \rrbracket}$ Semantics

Recursive program \mapsto Partial recursive function

Boolean circuit \mapsto Boolean function

P \mapsto $\llbracket P \rrbracket$

Basic idea of denotational semantics

Syntax $\xrightarrow{\llbracket - \rrbracket}$ Semantics

Recursive program \mapsto Partial recursive function

Boolean circuit \mapsto Boolean function

$P \mapsto \llbracket P \rrbracket$

Concerns:

- Abstract models (*i.e.* implementation/machine independent).
 \rightsquigarrow Lectures 2, 3 and 4.

Basic idea of denotational semantics

Syntax $\xrightarrow{\llbracket - \rrbracket}$ Semantics

Recursive program \mapsto Partial recursive function

Boolean circuit \mapsto Boolean function

$P \mapsto \llbracket P \rrbracket$

Concerns:

- Abstract models (*i.e.* implementation/machine independent).
 \rightsquigarrow Lectures 2, 3 and 4.
- Compositionality.
 \rightsquigarrow Lectures 5 and 6.

Basic idea of denotational semantics

Syntax	$\xrightarrow{\llbracket - \rrbracket}$	Semantics
Recursive program	\mapsto	Partial recursive function
Boolean circuit	\mapsto	Boolean function
P	\mapsto	$\llbracket P \rrbracket$

Concerns:

- Abstract models (*i.e.* implementation/machine independent).
 \rightsquigarrow Lectures 2, 3 and 4.
- Compositionality.
 \rightsquigarrow Lectures 5 and 6.
- Relationship to computation (*e.g.* operational semantics).
 \rightsquigarrow Lectures 7 and 8.

Characteristic features of a denotational semantics

- Each phrase (= part of a program), P , is given a **denotation**, $\llbracket P \rrbracket$ — a mathematical object representing the contribution of P to the meaning of *any* complete program in which it occurs.
- The denotation of a phrase is determined just by the denotations of its subphrases (one says that the semantics is **compositional**).

Basic example of denotational semantics (I)

IMP⁻ syntax

Arithmetic expressions

$A \in \mathbf{Aexp} ::= \underline{n} \mid L \mid A + A \mid \dots$

where n ranges over *integers* and

L over a specified set of *locations* \mathbb{L}

Boolean expressions

$B \in \mathbf{Bexp} ::= \mathbf{true} \mid \mathbf{false} \mid A = A \mid \dots$
 $\mid \neg B \mid \dots$

Commands

$C \in \mathbf{Comm} ::= \mathbf{skip} \mid L := A \mid C; C$
 $\mid \mathbf{if } B \mathbf{ then } C \mathbf{ else } C$

Notation: $X \rightarrow Y$ (for X and Y sets) is the set of

Basic example of denotational semantics (II)

functions from X to Y
Semantic functions

$E \in \text{Aexp}$

$A: \text{Aexp} \rightarrow (\text{State} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$

$A(E) \in (\text{State} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$

$A(E)(s) \in \mathbb{Z}$

where

$\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots\}$

$\text{State} = (\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$

? $s \in \text{State}$
the value of
 E is state s

Basic example of denotational semantics (II)

Semantic functions

$$\mathcal{A} : \mathbf{Aexp} \rightarrow (State \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$$

$$\mathcal{B} : \mathbf{Bexp} \rightarrow (State \rightarrow \mathbb{B})$$

where

$$\mathbb{Z} = \{ \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots \}$$

$$\mathbb{B} = \{ true, false \}$$

$$State = (\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$$

Notation: $(X \rightarrow Y)$ the set of partial functions from X to Y .

Basic example of denotational semantics (II)

$K \in \text{Comm}$

Semantic functions

$\rho(K) \in (\text{State} \rightarrow \text{State})$ — state transformers.

$$A: \text{Aexp} \rightarrow (\text{State} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$$

$$B: \text{Bexp} \rightarrow (\text{State} \rightarrow \mathbb{B})$$

$$C: \text{Comm} \rightarrow (\text{State} \rightarrow \text{State})$$

where

$$\mathbb{Z} = \{ \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots \}$$

$$\mathbb{B} = \{ \text{true}, \text{false} \}$$

$$\text{State} = (\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$$

Notation $A(X) \approx A[A] \approx [A] \in (\text{State} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$

Basic example of denotational semantics (III)

Notation Semantic function A

$$A[n] = \lambda s \in \text{State}. n$$

$$\text{State} = (\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$$

$$A[L] = \lambda s \in \text{State}. s(L)$$

$$A[A_1 + A_2] = \lambda s \in \text{State}. A[A_1](s) + A[A_2](s)$$

Idea $\lambda x. \dots x \dots \approx$ the function that gives x outputs $\dots x \dots$

Basic example of denotational semantics (IV)

Semantic function \mathcal{B}

$$\mathcal{B}[\mathbf{true}] = \lambda s \in State. true$$

$$\mathcal{B}[\mathbf{false}] = \lambda s \in State. false$$

$$\mathcal{B}[A_1 = A_2] = \lambda s \in State. eq(\mathcal{A}[A_1](s), \mathcal{A}[A_2](s))$$

$$\text{where } eq(a, a') = \begin{cases} true & \text{if } a = a' \\ false & \text{if } a \neq a' \end{cases}$$

$$\llbracket C \rrbracket \in (\text{State} \rightarrow \text{State})$$

Basic example of denotational semantics (V)

Semantic function C

$$\llbracket \text{skip} \rrbracket = \lambda s \in \text{State}. s$$

identity
function

NB: From now on the names of semantic functions are omitted!

A simple example of compositionality

Given partial functions $\llbracket C \rrbracket, \llbracket C' \rrbracket : State \rightarrow State$ and a function $\llbracket B \rrbracket : State \rightarrow \{true, false\}$, we can define

$$\llbracket \text{if } B \text{ then } C \text{ else } C' \rrbracket = \\ \lambda s \in State. \text{if} (\llbracket B \rrbracket(s), \llbracket C \rrbracket(s), \llbracket C' \rrbracket(s))$$

where

$$\text{if}(b, x, x') = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } b = true \\ x' & \text{if } b = false \end{cases}$$

Idea: the state after executing
the assignment from
state s .

Basic example of denotational semantics (VI)

Semantic function \mathcal{C}

$$\llbracket L := A \rrbracket = \lambda s \in \text{State}. \lambda l \in \mathbb{L}. \text{if } (l = L, \llbracket A \rrbracket(s), s(l))$$

$$\hat{=} (\text{State} \rightarrow \text{State}) = (\text{State} \rightarrow (\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}))$$

Denotational semantics of sequential composition

Denotation of sequential composition $C; C'$ of two commands

$$\llbracket C; C' \rrbracket = \llbracket C' \rrbracket \circ \llbracket C \rrbracket = \lambda s \in \text{State}. \llbracket C' \rrbracket (\llbracket C \rrbracket (s))$$

given by composition of the partial functions from states to states $\llbracket C \rrbracket, \llbracket C' \rrbracket : \text{State} \rightarrow \text{State}$ which are the denotations of the commands.

Denotational semantics of sequential composition

Denotation of sequential composition $C; C'$ of two commands

$$\llbracket C; C' \rrbracket = \llbracket C' \rrbracket \circ \llbracket C \rrbracket = \lambda s \in \text{State}. \llbracket C' \rrbracket (\llbracket C \rrbracket (s))$$

given by composition of the partial functions from states to states $\llbracket C \rrbracket, \llbracket C' \rrbracket : \text{State} \rightarrow \text{State}$ which are the denotations of the commands.

Cf. operational semantics of sequential composition:

$$\frac{C, s \Downarrow s' \quad C', s' \Downarrow s''}{C; C', s \Downarrow s''} .$$

Result

NB: $C, s \Downarrow s' \not\equiv \llbracket C \rrbracket (s) = s'$

[[while B do C]]

[[while B do C]] \in (State \rightarrow State)

||

_____?

~ [[B]] ~ [[C]] ~

Operational heuristic:

while B do C \equiv if B then (C; while B do C) else skip

~>

[[while B do C]] = [[if B then (C; while B do C) else skip]]

Def A fixed point of a function f is a value x
[[while B do C]] such that $f(x) = x$.

$\llbracket \text{while } B \text{ do } C \rrbracket (s)$

$= f(\llbracket B \rrbracket s, \llbracket C; \text{while } B \text{ do } C \rrbracket (s), \llbracket \text{skip} \rrbracket s)$

$= f(\llbracket B \rrbracket s, \llbracket \text{while } B \text{ do } C \rrbracket (\llbracket C \rrbracket s), s)$

~ The interpretation of $\llbracket \text{while } B \text{ do } C \rrbracket$
is given by a fixed point