## Distributed systems

Lecture 4: Clock synchronisation; logical clocks

Dr Robert N. M. Watson

#### Last time

- Started to look at time in distributed systems
  - Coordinating actions between processes
- Physical clocks 'tick' based on physical processes (e.g. oscillations in quartz crystals, atomic transitions)
  - Imperfect, so gain/lose time over time
  - (wrt nominal perfect 'reference' clock (such as UTC))
- The process of gaining/losing time is clock drift
- The difference between two clocks is called **clock skew**
- **Clock synchronization** aims to minimize clock skew between two (or a set of) different clocks

#### The clock synchronization problem

- In distributed systems, we'd like all the different nodes to have the same notion of time, but
  - quartz oscillators oscillate at slightly different frequencies (time, temperature, manufacture)
- Hence clocks tick at different rates:
  - create ever-widening gap in perceived time
  - this is called clock drift
- The difference between two clocks at a given point in time is called **clock skew**
- Clock synchronization aims to minimize clock skew between two (or a set of) different clocks

# Dealing with drift

- A clock can have positive or negative drift with respect to a reference clock (e.g. UTC)
  - Need to [re]synchronize periodically
- Can't just set clock to 'correct' time
   Jumps (particularly backward!) can confuse apps
- Instead aim for gradual compensation
  - If clock fast, make it run slower until correct
  - If clock slow, make it run faster until correct

### Compensation

- Most systems relate real-time to cycle counters or periodic interrupt sources
  - E.g. calibrate CPU Time-Stamp Counter (TSC) against CMOS Real-Time Clock (RTC) at boot, and compute scaling factor (e.g. cycles per ms)
  - Can now convert TSC differences to real-time
  - Similarly can determine how much real-time passes between periodic interrupts: call this delta
  - On interrupt, add delta to software real-time clock
- Making small changes to delta gradually adjusts time
  - Once synchronized, change delta back to original value
  - (Or try to estimate drift & continually adjust delta)
  - Minimise time discontinuities from stepping

#### Obtaining accurate time

- Of course, need some way to know correct time (e.g. UTC) in order to adjust clock!
  - could attach a GPS receiver (or GOES receiver) to computer, and get ±1ms (or ±0.1ms) accuracy...
  - ...but too expensive/clunky for general use
  - (RF in server rooms and data centres non-ideal)
- Instead can ask some machine with a more accurate clock over the network: a **time server** 
  - e.g. send RPC getTime() to server
  - What's the problem here?



### Cristian's Algorithm: Example



- RTT = 460ms, so one way delay is [approx] 230ms.
- Estimate correct time as (08:02:04.325 + 230ms) = 08:02:04.555
- Client gradually adjusts local clock to gain 2.425 seconds

# Berkeley Algorithm (1989)

- Don't assume have an accurate time server
- Try to synchronize a set of clocks to the average
  - One machine, M, is designated the master
  - M periodically polls all other machines for their time
  - (can use Cristian's technique to account for delays)
  - Master computes average (including itself, but ignoring outliers), and sends an adjustment to each machine



# Network Time Protocol (NTP)

- Previous schemes designed for LANs; in practice today's systems use NTP:
  - Global service designed to enable clients to stay within (hopefully) a few ms of UTC
- Hierarchy of clocks arranged into strata
  - Stratum0 = atomic clocks (or maybe GPS, GEOS)
  - Stratum1 = servers directly attached to stratum0 clock
  - Stratum2 = servers that synchronize with stratum1
  - $-\dots$  and so on
- Timestamps made up of seconds and 'fraction'
  - e.g. 32 bit seconds-since-epoch; 32 bit 'picoseconds'



# NTP: additional details (1)

- NTP uses multiple requests per server
  - Remember <offset, delay> in each case
  - Calculate the filter dispersion of the offsets & discard outliers
  - Chooses remaining candidate with the smallest delay
- NTP can also use multiple servers
  - Servers report synchronization dispersion = estimate of their quality relative to the root (stratum 0)
  - Combined procedure to select best samples from best servers (see RFC 5905 for the gory details)

# NTP: additional details (2)

- Various operating modes:
  - Broadcast ("multicast"): server advertises current time
  - Client-server ("procedure call"): as described on previous
  - Symmetric: between a set of NTP servers
- Security is supported
  - Authenticate server, prevent replays
  - Cryptographic cost compensated for

# Physical clocks: summary

- Physical devices exhibit clock drift

  Even if initially correct, they tick too fast or too slow, and hence time ends up being wrong
  Drift rates depend on the specific device, and can vary with time, temperature, acceleration, ...

  Instantaneous difference between clocks is clock skew
  Clock synchronization algorithms attempt to minimize the skew between a set of clocks

  Decide upon a target correct time (atomic, or average)
  Communicate to agree, compensating for delays
  - In reality, will still have 1-10ms skew after sync ;-(

15

# Ordering

- One use of time is to provide ordering
  - If I withdrew £100 cash at 23:59.44...
  - And the bank computes interest at 00:00.00...
  - Then interest calculation shouldn't include the £100
- But in distributed systems we can't perfectly synchronize time => cannot use this for ordering
  - Clock skew can be large, and may not be trusted
  - And over large distances, relativistic events mean that ordering depends on the observer
  - (similar effect due to finite 'speed of Internet' ;-)

# The "happens-before" relation





## Implementing Happens-Before





- When P<sub>2</sub> receives m<sub>1</sub>, it extracts timestamp 2 and sets its clock to max(0, 2) before increment
- Possible for events to have duplicate timestamps
  - e.g. event *e* has the same timestamp as event *a*
- If desired can break ties by looking at pids, IP addresses, ...
  - this gives a total order, but doesn't imply happens-before!

#### Vector clocks

- With Lamport clocks, given L(a) and L(b), we can't tell if a→b or b→a or a ~ b
- One solution is **vector clocks**:
  - An ordered list of logical clocks, one per-process
  - Each process P<sub>i</sub> maintains V<sub>i</sub>[], initially all zeroes
  - On a local event e,  $P_i$  increments  $V_i[i]$ 
    - If the event is message send, new  $V_i[]$  copied into packet
  - If  $P_i$  receives a message from  $P_j$  then, for all k = 0, 1, ...,it sets  $V_i[k] := max(V_j[k], V_i[k])$ , and increments  $V_i[i]$
- Intuitively V<sub>i</sub>[k] captures the number of events at process P<sub>k</sub> that have been observed by P<sub>i</sub>





## Using vector clocks for ordering



### Summary + next time (ironically)

- The clock synchronisation problem
- Cristian's Algorithm, Berkeley Algorithm, NTP
- Logical time via the happens-before relation
- Vector clocks
- More on vector clocks
- Consistent cuts
- Group communication
- Enforcing ordering vs. asynchrony
- Distributed mutual exclusion