# Complexity Theory Lecture 5

## Anuj Dawar

University of Cambridge Computer Laboratory Easter Term 2016

http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/teaching/1516/Complexity/

May 2, 2016

# **SAT** is NP-complete

Cook showed that the language SAT of satisfiable Boolean expressions is NP-complete.

To establish this, we need to show that for every language L in NP, there is a polynomial time reduction from L to SAT.

Since L is in NP, there is a nondeterministic Turing machine

$$M = (Q, \Sigma, s, \delta)$$

and a bound k such that a string x of length n is in L if, and only if, it is accepted by M within  $n^k$  steps.

## **Boolean Formula**

We need to give, for each  $x \in \Sigma^*$ , a Boolean expression f(x) which is satisfiable if, and only if, there is an accepting computation of M on input x.

f(x) has the following variables:

```
S_{i,q} for each i \leq n^k and q \in Q
T_{i,j,\sigma} for each i,j \leq n^k and \sigma \in \Sigma
H_{i,j} for each i,j \leq n^k
```

Intuitively, these variables are intended to mean:

- $S_{i,q}$  the state of the machine at time i is q.
- $T_{i,j,\sigma}$  at time i, the symbol at position j of the tape is  $\sigma$ .
- $H_{i,j}$  at time i, the tape head is pointing at tape cell j.

We now have to see how to write the formula f(x), so that it enforces these meanings.

Initial state is s and the head is initially at the beginning of the tape.

$$S_{1,s} \wedge H_{1,1}$$

The head is never in two places at once

$$\bigwedge_{i} \bigwedge_{j} (H_{i,j} \to \bigwedge_{j' \neq j} (\neg H_{i,j'}))$$

The machine is never in two states at once

$$\bigwedge_{q} \bigwedge_{i} (S_{i,q} \to \bigwedge_{q' \neq q} (\neg S_{i,q'}))$$

Each tape cell contains only one symbol

$$\bigwedge_{i} \bigwedge_{j} \bigwedge_{\sigma} (T_{i,j,\sigma} \to \bigwedge_{\sigma' \neq \sigma} (\neg T_{i,j,\sigma'}))$$

Anuj Dawar May 2, 2016

The initial tape contents are x

$$\bigwedge_{j \le n} T_{1,j,x_j} \land \bigwedge_{n < j} T_{1,j,\sqcup}$$

The tape does not change except under the head

$$\bigwedge_{i} \bigwedge_{j} \bigwedge_{j' \neq j} \bigwedge_{\sigma} (H_{i,j} \wedge T_{i,j',\sigma}) \to T_{i+1,j',\sigma}$$

Each step is according to  $\delta$ .

$$\bigwedge_{i} \bigwedge_{j} \bigwedge_{\sigma} \bigwedge_{q} (H_{i,j} \wedge S_{i,q} \wedge T_{i,j,\sigma})$$

$$\rightarrow \bigvee_{\Delta} (H_{i+1,j'} \wedge S_{i+1,q'} \wedge T_{i+1,j,\sigma'})$$

where  $\Delta$  is the set of all triples  $(q', \sigma', D)$  such that  $((q, \sigma), (q', \sigma', D)) \in \delta$  and

$$j' = \begin{cases} j & \text{if } D = S \\ j - 1 & \text{if } D = L \\ j + 1 & \text{if } D = R \end{cases}$$

Finally, the accepting state is reached

$$\bigvee_{i} S_{i, \text{acc}}$$

#### **CNF**

A Boolean expression is in *conjunctive normal form* if it is the conjunction of a set of *clauses*, each of which is the disjunction of a set of *literals*, each of these being either a *variable* or the *negation* of a variable.

For any Boolean expression  $\phi$ , there is an equivalent expression  $\psi$  in conjunctive normal form.

 $\psi$  can be exponentially longer than  $\phi$ .

However, CNF-SAT, the collection of satisfiable CNF expressions, is NP-complete.

Anuj Dawar May 2, 2016

### 3SAT

A Boolean expression is in 3CNF if it is in conjunctive normal form and each clause contains at most 3 literals.

3SAT is defined as the language consisting of those expressions in 3CNF that are satisfiable.

3SAT is NP-complete, as there is a polynomial time reduction from CNF-SAT to 3SAT.

May 2, 2016

# **Composing Reductions**

Polynomial time reductions are clearly closed under composition.

So, if  $L_1 \leq_P L_2$  and  $L_2 \leq_P L_3$ , then we also have  $L_1 \leq_P L_3$ .

If we show, for some problem A in NP that

$$SAT \leq_P A$$

or

$$3SAT \leq_P A$$

it follows that A is also NP-complete.

May 2, 2016

# **Independent Set**

Given a graph G = (V, E), a subset  $X \subseteq V$  of the vertices is said to be an *independent set*, if there are no edges (u, v) for  $u, v \in X$ .

The natural algorithmic problem is, given a graph, find the largest independent set.

To turn this *optimisation problem* into a *decision problem*, we define IND as:

The set of pairs (G, K), where G is a graph, and K is an integer, such that G contains an independent set with K or more vertices.

IND is clearly in NP. We now show it is NP-complete.

Anuj Dawar May 2, 2016