

Last time on **Types**...

- ▶ Results on reduction (semantics) of PLC

Properties of PLC beta-reduction on typeable expressions

Suppose $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ is provable in the PLC type system. Then the following properties hold:

Subject Reduction. If $M \rightarrow M'$, then $\Gamma \vdash M' : \tau$ is also a provable typing.

Church Rosser Property. If $M \rightarrow^* M_1$ and $M \rightarrow^* M_2$, then there is M' with $M_1 \rightarrow^* M'$ and $M_2 \rightarrow^* M'$.

Strong Normalisation Property. There is no infinite chain $M \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow \dots$ of beta-reductions starting from M .

Last time on **Types**...

- ▶ Results on reduction (semantics) of PLC
- ▶ Encoding data types in PLC (part 1), *bool*

Polymorphic booleans

$$bool \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall \alpha (\alpha \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha))$$

$$True \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda \alpha (\lambda x_1 : \alpha, x_2 : \alpha (x_1))$$

$$False \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda \alpha (\lambda x_1 : \alpha, x_2 : \alpha (x_2))$$

$$if \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda \alpha (\lambda b : bool, x_1 : \alpha, x_2 : \alpha (b \alpha x_1 x_2))$$

This time on **Types**...

- ▶ Encoding data types in PLC (part 2), *list*

This time on **Types**...

- ▶ Encoding data types in PLC (part 2), *list*
- ▶ Dependent type theory

A tautology checker

$f : \underbrace{bool \rightarrow bool \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow bool}_{n \text{ arguments}} \rightarrow bool$

```
fun taut x f = if x = 0 then f else
               (taut(x - 1)(f true))
               andalso (taut(x - 1)(f false))
```

Defining types $n \text{ AryBoolOp}$ for each natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{cases} 0 \text{ AryBoolOp} & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{bool} \\ (n + 1) \text{ AryBoolOp} & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{bool} \rightarrow (n \text{ AryBoolOp}) \end{cases}$$

then $\text{taut } n$ has type $(n \text{ AryBoolOp}) \rightarrow \text{bool}$, i.e. the result type of the function taut depends upon the value of its argument.

The tautology checker in Agda

```
data Bool : Set where
```

```
  True  : Bool
```

```
  False : Bool
```

```
_and_ : Bool -> Bool -> Bool
```

```
True and True = True
```

```
True and False = False
```

```
False and _ = False
```

```
data Nat : Set where
```

```
  Zero : Nat
```

```
  Succ : Nat -> Nat
```

```
_AryBoolOp : Nat -> Set
```

```
Zero AryBoolOp = Bool
```

```
(Succ n) AryBoolOp = Bool -> n AryBoolOp
```

```
taut : (n : Nat) -> n AryBoolOp -> Bool
```

```
taut Zero f = f
```

```
taut (Succ n) f = taut n (f True) and taut n (f False)
```


Dependent function types $(x : \tau) \rightarrow \tau'$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash M : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau (M) : (x : \tau) \rightarrow \tau'} \quad \text{if } x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma) \cup \text{fv}(\Gamma)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : (x : \tau) \rightarrow \tau' \quad \Gamma \vdash M' : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash M M' : \tau'[M'/x]}$$

τ' may 'depend' on x , i.e. have free occurrences of x .

(Free occurrences of x in τ' are bound in $(x : \tau) \rightarrow \tau'$.)

Dependent type systems feature rules like

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau \quad \tau \approx \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau'}$$

(E.g. $(1+1)\text{AnyBodOp} \approx 2\text{AnyBodOp}$)

For decidability, need $\tau \approx \tau'$ to be a decidable relation between type expressions.

Polymorphic lists

$$\alpha \text{ list} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall \beta (\beta \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta)$$

$$\text{Nil} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda \alpha, \beta (\lambda n : \beta, c : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta (n))$$

$$\text{Cons} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda \alpha (\lambda x : \alpha, l : \alpha \text{ list} (\\ \Lambda \beta (\lambda n : \beta, c : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta (\\ c x (l \beta n c))))))$$

Iteratively defined functions on finite lists

A^* $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{finite lists of elements of the type } A$
(with constructors nil and $::$)

Given a type B , an element $n : B$, and a function $c : A \rightarrow B \rightarrow B$, the **iteratively defined function** $(listlter\ n\ c) : A^* \rightarrow B$ is the unique function satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned}listlter\ n\ c\ nil &\equiv n \\listlter\ n\ c\ (x :: \ell) &\equiv c\ x\ (listlter\ n\ c\ \ell).\end{aligned}$$

for all $x : A$ and $\ell : A^*$.

$$listlter : \forall B. B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B \rightarrow B) \rightarrow A^* \rightarrow B$$

List iteration in PLC

see [List.agda](#) on
course web page

$$\mathit{iter} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda \alpha, \beta (\lambda n : \beta, c : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta (\\ \lambda \ell : \alpha \mathit{list} (\ell \beta n c)))$$

satisfies:

- ▶ $\vdash \mathit{iter} : \forall \alpha, \beta (\beta \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha \mathit{list} \rightarrow \beta)$
- ▶ $\mathit{iter} \alpha \beta n c (\mathit{Nil} \alpha) =_{\beta} n$
- ▶ $\mathit{iter} \alpha \beta n c (\mathit{Cons} \alpha x \ell) =_{\beta} c x (\mathit{iter} \alpha \beta n c \ell)$

Understanding PLC encoding: abstract over the data constructors

e.g. for some list =

abstract on constructors

$$\Lambda\beta\lambda(n:\beta)(c:\alpha\rightarrow\beta\rightarrow\beta).$$

x_1	$::$	$(x_2$	$::$	$(x_3$	$::$	nil	$)$
	\downarrow		\downarrow		\downarrow		\downarrow
x_1	c	$(x_2$	c	$(x_3$	c	n	$)$

Understanding PLC encoding: abstract over the data constructors

e.g. for some list = $x_1 :: (x_2 :: (x_3 :: nil))$
abstract on constructors
 $\Lambda\beta \lambda(n : \beta) (c : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta).$ $x_1 \quad c \quad (x_2 \quad c \quad (x_3 \quad c \quad n))$

e.g.

sum = iter \mathbb{N} + 0 $\Rightarrow x_1 + (x_2 + (x_3 + 0))$

len = iter \mathbb{N} inc 0

where inc = $(\lambda_l r. r + 1)$ $\Rightarrow x_1 \text{ inc } (x_2 \text{ inc } (x_3 \text{ inc } 0))$

prod = iter \mathbb{N} \times 1 $\Rightarrow x_1 \times (x_2 \times (x_3 \times 1))$

PLC encodings of ML algebraic datatypes

ML

$\alpha_1 * \alpha_2$

PLC

$\forall \alpha ((\alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha)$

datatype (α_1, α_2) sum =
Inl of α_1 | Inr of α_2

$\forall \alpha ((\alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow (\alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha)$

datatype nat = Zero
| Succ of nat

$\forall \alpha (\alpha \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha)$

datatype binTree =
Leaf | Node of binTree *
binTree

$\forall \alpha (\alpha \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha)$