

This time on **Types**...

Polymorphic λ -calculus

(polymorphic λ -binding). Let's us type:

$$\lambda f((f \text{ true}) :: (f \text{ nil}))$$

λ -bound variables in ML cannot be used polymorphically within a function abstraction

E.g. $\lambda f((f \text{ true}) :: (f \text{ nil}))$ and $\lambda f(f f)$ are not typeable in the ML type system.

Syntactically, because in rule

$$\text{(fn)} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash M : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x(M) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}$$

the abstracted variable has to be assigned a *trivial* type scheme (recall $x : \tau_1$ stands for $x : \forall \{ \} (\tau_1)$).

Semantically, because $\forall A (\tau_1) \rightarrow \tau_2$ is not semantically equivalent to an ML type when $A \neq \{ \}$.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\text{(vars)}} \quad \frac{}{\text{(vars)}} \\
 \frac{f: \forall \phi. \tau_2 \vdash f: \tau_4}{f: \forall \phi. \tau_2 \vdash f f: \tau_3} \quad \frac{f: \forall \phi. \tau_2 \vdash f: \tau_5}{f: \forall \phi. \tau_2 \vdash f f: \tau_3} \text{(app)} \\
 \hline
 \text{(abs)} \\
 \vdash \lambda f. f f: \tau_1
 \end{array}$$

① $\forall \phi \tau_2 \succ \tau_4 \Rightarrow \tau_2 = \tau_4 = \tau_5$

③ $\forall \phi \tau_2 \succ \tau_5 \Rightarrow \tau_2 = \tau_5$

③ $\tau_4 = \tau_5 \rightarrow \tau_3$

$\tau_2 = \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_3$

can't unify, not equal (for finite types)

Monomorphic types ...

$$\tau ::= \alpha \mid \mathit{bool} \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau \mid \tau \mathit{list}$$

...and type schemes

$$\sigma ::= \tau \mid \forall \alpha (\sigma)$$

Polymorphic types

$$\pi ::= \alpha \mid \mathit{bool} \mid \pi \rightarrow \pi \mid \pi \mathit{list} \mid \forall \alpha (\pi)$$

E.g. $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha'$ is a type, $\forall \alpha (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha')$ is a type scheme and a polymorphic type (but not a monomorphic type), $\forall \alpha (\alpha) \rightarrow \alpha'$ is a polymorphic type, but not a type scheme.

Identity, Generalisation and Specialisation

$$\Gamma \vdash x : \pi \quad \text{if } (x : \pi) \in \Gamma \quad (\text{id})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \pi}{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall \alpha (\pi)} \quad \text{if } \alpha \notin \text{ftv}(\Gamma) \quad (\text{gen})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall \alpha (\pi)}{\Gamma \vdash M : \pi[\pi'/\alpha]} \quad (\text{spec})$$

Example

$$\text{(id)} \frac{}{x:\alpha \vdash x:\alpha}$$

$$\text{(abs)} \frac{}{\vdash \lambda x. x : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha}$$

$$\text{(gen)} \frac{}{\vdash \lambda x. x : \forall \alpha. (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha)}$$

$$\text{(spec)} \frac{}{\vdash \lambda x. x : \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool}}$$

$$\text{(true)} \frac{}{\vdash \text{true} : \text{bool}}$$

$$\text{(app)} \frac{}{\vdash (\lambda x. x) \text{true} : \text{bool}}$$

Fact (see Wells (1994)):

For the modified ML type system with polymorphic types and $(\text{var } \succ)$ replaced by the axiom and rules on Slide 41, *the type checking and typeability problems* (cf. Slide 9) *are equivalent and undecidable.*

Explicitly versus implicitly typed languages

Implicit: little or no type information is included in program phrases and typings have to be inferred (ideally, entirely at compile-time). (E.g. Standard ML.)

Explicit: most, if not all, types for phrases are explicitly part of the syntax. (E.g. Java.)

E.g. self application function of type $\forall \alpha (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha)$

(cf. Example 7)

Implicitly typed version: $\lambda f (f f)$

Explicitly type version: $\lambda f : \forall \alpha_1 (\alpha_1) (\wedge \alpha_2 (f(\alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_2)(f \alpha_2)))$

PLC syntax

Types

$\tau ::=$	α	type variable
	$\tau \rightarrow \tau$	function type
	$\forall \alpha (\tau)$	\forall -type

Expressions

$M ::=$	x	variable
	$\lambda x : \tau (M)$	function abstraction
	$M M$	function application
	$\Lambda \alpha (M)$	type generalisation
	$M \tau$	type specialisation

(α and x range over fixed, countably infinite sets TyVar and Var respectively.)

Functions on types

In PLC, $\Lambda \alpha (M)$ is an anonymous notation for the function F mapping each type τ to the value of $M[\tau/\alpha]$ (of some particular type).

$F \tau$ denotes the result of applying such a function to a type.

Computation in PLC involves beta-reduction for such functions on types

$$(\Lambda \alpha (M)) \tau \rightarrow M[\tau/\alpha]$$

as well as the usual form of beta-reduction from λ -calculus

$$(\lambda x : \tau (M_1)) M_2 \rightarrow M_1[M_2/x]$$

PLC typing judgement

takes the form $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ where

- ▶ the **typing environment** Γ is a finite function from variables to PLC types.
(We write $\Gamma = \{x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_n : \tau_n\}$ to indicate that Γ has domain of definition $dom(\Gamma) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and maps each x_i to the PLC type τ_i for $i = 1..n$.)
- ▶ M is a PLC expression
- ▶ τ is a PLC type.

PLC type system

$$\Gamma \vdash x : \tau \quad \text{if } (x : \tau) \in \Gamma \quad (\text{var})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash M : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau_1 (M) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \quad \text{if } x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma) \quad (\text{fn})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash M_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash M_1 M_2 : \tau_2} \quad (\text{app})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha (M) : \forall \alpha (\tau)} \quad \text{if } \alpha \notin \text{ftv}(\Gamma) \quad (\text{gen})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall \alpha (\tau_1)}{\Gamma \vdash M \tau_2 : \tau_1[\tau_2/\alpha]} \quad (\text{spec})$$

Example (gen/spec)

$\emptyset \vdash M : \omega$

$\emptyset \vdash \Lambda \alpha M : \forall \alpha. \alpha$ ^{gen}

$\emptyset \vdash (\Lambda \alpha M)_{\text{int}} : \text{int}$ ^{spec}

Exercise (5 mins)

Consider the identity function *id*, which in the simply-typed lambda calculus is written $\lambda x.x$.

Define *id* in the polymorphic lambda-calculus such that it has type:

$$id : \forall\alpha(\alpha \rightarrow \alpha)$$

Give its type derivation tree.

Hint: the polymorphic identity function has two layers of abstraction: first type abstraction over the *type* variable α , then over the *value* variable.

Exercise answer.

(polymorphic identity function)

Var

$x : \alpha \vdash x : \alpha$

Fun

$\vdash \lambda x : \alpha. x : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$

Gen

$\vdash \Lambda \alpha \lambda x : \alpha. x : \forall \alpha (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha)$

Some syntax considerations

- ▶ Application is left associative

$$M_1 M_2 M_3 = (M_1 M_2) M_3$$

- ▶ Function type arrows are right associative

$$\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_3 = \tau_1 \rightarrow (\tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_3)$$

- ▶ Delimit binders with parentheses; alternatively dot with scope as far to right as possible

$$\forall \alpha. \tau = \forall \alpha (\tau)$$

- ▶ Multiple binders

$$\forall \alpha (\forall \beta (\tau)) = \forall \alpha, \beta (\tau)$$

$$\Lambda \alpha (\Lambda \beta (\tau)) = \Lambda \alpha, \beta (\tau)$$

α -equivalence

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda\alpha(\lambda(x : \alpha)x) &= \Lambda\beta(\lambda(x : \beta)x) \\ &= \Lambda\beta(\lambda(y : \beta)y)\end{aligned}$$

$$\forall\alpha(\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) = \forall\beta(\beta \rightarrow \beta)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\forall\alpha(\alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \alpha) &\neq \forall\beta(\beta \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta) \\ &\neq \forall\alpha(\alpha \rightarrow \gamma \rightarrow \alpha)\end{aligned}$$

An incorrect 'proof'

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(var)} \frac{}{x_1 : \alpha, x_2 : \alpha \vdash x_2 : \alpha} \\ \text{(fn)} \frac{}{x_1 : \alpha \vdash \lambda x_2 : \alpha (x_2) : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha} \\ \text{(wrong!)} \frac{}{x_1 : \alpha \vdash \Lambda \alpha (\lambda x_2 : \alpha (x_2)) : \forall \alpha (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha)} \end{array}$$

(var)

$$\frac{}{x_1 : \alpha, x_2 : \alpha' \vdash x_2 : \alpha'}$$

($\hat{\lambda}$)

$$\frac{}{x_1 : \alpha \vdash \lambda x_2 : \alpha' : \alpha' \rightarrow \alpha'}$$

(gen)

$$\frac{}{x_1 : \alpha \vdash \bigwedge \alpha' (\lambda x_2 : \alpha' (x_2)) : \forall \alpha' (\alpha' \rightarrow \alpha')}$$

Explicit types let us control the variables and choose a different (non-conflicting) variable name for the type of x2

Decidability of the PLC typeability and type-checking problems

Theorem.

For each PLC typing problem, $\Gamma \vdash M : ?$, there is at most one PLC type τ for which $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ is provable. Moreover there is an algorithm, *typ*, which when given any $\Gamma \vdash M : ?$ as input, returns such a τ if it exists and *FAILs* otherwise.

Corollary.

The PLC type checking problem is decidable: we can decide whether or not $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ is provable by checking whether $\text{typ}(\Gamma \vdash M : ?) = \tau$.

(N.B. equality of PLC types up to alpha-conversion is decidable.)

PLC type-checking algorithm, I

Variables:

$$\text{typ}(\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : ?) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau$$

Function abstractions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{typ}(\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau_1 (M) : ?) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \\ \text{let } \tau_2 = \text{typ}(\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash M : ?) &\text{ in } \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \end{aligned}$$

Function applications:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{typ}(\Gamma \vdash M_1 M_2 : ?) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \\ \text{let } \tau_1 = \text{typ}(\Gamma \vdash M_1 : ?) &\text{ in} \\ \text{let } \tau_2 = \text{typ}(\Gamma \vdash M_2 : ?) &\text{ in} \\ \text{case } \tau_1 \text{ of } \tau \rightarrow \tau' &\mapsto \text{ if } \tau = \tau_2 \text{ then } \tau' \text{ else } \text{FAIL} \\ \quad \quad \quad | \quad \quad - &\mapsto \text{FAIL} \end{aligned}$$

PLC type-checking algorithm, II

Type generalisations:

$$\text{typ}(\Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha (M) : ?) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \\ \text{let } \tau = \text{typ}(\Gamma \vdash M : ?) \text{ in } \forall \alpha (\tau)$$

Type specialisations:

$$\text{typ}(\Gamma \vdash M \tau_2 : ?) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \\ \text{let } \tau = \text{typ}(\Gamma \vdash M : ?) \text{ in} \\ \text{case } \tau \text{ of } \begin{array}{ll} \forall \alpha (\tau_1) & \mapsto \tau_1[\tau_2/\alpha] \\ | \quad \quad \quad - & \mapsto \text{FAIL} \end{array}$$

Polymorphic booleans

$$\mathit{bool} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall \alpha (\alpha \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha))$$

$$\mathit{True} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda \alpha (\lambda x_1 : \alpha, x_2 : \alpha (x_1))$$

$$\mathit{False} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda \alpha (\lambda x_1 : \alpha, x_2 : \alpha (x_2))$$

$$\mathit{if} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda \alpha (\lambda b : \mathit{bool}, x_1 : \alpha, x_2 : \alpha (b \alpha x_1 x_2))$$