L28: Advanced functional programming

Exercise 1

Due on Febuary 9th

For these questions, you may assume that all the definitions given in Figure 1 are available in System $F\omega$.

- 1. Give a typing derivation for $\Lambda \alpha :: *.\lambda x : \alpha.\langle x, x \rangle$ (3 marks)
- 2. Algorithm J is defined recursively over the structure of terms. The case for function application (M N) is as follows:

J $(\Gamma, M N) = \beta$ where A = J (Γ, M) and B = J (Γ, N) and unify' $(\{A = B \rightarrow \beta\})$ succeeds and β is fresh

Give similar cases to handle the following constructs:

- (i) Constructing a pair $(\langle M, N \rangle)$
- (ii) Projecting the first element of a pair (fst M)
- (iii) Constructing a sum using inl (inl M)
- (iv) Destructing a sum (case L of x.M | y.N)

(5 marks)

3. The following OCaml type represents ternary trees:

(i) Give an encoding of this type in System $F\omega$ consisting of a type operator (TTree) of kind $* \Rightarrow *$, a function for each constructor (empty and tree), and a function (foldTTree) for folding over trees.

(3 marks)

(ii) Write a function

 $\texttt{totalNatTree} \ : \ \texttt{TTree} \ \texttt{Nat} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Nat}$

that computes the total of a ternary tree of Nats in System F ω .

(1 marks)

4. Using existentials, products, the List type, the Nat type and the Option type, implement a queue data structure in System $F\omega$ with a type corresponding to the following OCaml signature:

```
type 'a t
val empty : 'a t
val enqueue : 'a -> 'a t -> 'a t
val dequeue : 'a t -> 'a t * 'a option
val size : 'a t -> int
```

(6 marks)

5. (i) Why does the type checker reject the following program? Specifically, what potentially unsafe behaviour is the type checker's rejection of the program intended to prevent?

```
let rec make size elem =
  if size <= 0 then []
  else elem :: (make (size - 1) elem)
let foo =
  let make_three = make 3 in
    make_three "hello",
    make_three 5</pre>
```

(3 marks)

(ii) Replace make with a function of the same type which would make the program unsafe if the type checker did not reject it.

(1 mark)

(iii) Adjust the definition of make_three so that the program is accepted by the type-checker, without changing the result of the program.

(1 mark)

(iv) The following program is also rejected by the type-checker:

```
let make size =
   Printf.printf "Making lists of length %d\n" size;
   let rec loop size elem =
        if size <= 0 then []
        else elem :: (loop (size - 1) elem)
        in
            loop size
let foo =
   let make_three = make 3 in
        make_three 5</pre>
```

It is not possible to make this program pass the type-checker by only changing make_three without affecting the program's observable behaviour (i.e. the number of times the message is printed). However, using a record field with a universal type, it is possible to wrap the result of make so that it can be used polymorphically. Using this technique, adjust the program so that it passes the type-checker without affecting its observable behaviour.

(2 marks)

Nat = $\forall \alpha :: * . \alpha \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$ zero = $\Lambda \alpha :: * . \lambda z : \alpha . \lambda s : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha . z$ succ = $\lambda n: Nat. \Lambda \alpha::^* . \lambda z: \alpha . \lambda s: \alpha \rightarrow \alpha . s (n [\alpha] z s)$ $add = \lambda m: Nat. \lambda n: Nat.m$ [Nat] n succ List = $\lambda \alpha :: * . \forall \varphi :: * \Rightarrow * . \varphi \ \alpha \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \varphi \ \alpha \rightarrow \varphi \ \alpha) \rightarrow \varphi \ \alpha$ $\operatorname{nil} = \Lambda \alpha :: * \cdot \Lambda \varphi :: * \Rightarrow * \cdot \lambda \operatorname{nil} : \varphi \ \alpha \cdot \lambda \operatorname{cons} : \alpha \to \varphi \ \alpha \to \varphi \ \alpha \cdot \operatorname{nil}$ $\operatorname{cons} = \Lambda \alpha :: * . \lambda x : \alpha . \lambda x s : \text{List } \alpha.$ $\Lambda \varphi :: * \Rightarrow * . \lambda \operatorname{nil} : \varphi \ \alpha . \lambda \operatorname{cons} : \alpha \to \varphi \ \alpha \to \varphi \ \alpha.$ $\cos x (xs [\varphi] nil cons)$ foldList = $\Lambda \alpha :: * . \Lambda \beta :: *$. $\lambda c: \alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta . \lambda n: \beta . \lambda l: List \alpha.$ $1 [\lambda \gamma :: * . \beta]$ n c append = $\Lambda \alpha :: *$. λl : List $\alpha . \lambda r$: List α . foldList $[\alpha]$ [List α] (cons $[\alpha]$) l r reverse = $\Lambda \alpha :: *$. $\lambda l: List \alpha.$ foldList $[\alpha]$ [List $\alpha \to \text{List } \alpha$] $(\lambda x: \alpha, \lambda k: \text{List } \alpha \to \text{List } \alpha, \lambda xs: \text{List } \alpha, k (\text{cons } [\alpha] x xs))$ $(\lambda xs: List \alpha. xs) \mid (nil \mid \alpha \mid)$ Option = $\lambda \alpha :: * . \forall \varphi :: * \Rightarrow * . \varphi \ \alpha \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \varphi \ \alpha) \rightarrow \varphi \ \alpha$ none = $\Lambda \alpha :: * . \Lambda \varphi :: * \Rightarrow * . \lambda$ none : $\varphi \alpha . \lambda$ some : $\alpha \rightarrow \varphi \alpha$. none some = $\Lambda \alpha :: * . \lambda x : \alpha$. $\Lambda \varphi :: * \Rightarrow * . \lambda \text{none} : \varphi \ \alpha . \lambda \text{some} : \alpha \rightarrow \varphi \ \alpha .$ some x foldOption = $\Lambda \alpha :: * . \Lambda \beta :: *$. $\lambda s: \alpha \rightarrow \beta . \lambda n: \beta . \lambda o: Option \alpha.$ o $[\lambda \gamma :: * . \beta]$ n s Figure 1: Definitions in System $F\omega$

4