

[cf. Slide 7]

(a) A Mini-ML **type checking** problem:

given closed M and σ ,
does $\{\} \vdash M : \sigma$ hold?

(b) A Mini-ML **typeability** problem

given closed M , does there exist
a closed σ such that $\{\} \vdash M : \sigma$ holds?

N.B. Solving (a) entails solving (b) because of
the form of the (let) typing rule.

Two examples involving self-application

$$M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{let } f = \lambda x_1 (\lambda x_2 (x_1)) \text{ in } f f$$

$$M' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lambda f (f f)) \lambda x_1 (\lambda x_2 (x_1))$$

Are M and M' typeable in the Mini-ML type system?

Figure 1 [p 19]

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{x_1 : \quad , x_2 : \quad \vdash x_1 :} \text{(C3)} \\
 \frac{}{x_1 : \quad \vdash \lambda x_2(x_1) :} \text{(C2)} \\
 \frac{}{\{ \} \vdash \lambda x_1(\lambda x_2(x_1)) :} \text{(C1)}
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \frac{}{f : \quad \vdash f :} \text{(C5)}
 \quad
 \frac{}{f : \quad \vdash f :} \text{(C6)}$$

$$\frac{}{\{ \} \vdash \lambda x_1(\lambda x_2(x_1)) :} \text{(C1)}
 \quad
 \frac{}{f : \quad \vdash f :} \text{(C4)}
 \quad
 \frac{}{f : \quad \vdash ff :} \text{(C0)}$$

$$\{ \} \vdash \text{let } f = \lambda x_1(\lambda x_2(x_1)) \text{ in } ff :$$

Figure 1 [p 19]

$\forall\{\}\tau_3$

$\forall\{\}\tau_5$

$x_1 : \tau_3, x_2 : \tau_5 \vdash x_1 : \tau_6$ (C3)

$x_1 : \tau_3 \vdash \lambda x_2(x_1) : \tau_4$ (C2)

$\{\} \vdash \lambda x_1(\lambda x_2(x_1)) : \tau_2$ (C1)

$\{\} \vdash \lambda x_1(\lambda x_2(x_1)) : \tau_2$

$f : \forall A(\tau_2) \vdash f : \tau_7$ (C5) $f : \forall A(\tau_2) \vdash f : \tau_8$ (C6)

$f : \forall A(\tau_2) \vdash f : \tau_7$ (C4)

$f : \forall A(\tau_2) \vdash ff : \tau_1$ (C0)

$\{\} \vdash \text{let } f = \lambda x_1(\lambda x_2(x_1)) \text{ in } ff : \tau_1$

Constraints generated while inferring a type for

$\text{let } f = \lambda x_1 (\lambda x_2 (x_1)) \text{ in } f f$

$$(C0) \quad A = ftv(\tau_2)$$

$$(C1) \quad \tau_2 = \tau_3 \rightarrow \tau_4$$

$$(C2) \quad \tau_4 = \tau_5 \rightarrow \tau_6$$

$$(C3) \quad \forall \{ \} (\tau_3) \succ \tau_6, \text{ i.e. } \tau_3 = \tau_6$$

$$(C4) \quad \tau_7 = \tau_8 \rightarrow \tau_1$$

$$(C5) \quad \forall A (\tau_2) \succ \tau_7$$

$$(C6) \quad \forall A (\tau_2) \succ \tau_8$$

$$\tau_2 \stackrel{(C1)}{=} \tau_3 \rightarrow \tau_4 \stackrel{(C2)}{=} \tau_3 \rightarrow (\tau_5 \rightarrow \tau_6) \stackrel{(C3)}{=} \tau_6 \rightarrow (\tau_5 \rightarrow \tau_6)$$

$$\tau_2 \stackrel{(C1)}{=} \tau_3 \rightarrow \tau_4 \stackrel{(C2)}{=} \tau_3 \rightarrow (\tau_5 \rightarrow \tau_6) \stackrel{(C3)}{=} \tau_6 \rightarrow (\tau_5 \rightarrow \tau_6)$$

Take $\left. \begin{array}{l} \tau_6 = \alpha_1 \\ \tau_5 = \alpha_2 \end{array} \right\}$ type variables.

$$\text{So } A = \text{ftv}(\tau_2) = \text{ftv}(\alpha_1 \rightarrow (\alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_1)) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$$

$$\tau_2 \stackrel{(C1)}{=} \tau_3 \rightarrow \tau_4 \stackrel{(C2)}{=} \tau_3 \rightarrow (\tau_5 \rightarrow \tau_6) \stackrel{(C3)}{=} \tau_6 \rightarrow (\tau_5 \rightarrow \tau_6)$$

Take $\left. \begin{array}{l} \tau_6 = \alpha_1 \\ \tau_5 = \alpha_2 \end{array} \right\}$ type variables.

$$\text{So } A = \text{ftv}(\tau_2) = \text{ftv}(\alpha_1 \rightarrow (\alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_1)) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$$

$$(C5) : \forall \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} (\alpha_1 \rightarrow (\alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_1)) > \tau_7 \stackrel{(C4)}{=} \tau_8 \rightarrow \tau_1$$

$$(C6) : \quad \quad \quad " \quad \quad \quad " \quad \quad \quad > \tau_8$$

$$\text{so } \begin{cases} \tau_8 \rightarrow \tau_1 = \tau_9 \rightarrow (\tau_{10} \rightarrow \tau_9) \\ \tau_8 = \tau_{11} \rightarrow (\tau_{12} \rightarrow \tau_{11}) \end{cases} \text{ for some } \begin{array}{l} \tau_9, \tau_{10}, \\ \tau_{11}, \tau_{12} \end{array}$$

Thus

$\{ \} \vdash (\text{let } f = \lambda x_1 (\lambda x_2 (x_1)) \text{ in } ff) : \tau_{10} \rightarrow (\tau_{11} \rightarrow (\tau_{12} \rightarrow \tau_{11}))$
holds for any $\tau_{10}, \tau_{11}, \tau_{12}$

So

$\vdash (\text{let } f = \lambda x_1 (\lambda x_2 (x_1)) \text{ in } ff) :$
 $\forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 (\alpha_1 \rightarrow (\alpha_2 \rightarrow (\alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_2)))$

Two examples involving self-application

$$M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{let } f = \lambda x_1(\lambda x_2(x_1)) \text{ in } f f$$

$$M' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lambda f(f f)) \lambda x_1(\lambda x_2(x_1))$$

Are M and M' typeable in the Mini-ML type system?

[Page 21]

The constraints generated from trying to type

$(\lambda f(f f)) \lambda x_1(\lambda x_2(x_1))$

give

$$\tau_7 \stackrel{(C13)}{=} \tau_4 \stackrel{(C12)}{=} \tau_6 \stackrel{(C11)}{=} \tau_7 \rightarrow \tau_5$$

[Page 21]

The constraints generated from trying to type

$(\lambda f(f f)) \lambda x_1(\lambda x_2(x_1))$

give

$\tau_7 \stackrel{(C13)}{=} \tau_4 \stackrel{(C12)}{=} \tau_6 \stackrel{(C11)}{=} \tau_7 \rightarrow \tau_5$

~~✗~~

these
cannot be equal - they
have different numbers of
the symbol " \rightarrow " in them

Principal type schemes for closed expressions

A closed type scheme $\forall A (\tau)$ is the *principal* type scheme of a closed Mini-ML expression M if

(a) $\vdash M : \forall A (\tau)$

(b) for any other closed type scheme $\forall A' (\tau')$,
if $\vdash M : \forall A' (\tau')$, then $\forall A (\tau) \succ \tau'$

eg $\forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 (\alpha_1 \rightarrow (\alpha_2 \rightarrow (\alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_2)))$ is principal type scheme
for let $f = \lambda x_1 (\lambda x_2 (x_1, 1))$ in $f f$

Theorem (Hindley; Damas-Milner)

If the closed Mini-ML expression M is typeable (i.e. $\vdash M : \sigma$ holds for some type scheme σ), then there is a principal type scheme for M .

Indeed, there is an algorithm which, given any M as input, decides whether or not it is typeable and returns a principal type scheme if it is.

An ML expression with a principal type scheme hundreds of pages long

```
let pair =  $\lambda x(\lambda y(\lambda z(z x y)))$  in
  let  $x_1 = \lambda y(\textit{pair } y y)$  in
    let  $x_2 = \lambda y(x_1(x_1 y))$  in
      let  $x_3 = \lambda y(x_2(x_2 y))$  in
        let  $x_4 = \lambda y(x_3(x_3 y))$  in
          let  $x_5 = \lambda y(x_4(x_4 y))$  in
             $x_5(\lambda y(y))$ 
```

(Taken from Mairson 1990.)

Principal type schemes for open expressions

A *solution* for the typing problem $\Gamma \vdash M : ?$ is a pair (S, σ) consisting of a type substitution S and a type scheme σ satisfying

$$S \Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$$

(where $S \Gamma = \{x_1 : S \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : S \sigma_n\}$, if $\Gamma = \{x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n\}$).

Such a solution is *principal* if given any other, (S', σ') , there is some $T \in \text{Sub}$ with $TS = S'$ and $T(\sigma) \succ \sigma'$.

[For type schemes σ and σ' , with $\sigma' = \forall A' (\tau')$ say, we define $\sigma \succ \sigma'$ to mean $A' \cap \text{ftv}(\sigma) = \{\}$ and $\sigma \succ \tau'$.]

Example typing problem:

$$x : \forall \alpha (\beta \rightarrow (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha)) \vdash x \text{ true} ?$$

Has solutions

$$S_1 = \{ \beta \mapsto \text{bool} \}, \sigma_1 = \forall \alpha (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha)$$

Example typing problem:

$$x : \forall \alpha (\beta \rightarrow (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha)) \vdash x \text{ true?}$$

Has solutions

$$S_1 = \{ \beta \mapsto \text{bool} \}, \sigma_1 = \forall \alpha (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha)$$

$$S_2 = \{ \beta \mapsto \text{bool}, \gamma \mapsto \alpha \}, \sigma_2 = \forall \alpha' (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha')$$

BOTH
PRINCIPAL
SOLUTIONS

Example typing problem:

$$x : \forall \alpha (\beta \rightarrow (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha)) \vdash x \text{ true?}$$

Has solutions

$$S_1 = \{ \beta \mapsto \text{bool} \}, \sigma_1 = \forall \alpha (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha) \quad \leftarrow \text{BOTH PRINCIPAL SOLUTIONS}$$

$$S_2 = \{ \beta \mapsto \text{bool}, \gamma \mapsto \alpha \}, \sigma_2 = \forall \alpha' (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha') \quad \leftarrow$$

$$S_3 = \{ \beta \mapsto \text{bool}, \gamma \mapsto \alpha \}, \sigma_3 = \forall \alpha' (\alpha \rightarrow (\alpha' \rightarrow \alpha'))$$

Example typing problem:

$$x : \forall \alpha (\beta \rightarrow (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha)) \vdash x \text{ true?}$$

Has solutions

$$S_1 = \{ \beta \mapsto \text{bool} \}, \sigma_1 = \forall \alpha (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha) \quad \leftarrow \text{BOTH PRINCIPAL SOLUTIONS}$$

$$S_2 = \{ \beta \mapsto \text{bool}, \gamma \mapsto \alpha \}, \sigma_2 = \forall \alpha' (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha') \quad \leftarrow$$

$$S_3 = \{ \beta \mapsto \text{bool}, \gamma \mapsto \alpha \}, \sigma_3 = \forall \alpha' (\alpha \rightarrow (\alpha' \rightarrow \alpha'))$$

$$S_4 = \{ \beta \mapsto \text{bool}, \gamma \mapsto \text{bool} \}, \sigma_4 = \forall \{ \} (\text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool})$$

Properties of the Mini-ML typing relation

- If $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$, then for any type substitution $S \in \text{Sub}$
 $S\Gamma \vdash M : S\sigma$.
- If $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$ and $\sigma \succ \sigma'$, then $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma'$.

Specification for the principal typing algorithm, pt

pt operates on typing problems $\Gamma \vdash M : ?$ (consisting of a typing environment Γ and an Mini-ML expression M). It returns either a pair (S, τ) consisting of a type substitution $S \in \mathbf{Sub}$ and an Mini-ML type τ , or the exception *FAIL*.

- If $\Gamma \vdash M : ?$ has a solution (cf. Slide 27), then $pt(\Gamma \vdash M : ?)$ returns (S, τ) for some S and τ ;
moreover, setting $A = (ftv(\tau) - ftv(S \Gamma))$, then $(S, \forall A (\tau))$ is a principal solution for the problem $\Gamma \vdash M : ?$.
- If $\Gamma \vdash M : ?$ has no solution, then $pt(\Gamma \vdash M : ?)$ returns *FAIL*.

Unification of ML types

There is an algorithm *mgu* which when input two Mini-ML types τ_1 and τ_2 decides whether τ_1 and τ_2 are *unifiable*, i.e. whether there exists a type-substitution $S \in \text{Sub}$ with

(a) $S(\tau_1) = S(\tau_2)$.

Moreover, if they are unifiable, $mgu(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ returns the *most general unifier*—an S satisfying both (a) and

(b) for all $S' \in \text{Sub}$, if $S'(\tau_1) = S'(\tau_2)$, then $S' = TS$ for some $T \in \text{Sub}$.

By convention $mgu(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \text{FAIL}$ if (and only if) τ_1 and τ_2 are not unifiable.

Some of the clauses in a definition of pt

Function abstractions: $pt(\Gamma \vdash \lambda x(M) : ?) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$

let $\alpha = \text{fresh}$ in

let $(S, \tau) = pt(\Gamma, x : \alpha \vdash M : ?)$ in $(S, S(\alpha) \rightarrow \tau)$

Function applications: $pt(\Gamma \vdash M_1 M_2 : ?) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$

let $(S_1, \tau_1) = pt(\Gamma \vdash M_1 : ?)$ in

let $(S_2, \tau_2) = pt(S_1 \Gamma \vdash M_2 : ?)$ in

let $\alpha = \text{fresh}$ in

let $S_3 = mgu(S_2 \tau_1, \tau_2 \rightarrow \alpha)$ in $(S_3 S_2 S_1, S_3(\alpha))$

Mini-ML type system, III

$$\text{(fn)} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash M : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x(M) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \quad \text{if } x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma)$$

$$\text{(app)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash M_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash M_1 M_2 : \tau_2}$$

$$\rho\epsilon(\Gamma \vdash M_1 : ?) = (S_1, \tau_1)$$


$$S_1, \Gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau_1$$

$$\hookrightarrow \rho\epsilon(\Gamma \vdash M_1, M_2 : ?) =$$

$$\text{pt}(\Gamma \vdash M_1 : ?) = (S_1, \tau_1)$$

+slide 28

$$S_2 S_1 \Gamma \vdash M_1 : S_2 \tau_1$$

$$\text{pt}(S_1 \Gamma \vdash M_2 : ?) = (S_2, \tau_2)$$

$$S_2 S_1 \Gamma \vdash M_2 : \tau_2$$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{pt}(\Gamma' \vdash M_1 M_2 : ?) =$$

$$\text{pt}(\Gamma \vdash M_1 : ?) = (S_1, \tau_1)$$

$$\text{pt}(S_1 \Gamma \vdash M_2 : ?) = (S_2, \tau_2)$$

$$\text{mgu}(S_2 \tau_1, \tau_2 \rightarrow \alpha) = S_3$$

+ slide 28

$$S_3 \tau_2 \rightarrow S_3 \alpha$$

\Rightarrow

$$S_3 S_2 S_1 \Gamma \vdash M_1 : S_3 S_2 \tau_1$$

$$S_3 S_2 S_1 \Gamma \vdash M_2 : S_3 \tau_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hookrightarrow \text{pt}(\Gamma' \vdash M_1 M_2 : ?) = \\ (S_3 S_2 S_1, S_3 \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{pt}(\Gamma \vdash M_1 : ?) = (S_1, \tau_1)$$

$$\text{pt}(S_1 \Gamma \vdash M_2 : ?) = (S_2, \tau_2)$$

$$\text{mgu}(S_2 \tau_1, \tau_2 \rightarrow \alpha) = S_3$$

$$S_3 S_2 \tau_1$$

\Rightarrow

$$S_3 S_2 S_1 \Gamma \vdash M_1 : S_3 \tau_2 \rightarrow S_3 \alpha$$

$$S_3 S_2 S_1 \Gamma \vdash M_2 : S_3 \tau_2$$

(app)

$$S_3 S_2 S_1 \Gamma \vdash M_1 M_2 : S_3 \alpha$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hookrightarrow \text{pt}(\Gamma \vdash M_1 M_2 : ?) = \\ (S_3 S_2 S_1, S_3 \alpha) \end{aligned}$$