

Negation

Negations are statements of the form

not P

or, in other words,

P is not the case

or

P is absurd

or

P leads to contradiction

or, in symbols,

$\neg P$

A first proof strategy for negated goals and assumptions:

If possible, reexpress the negation in an *equivalent* form and use instead this other statement.

$$P \Rightarrow \neg(\neg P)$$

Logical equivalences

$$\neg(\neg P) \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} P$$

$$P \Rightarrow (\neg P \Rightarrow \text{false})$$

Assume P ①

Assume $\neg P$



$$\textcircled{2} (P \Rightarrow \text{false})$$

by MP, ① & ②

false.

$\neg(P \Rightarrow Q)$	\iff	$P \ \& \ \neg Q$
$\neg(P \iff Q)$	\iff	$\neg P \iff \neg Q$
$\neg(\forall x. P(x))$	\iff	$\exists x. \neg P(x)$
$\neg(P \ \& \ Q)$	\iff	$(\neg P) \vee (\neg Q)$
$\neg(\exists x. P(x))$	\iff	$\forall x. \neg P(x)$
$\neg(P \vee Q)$	\iff	$(\neg P) \ \& \ (\neg Q)$
$\neg(\neg P)$	\iff	P
$\neg P$	\iff	$(P \Rightarrow \text{false})$

Truth tables

P	Q
T	T
T	F
F	T
F	F

$P \Rightarrow Q$
T
F
T
T

$\neg P$
F
F
T
T

$\neg P \vee Q$
T
F
T
T

$$(P \Rightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg P \vee Q) \quad \begin{matrix} \nearrow \\ \nwarrow \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} P \&\neg Q \\ P \&Q \end{matrix}$$

$$\neg(P \Rightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow \neg(\neg P \vee Q) \Leftrightarrow \neg\neg P \&\neg Q$$

Theorem 33 For all statements P and Q ,

$$(P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow (\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P) .$$

PROOF: Let P and Q be statements. Assume

① $\boxed{P \Rightarrow Q}$. Assume $\neg Q \Leftrightarrow \boxed{Q \Rightarrow \text{false}}$ ②

By MP, $P \Rightarrow Q \Rightarrow \text{false}$

Therefore $(P \Rightarrow \text{false}) \Leftrightarrow \neg P$. □

$$\boxed{?} \quad (\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P) \Rightarrow (P \Rightarrow Q) ?$$

Theorem 34 The real number $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

PROOF:

$\neg (\sqrt{2} \text{ rational})$

$\Leftrightarrow (\sqrt{2} \text{ rational} \Rightarrow \text{false})$

Assume $\sqrt{2}$ rational

$\Leftrightarrow (\exists \text{ int } m, n. \sqrt{2} = m/n)$

Let m_0 and n_0 be integers such that $\sqrt{2} = m_0/n_0$

Thus, $2(n_0)^2 = (m_0)^2$ Hence $(m_0)^2$ is even.

and $m_0 = 2m_1$ for some m_1 .

Now $2(n_0)^2 = 4(m_1)^2$ and so

$$n_0^2 = 2(m_1)^2 \text{ hence even from}$$

which it follows that n_0 is even.

Recap Whenever $\sqrt{2} = m_0/n_0$ then

it is necessarily the case that

both m_0 and n_0 are even.

This is absurd because then the fraction for $\sqrt{2}$ would not have an equivalent in lowest terms. \square

$$P \Leftrightarrow \neg(\neg P) \Leftrightarrow (\neg P \Rightarrow \underline{\text{false}})$$

Proof by contradiction

The strategy for proof by contradiction:

To prove a goal P by contradiction is to prove the equivalent statement $\neg P \Rightarrow \text{false}$

which is proved by assuming $\neg P$ and establishing a contradiction.

Proof by contradiction

The strategy for proof by contradiction:

To prove a goal P by contradiction is to prove the equivalent statement $\neg P \implies \text{false}$

Proof pattern:

In order to prove

P

1. **Write:** We use proof by contradiction. So, suppose P is false.
2. **Deduce a logical contradiction.**
3. **Write:** This is a contradiction. Therefore, P must be true.

Scratch work:

Before using the strategy

Assumptions

⋮

Goal

P

After using the strategy

Assumptions

⋮

$\neg P$

Goal

contradiction

Theorem 35 For all statements P and Q ,

$$(\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P) \Rightarrow (P \Rightarrow Q) .$$

PROOF: Assume $\boxed{\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P}$ ^①. Assume \boxed{P} ^②.

Our goal is to show Q . By contradiction

assume $\boxed{\neg Q}$ ^③.

From ③ and ①, we conclude $\neg P \Leftrightarrow \boxed{(P \Rightarrow \text{false})}$ ^④.

From ② and ④, we concluded false. Hence a contradiction. □

Every rational number can be expressed as a fraction in lowest terms.

Lemma 36 A positive real number x is rational iff

$$(*) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists \text{ positive integers } m, n : \\ x = m/n \ \& \ \neg(\exists \text{ prime } p : p \mid m \ \& \ p \mid n) \end{array} \right. \text{ terms}^{(+)}$$

PROOF: (\Leftarrow) Easy.

$$(\Rightarrow) \text{ Assume } x \text{ rational} \quad \textcircled{1}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \boxed{(\exists k, l. x = k/l)}$$

By contradiction, we assume $\neg (*)$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall \text{ pos. int } m, n. \neg (x = m/n \ \& \ \neg(\text{---}))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall \text{ pos int } m, n. \neg (x = m/n) \vee \neg \neg(\text{---})$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall \text{ pos int } m, n. \neg (x = m/n) \vee (\text{---})$$

②
 \Leftrightarrow

\nexists pos. int m, n .

$(x = m/n \Rightarrow \exists \text{ prime } p. p|m \ \& \ p|n)$

By ①, let k and l
be such that $x = k/l$.

pos. int. Then, by ② specialised

\exists prime p_0 . $p_0|k$ & $p_0|l$.

for k and l

$$x = k/l = \frac{p_0 \cdot k_0}{p_0 \cdot l_0} = \frac{k_0}{l_0} \stackrel{\text{②}}{=} \frac{p_1 \cdot k_1}{p_1 \cdot l_1} = \frac{k_1}{l_1}$$

want to
show that
it leads
to contradiction

$$k = p_0 k_0 = p_0 p_1 k_1 = p_0 p_1 p_2 k_2$$

$$= p_0 \cdot p_1 \cdots p_R \cdot k'$$

$1, 2^R$

