

Denotational Semantics

10 lectures for Part II CST 2013/14

Marcelo Fiore

Course web page:

<http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/teaching/1314/DenotSem/>

Topic 1

Introduction

What is this course about?

- General area.

Formal methods: Mathematical techniques for the specification, development, and verification of software and hardware systems.

- Specific area.

Formal semantics: Mathematical theories for ascribing meanings to computer languages.

Why do we care?

- Rigour.
 - ... specification of programming languages
 - ... justification of program transformations
- Insight.
 - ... generalisations of notions computability
 - ... higher-order functions
 - ... data structures

- Feedback into language design.
 - ... continuations
 - ... monads
- Reasoning principles.
 - ... Scott induction
 - ... Logical relations
 - ... Co-induction

Styles of formal semantics

Operational.

Meanings for program phrases defined in terms of the *steps of computation* they can take during program execution.

Axiomatic.

Meanings for program phrases defined indirectly via the *axioms and rules* of some logic of program properties.

Denotational.

Concerned with giving *mathematical models* of programming languages. Meanings for program phrases defined abstractly as elements of some suitable mathematical structure.

Basic idea of denotational semantics

Syntax $\xrightarrow{\llbracket - \rrbracket}$ Semantics

Recursive program \mapsto Partial recursive function

Boolean circuit \mapsto Boolean function

$P \mapsto \llbracket P \rrbracket$

Concerns: *Reg. Exp. \mapsto (Reg) Languages.*

- Abstract models (*i.e.* implementation/machine independent).
 \rightsquigarrow Lectures 2, 3 and 4.
- Compositionality.
 \rightsquigarrow Lectures 5 and 6.
- Relationship to computation (*e.g.* operational semantics).
 \rightsquigarrow Lectures 7 and 8.

Characteristic features of a denotational semantics

- Each phrase (= part of a program), P , is given a **denotation**, $\llbracket P \rrbracket$ — a mathematical object representing the contribution of P to the meaning of *any* complete program in which it occurs.
- The denotation of a phrase is determined just by the denotations of its subphrases (one says that the semantics is **compositional**).

Basic example of denotational semantics (I)

IMP⁻ syntax

Arithmetic expressions

$A \in \mathbf{Aexp} ::= \underline{n} \mid L \mid A + A \mid \dots$

where n ranges over *integers* and

L over a specified set of *locations* \mathbb{L}

Boolean expressions

$B \in \mathbf{Bexp} ::= \mathbf{true} \mid \mathbf{false} \mid A = A \mid \dots$
 $\mid \neg B \mid \dots$

Commands

$C \in \mathbf{Comm} ::= \mathbf{skip} \mid L := A \mid C; C$
 $\mid \mathbf{if } B \mathbf{ then } C \mathbf{ else } C$

Basic example of denotational semantics (II)

Semantic functions

$A[A](s) \in \mathbb{Z}$ \sim the integer value of the arithmetic expression A in state s .

$A: \mathbf{Aexp} \rightarrow (State \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$

$B: \mathbf{Bexp} \rightarrow (State \rightarrow \mathbb{B})$

$C: \mathbf{Comm} \rightarrow (State \rightarrow State)$

where

$\mathbb{Z} = \{ \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots \}$

$\mathbb{B} = \{ true, false \}$

$State = (\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$

$E[C]$ is a state transformer

Def Given sets A and B we let $(A \rightarrow B)$ be the set of all functions from A to B .

Example State = $(\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$

Idea here is that for a state $s \in \text{State}$ and a location $L \in \mathbb{L}$,

$s(L)$ is the integer stored in L at state s .

Def For sets A and B , The set $(A \rightarrow B)$
is that of partial functions from A to B .

Example (State \rightarrow State)

Semantic function \mathcal{A} . syntax

semantics

$\mathcal{A}[n] \quad \mathcal{A} = n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\mathcal{A}[L] \quad \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(L) \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\mathcal{A}[A_1 + A_2] = \mathcal{A}[A_1] + \mathcal{A}[A_2]$

↙
syntax
addition

↙
mathematical
addition

Basic example of denotational semantics (III)

Semantic function \mathcal{A}

$$\mathcal{A}[\underline{n}] = \lambda s \in State. n$$

$$\mathcal{A}[L] = \lambda s \in State. s(L)$$

$$\mathcal{A}[A_1 + A_2] = \lambda s \in State. \mathcal{A}[A_1](s) + \mathcal{A}[A_2](s)$$

Basic example of denotational semantics (IV)

Semantic function \mathcal{B}

$$\mathcal{B}[\mathbf{true}] = \lambda s \in State. true$$

$$\mathcal{B}[\mathbf{false}] = \lambda s \in State. false$$

$$\mathcal{B}[A_1 = A_2] = \lambda s \in State. eq(\mathcal{A}[A_1](s), \mathcal{A}[A_2](s))$$

$$\text{where } eq(a, a') = \begin{cases} true & \text{if } a = a' \\ false & \text{if } a \neq a' \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{C} : \text{Comm} \rightarrow (\text{State} \rightarrow \text{State})$$

Basic example of denotational semantics (V)

Semantic function \mathcal{C}

$$\llbracket \text{skip} \rrbracket = \lambda s \in \text{State}. s$$

The identity function

NB: From now on the names of semantic functions are omitted!

$\llbracket \text{if } B \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2 \rrbracket (\Delta)$

$= \begin{cases} \llbracket C_1 \rrbracket (\Delta) \\ \llbracket C_2 \rrbracket (\Delta) \end{cases}$

if $\llbracket B \rrbracket (\Delta) = \text{true}$

if $\llbracket B \rrbracket (\Delta) = \text{false}$

A simple example of compositionality

Given partial functions $\llbracket C \rrbracket, \llbracket C' \rrbracket : State \rightarrow State$ and a function $\llbracket B \rrbracket : State \rightarrow \{true, false\}$, we can define

$$\llbracket \text{if } B \text{ then } C \text{ else } C' \rrbracket = \\ \lambda s \in State. \text{if} (\llbracket B \rrbracket(s), \llbracket C \rrbracket(s), \llbracket C' \rrbracket(s))$$

where

$$\text{if}(b, x, x') = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } b = true \\ x' & \text{if } b = false \end{cases}$$

$[L=A](s) \in \text{State}$

↙ the state is s , but modified so that
 L is mapped to $[A](s)$.

Basic example of denotational semantics (VI)

Semantic function \mathcal{C}

$$\llbracket L := A \rrbracket = \lambda s \in \text{State}. \lambda \ell \in \mathbb{L}. \text{if } (\ell = L, \llbracket A \rrbracket (s), s(\ell))$$

Recall $(f \circ g) = \lambda x. f(gx)$

Denotational semantics of sequential composition

Denotation of sequential composition $C; C'$ of two commands

$$\llbracket C; C' \rrbracket = \llbracket C' \rrbracket \circ \llbracket C \rrbracket = \lambda s \in \text{State}. \llbracket C' \rrbracket(\llbracket C \rrbracket(s))$$

given by composition of the partial functions from states to states $\llbracket C \rrbracket, \llbracket C' \rrbracket : \text{State} \rightarrow \text{State}$ which are the denotations of the commands.

sequencing \rightarrow composition

Cf. operational semantics of sequential composition:

$$\frac{C, s \Downarrow s' \quad C', s' \Downarrow s''}{C; C', s \Downarrow s''} .$$

Prop: $C, s \Downarrow s' \iff \llbracket C \rrbracket(s) = s'$

[while B do C]

= $\sim [B] \sim [C] \sim$