Influences

- Computer capabilities.
- Applications.
- Programming methods.
- Implementation methods.
- Theoretical studies.
- Standardisation.

Theoretical foundations

Examples:

- Formal-language theory. Compilers
 Automate theory
- Automata theory.
- Algorithmics.
- λ-calculus. *functional programming*Semantics. *Continuations*, *Monads*
- Formal verification.
- Type theory. Type checking / in fer en ce
 Complexity theory. Implicit complexity
- Logic.

Standardisation

- Proprietary standards.
- Consensus standards.
 - ANSI (American National Standards Institute)
 - IEEE (Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers)
 - BSI (British Standard Institute)
 - ISO (International Standards Organisation)

Language standardisation

Consider: int i; i = (1 && 2) + 3 ;

- ? Is it valid C code? If so, what's the value of i?
- **?** How do we answer such questions!?
 - ! Read the reference manual.
 - ! Try it and see!

 - ! Read the ANSI C Standard.

Language-standards issues

Timeliness. When do we standardise a language?

Conformance. What does it mean for a program to adhere to a standard and for a compiler to compile a standard?

Ambiguity and freedom to optimise — Machine dependence — Undefined behaviour.

Obsolescence. When does a standard age and how does it get modified?

Deprecated features.

Language standards PL/1



What does the following

9 + 8/3

mean?

- 11.666...?
- Overflow ?
- -1.666...?

DEC(p,q) means p digits with q after the decimal point.

```
Type rules for DECIMAL in PL/1:
DEC(p1,q1) + DEC(p2,q2)
=> DEC(MIN(1+MAX(p1-q1,p2-q2)+MAX(q1,q2),15),MAX(q1,q2))
DEC(p1,q1) / DEC(p2,q2)
=> DEC(15,15-((p1-q1)+q2))
```

```
For 9 + 8/3 we have:
```

DEC(1,0) + DEC(1,0)/DEC(1,0)

- => DEC(1,0) + DEC(15,15-((1-0)+0))
- => DEC(1,0) + DEC(15,14)
- => DEC(MIN(1+MAX(1-0,15-14)+MAX(0,14),15),MAX(0,14))

=> DEC(15,14)

So the calculation is as follows

9 + 8/3

- = 9 + 2.6666666666666

Things to think about

- What makes a good language?
- The role of
 - 1. motivating applications,
 - 2. program execution,
 - 3. theoretical foundations

in language design.

Language standardisation.

— Topic II —

FORTRAN : A simple procedural language

References:

- Chapter 10(§1) of Programming Languages: Design and implementation (3RD EDITION) by T. W. Pratt and M. V. Zelkowitz. Prentice Hall, 1999.
- The History of FORTRAN I, II, and III by J. Backus. In History of Programming Languages by R. L. Wexelblat. Academic Press, 1981.

FORTRAN = FORmula TRANslator (1957)

- Developed in the 1950s by an IBM team led by John Backus.
- The first high-level programming language to become widely used.
- At the time the utility of any high-level language was open to question!

The main complain was the efficiency of compiled code.

This heavily influenced the designed, orienting it towards

providing execution efficiency.

Standards:

1966, 1977 (FORTRAN 77), 1990 (FORTRAN 90).


John Backus

As far as we were aware, we simply made up the language as we went along. We did not regard language design as a difficult problem, merely a simple prelude to the real problem: designing a compiler which could produce efficient programs.^a

^aIn R. L. Wexelblat, *History of Programming Languages*, Academic Press, 1981, page 30.


Overview Execution model


- FORTRAN program = main program + subprograms
 - Each is *compiled separate* from all others.
 - Translated programs are linked into final executable form during loading.



Overview Execution model

- FORTRAN program = main program + subprograms
 - Each is *compiled separate* from all others.
 - Translated programs are linked into final executable form during loading.
- All storage is allocated statically before program execution begins; no run-time storage management is provided.
- Flat register machine. No stacks, no recursion. Memory arranged as linear array.





Numeric data: Integer, real, complex, double-precision real.

Overview Data types

- Numeric data: Integer, real, complex, double-precision real.
- Boolean data.

called logical

Arrays.

of fixed declared length

- Character strings.
- Files.

of fixed declared length

Overview Control structures


FORTRAN 66


Relied heavily on statement labels and GOTO statements.

FORTRAN 77

Added some modern control structures

(e.g., conditionals).





Example Commentary

- Columns and lines are relevant.
- Blanks are ignored (by early FORTRANs).
- Variable names are from 1 to 6 characters long, begin with a letter, and contain letters and digits.
- Programmer-defined constants.
- Arrays: when sizes are given, lower bounds are assumed to be 1; otherwise subscript ranges must be explicitly declared.
- Variable types may not be declared: implicit naming convention.

Data formats.

- FORTRAN 77 has no while statement.
- Functions are compiled separately from the main program. Information from the main program is not used to pass information to the compiler. Failure may arise when the loader tries to merge subprograms with main program.
- Function parameters are uniformly transmitted by reference (or value-result).

Recall that allocation is done statically.

- DO loops by increment.
- A value is returned in a FORTRAN function by assigning a value to the name of a function.

On syntax

A misspelling bug ...

do 10 i = 1,100 VS. do 10 i = 1.100 for statement doldi assigned 1.1

On syntax

A misspelling bug ...

do 10 i = 1,100 VS. do 10 i = 1.100

... that is reported to have caused the NASA Mariner 1 probe to fail to reach Venus!

Types

- FORTRAN has no mechanism for creating user types.
- Static type checking is used in FORTRAN, but the checking is incomplete.

Many language features, including arguments in subprogram calls and the use of COMMON blocks, cannot be statically checked (in part because subprograms are compiled independently).

Constructs that cannot be statically checked are ordinarily left unchecked at run time in FORTRAN implementations.

Storage

Representation and Management

- Storage representation in FORTRAN is sequential.
- Only two levels of referencing environment are provided, global and local.

The global environment may be partitioned into separate *common* environments that are shared amongst sets of subprograms, but only data objects may be shared in this way.

The sequential storage representation is critical in the definition of the EQUIVALENCE and COMMON declarations.

♦ EQUIVALENCE

This declaration allows more than one simple or subscripted variable to refer to the same storage location.

? Is this a good idea?

Consider the following:

REAL X INTEGER Y EQUIVALENCE (X,Y)

eq. X = X + JY = T + 1

COMMON

The global environment is set up in terms of sets of variables and arrays, which are termed *COMMON blocks*.

A COMMON block is a named block of storage and may contain the values of any number of simple variables and arrays.

COMMON blocks may be used to isolate global data to only a few subprograms needing that data.

? Is the COMMON block a good idea?

Consider the following:

COMMON/BLK/X,Y,K(25)in MAINCOMMON/BLK/U,V,I(5),M(4,5)in SUB



Aliasing occurs when two names or expressions refer to the same object or location.

- Aliasing raises serious problems for both the user and implementor of a language.
- Because of the problems caused by aliasing, new language designs sometimes attempt to restrict or eliminate altogether features that allow aliases to be constructed.

Parameters

There are two concepts that must be clearly distinguished.

- The parameter names used in a function declaration are called *formal parameters*.
- When a function is called, expressions called *actual* parameters are used to compute the parameter values for that call.

FORTRAN subroutines and functions

 Actual parameters may be simple variables, literals, array names, subscripted variables, subprogram names, or arithmetic or logical expressions.

The interpretation of a *formal parameter* as an array is done by the called subroutine.

Each subroutine is compiled independently and no checking is done for compatibility between the subroutine declaration and its call. The language specifies that if a formal parameter is assigned to, the actual parameter must be a variable, but because of independent compilation this rule cannot be checked by the compiler.

Example:

SUBROUTINE SUB(X,Y) X = Y END

CALL SUB(-1.0,1.0)

Parameter passing is uniformly by reference.