Complexity

For any function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, we say that a language L is in $\mathsf{TIME}(f(n))$ if there is a machine $M = (Q, \Sigma, s, \delta)$, such that:

- L = L(M); and
- The running time of M is O(f(n)).

Similarly, we define $\mathsf{SPACE}(f(n))$ to be the languages accepted by a machine which uses O(f(n)) tape cells on inputs of length n.

In defining space complexity, we assume a machine M, which has a read-only input tape, and a separate work tape. We only count cells on the work tape towards the complexity.

http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/teaching/1213/Complexity/

Complexity Theory Lecture 3

Anui Dawar

University of Cambridge Computer Laboratory

Easter Term 2013

Complexity Theory

Anuj Dawar

3

May 1, 2013

Complexity Classes

A complexity class is a collection of languages determined by three things:

- A model of computation (such as a deterministic Turing machine, or a nondeterministic TM, or a parallel Random Access Machine).
- A resource (such as time, space or number of processors).
- A set of bounds. This is a set of functions that are used to bound the amount of resource we can use.

Complexity Theory

Anuj Dawar

Polynomial Bounds

By making the bounds broad enough, we can make our definitions fairly independent of the model of computation.

The collection of languages recognised in *polynomial time* is the same whether we consider Turing machines, register machines, or any other deterministic model of computation.

The collection of languages recognised in *linear time*, on the other hand, is different on a one-tape and a two-tape Turing machine.

We can say that being recognisable in polynomial time is a property of the language, while being recognisable in linear time is sensitive to the model of computation.

 $\operatorname{May}\ 1,\ 2013$

May 1, 2013

4

5

Example: Reachability

The Reachability decision problem is, given a *directed* graph G = (V, E) and two nodes $a, b \in V$, to determine whether there is a path from a to b in G.

A simple search algorithm as follows solves it:

- 1. mark node a, leaving other nodes unmarked, and initialise set S to $\{a\}$;
- 2. while S is not empty, choose node i in S: remove i from S and

in faster than one that takes time polynomial and exponential results	 for all j such that there is an edge (i, j) and j is unmarked, mark j and add j to S; 3. if b is marked, accept else reject. 		
May 1, 2013	Anuj Dawar	May 1, 2013	
7	Complexity Theory	8	
alysis	Example: Euclid's Algorithm		
ime and $O(n)$ space.	Consider the decision problem (or <i>language</i>) Re	elPrime defined by:	
n would have to be refined for an chine, but it is easy enough to show	$\{(x,y)\mid \gcd(x,y)=1\}$		
$ability \in P$	The standard algorithm for solving it is due to	Euclid:	
	1. Input (x, y) .		
	2. Repeat until $y = 0$: $x \leftarrow x \mod y$; Swap $x \in x$	and y	
is a language, we would have to also e input (V, E, a, b) as a string.	3. If $x = 1$ then accept else reject.		

Polynomial Time

 $\mathsf{P} = \bigcup^{\infty} \mathsf{TIME}(n^k)$

The class of languages decidable in polynomial time.

The complexity class P plays an important role in our theory.

- It is robust, as explained.
- It serves as our formal definition of what is *feasibly computable*

One could argue whether an algorithm running in time $\theta(n^{100})$ is feasible, but it will eventually ru $\theta(2^n).$

Making the distinction between in a useful and elegant theory.

Anuj Dawar

Complexity Theory

An

This algorithm requires $O(n^2)$ t

The description of the algorithm implementation on a Turing mad that:

Reach

To formally define Reachability a choose a way of representing the

Anuj Dawar

May 1, 2013

Anuj Dawa

May 1, 2013

Anuj Dawar

Analysis

9

Primality

The number of repetitions at step 2 of the algorithm is at most Consider the decision problem (or *language*) Prime defined by: $O(\log x).$ $\{x \mid x \text{ is prime}\}$ why? The obvious algorithm: This implies that RelPrime is in P. For all y with $1 < y \leq \sqrt{x}$ check whether y|x. If the algorithm took $\theta(x)$ steps to terminate, it would not be a requires $\Omega(\sqrt{x})$ steps and is therefore *not* polynomial in the length polynomial time algorithm, as x is not polynomial in the *length* of of the input. the input. Is $Prime \in P?$ May 1, 2013 Anuj Dawar Anuj Dawar May 1, 2013 11 12 Complexity Theory Complexity Theory **Boolean Expressions Evaluation** If an expression contains no variables, then it can be evaluated to Boolean expressions are built up from an infinite set of variables either true or false. $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots\}$ Otherwise, it can be evaluated, *given* a truth assignment to its variables. and the two constants **true** and **false** by the rules: • a constant or variable by itself is an expression; Examples: • if ϕ is a Boolean expression, then so is $(\neg \phi)$; $(\texttt{true} \lor \texttt{false}) \land (\neg \texttt{false})$ • if ϕ and ψ are both Boolean expressions, then so are $(\phi \land \psi)$ $(x_1 \lor \texttt{false}) \land ((\neg x_1) \lor x_2)$ and $(\phi \lor \psi)$. $(x_1 \lor \texttt{false}) \land (\neg x_1)$ $(x_1 \lor (\neg x_1)) \land \texttt{true}$

Anuj Dawar

May 1, 2013

May 1, 2013

13

Boolean Evaluation Rules There is a deterministic Turing machine, which given a Boolean • $(\texttt{true} \lor \phi) \Rightarrow \texttt{true}$ expression *without variables* of length n will determine, in time • $(\phi \lor \texttt{true}) \Rightarrow \texttt{true}$ $O(n^2)$ whether the expression evaluates to true. • (false $\lor \phi$) $\Rightarrow \phi$ The algorithm works by scanning the input, rewriting formulas • $(false \land \phi) \Rightarrow false$ according to the following rules: • $(\phi \land false) \Rightarrow false$ • $(\texttt{true} \land \phi) \Rightarrow \phi$ • $(\neg true) \Rightarrow false$ • $(\neg false) \Rightarrow true$ May 1, 2013 May 1, 2013 Anuj Dawar Anuj Dawar 15 Complexity Theory Complexity Theory 16 **Analysis Satisfiability** For Boolean expressions ϕ that contain variables, we can ask Each scan of the input (O(n) steps) must find at least one subexpression matching one of the rule patterns. Is there an assignment of truth values to the variables which would make the formula evaluate to **true**? Applying a rule always eliminates at least one symbol from the The set of Boolean expressions for which this is true is the language formula. SAT of *satisfiable* expressions. Thus, there are at most O(n) scans required. This can be decided by a deterministic Turing machine in time $O(n^2 2^n).$ The algorithm works in $O(n^2)$ steps. An expression of length n can contain at most n variables. For each of the 2^n possible truth assignments to these variables, we check whether it results in a Boolean expression that evaluates to true. Is SAT $\in \mathsf{P}$?

May 1, 2013

Anuj Dawar

May 1, 2013

• A node v has

Circuits

A circuit is a directed graph G = (V, E), with $V = \{1, ..., n\}$ together with a labeling: $l: V \to \{\texttt{true}, \texttt{false}, \land, \lor, \neg\}$, satisfying:

The value of the expression is given by the value at node n.

• If there is an edge (i, j), then i < j;

indegree 0 iff $l(v) \in \{\texttt{true}, \texttt{false}\};$

indegree 1 iff $l(v) = \neg$; indegree 2 iff $l(v) \in \{\lor, \land\}$

• Every node in V has *indegree* at most 2.

17

CVP

A circuit is a more compact way of representing a Boolean expression.

Identical subexpressions need not be repeated.

 CVP - the *circuit value problem* is, given a circuit, determine the value of the result node n.

CVP is solvable in polynomial time, by the algorithm which examines the nodes in increasing order, assigning a value true or false to each node.

Anuj Dawar	May 1, 2013	Anuj Dawar	May 1, 2013
Complexity Theory	19		
Composites			
Consider the decision problem (or <i>language</i>) Com	posite defined by:		
$\{x \mid x \text{ is not prime}\}$			
This is the complement of the language Prime.			
Is Composite $\in P$?			
Clearly, the answer is yes if, and only if, $Prime \in$	Ρ.		
Anuj Dawar	May 1, 2013		