

The Canonical Example I

- A drawing program that can draw circles, squares, ovals and stars
- It would presumably keep a list of all the drawing objects

Option 1

- Keep a list of Circle objects, a list of Square objects,...
- Iterate over each list drawing each object in turn
- What has to change if we want to add a new shape?

Star

Circle

Square

+ draw()

+ draw()

Oval

+ draw()

+ draw()

The Canonical Example II



Option 2

- Keep a single list of Shape references
- Figure out what each object really is, narrow the reference and then draw()

for every Shape s in myShapeList
if (s is really a Circle)
 Circle c = (Circle)s;
 c.draw();
else if (s is really a Square)
 Square sq = (Square)s;
 sq.draw();
else if...

What if we want to add a new shape?

The Canonical Example III



Option 3 (Polymorphic)

- Keep a single list of Shape references
- Let the compiler figure out what to do with each Shape reference

For every Shape s in myShapeList
 s.draw();

What if we want to add a new shape?

Implementations

- Java
 - All methods are dynamic polymorphic.
- Python
 - All methods are dynamic polymorphic.
- C++
 - Only functions marked virtual are dynamic polymorphic
- Polymorphism in OOP is an extremely important concept that you need to make <u>sure</u> you understand...

Abstract Methods

```
dance():
```

```
class Person {
   public void dance();
}
```

```
class Student extends Person {
   public void dance() {
      body_pop();
   }
```

```
class Lecturer extends Person {
   public void dance() {
     jiggle_a_bit();
}
```

- There are times when we have a definite concept but we expect every specialism of it to have a different implementation (like the draw() method in the Shape example). We want to enforce that idea without providing a default method
- E.g. We want to enforce that all objects with Person in their ancestry support a dance() method
 - But there isn't now a default dance()
- We specify an **abstract** dance method in the Person class
 - i.e. we don't fill in any implementation (code) at all in Person.

Abstract Classes

- Before we could write Person p = new Person()
- But now p.dance() is undefined
- Therefore we have implicitly made the class abstract ie. It cannot be directly instantiated to an object
- Languages require some way to tell them that the class is meant to be abstract and it wasn't a mistake:

```
public abstract class Person {
    public abstract void dance();
} Java
class Person {
    public:
    virtual void dance()=0;
}
```

- Note that an abstract class can contain state variables that get inherited as normal
- Note also that, in Java, we can declare a class as abstract despite not specifying an abstract method in it!!

Representing Abstract Classes



Multiple Inheritance



- What if we have a Lecturer who studies for another degree?
- StudentLecturer inherits two dance() methods... which does it do?
- The Java solution? You can only extend (inherit) from one class in Java
 - (which may itself inherit from another...)
 - This is Java-specific (C++ allows multiple class inheritance)



Interfaces (Java only)

- Java has the notion of an interface which is like a class but with no state and all methods abstract
- For an interface, there can then be no clashes of methods or variables to worry about, so we can allow multiple inheritance



Halics 2 Fixing With Interfaces <<interface>> ElecInt <cinterface >> Tradesman Plumb int Fix Lights 4 fixleak() extero implements implements, Electrician Plumber \sim exterd fix Lights () fixLeak() Plumbtician

Fixing With Interfaces Tradesman Electrician fix Lights () Plumber fixLeak()

Recap

- Important OOP concepts you need to understand:
 - Modularity (classes, objects)
 - Data Encapsulation
 - Inheritance
 - Abstraction
 - Polymorphism