

Lambda-Definable Functions

Encoding data in λ -calculus

Computation in λ -calculus is given by β -reduction. To relate this to register/Turing-machine computation, or to partial recursive functions, we first have to see how to encode numbers, pairs, lists, . . . as λ -terms.

We will use the original encoding of numbers due to Church. . .

Church's numerals

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{0} &\triangleq \lambda f x.x \\ \underline{1} &\triangleq \lambda f x.f x \\ \underline{2} &\triangleq \lambda f x.f(f x) \\ &\vdots \\ \underline{n} &\triangleq \lambda f x.\underbrace{f(\dots(f x)\dots)}_{n \text{ times}}\end{aligned}$$

Notation: $\begin{cases} M^0 N & \triangleq N \\ M^1 N & \triangleq M N \\ M^{n+1} N & \triangleq M(M^n N) \end{cases}$

so we can write \underline{n} as $\lambda f x.f^n x$ and we have $\underline{n} M N =_{\beta} M^n N$.

λ -Definable functions

Definition. $f \in \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is λ -definable if there is a closed λ -term F that represents it: for all

$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $y \in \mathbb{N}$

- ▶ if $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = y$, then $F \underline{x_1} \cdots \underline{x_n} =_{\beta} \underline{y}$
- ▶ if $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \uparrow$, then $F \underline{x_1} \cdots \underline{x_n}$ has no β -nf.

For example, addition is λ -definable because it is represented by $P \triangleq \lambda x_1 x_2. \lambda f x. x_1 f(x_2 f x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} P \underline{m} \underline{n} &=_{\beta} \lambda f x. \underline{m} f(\underline{n} f x) \\ &=_{\beta} \lambda f x. \underline{m} f(f^n x) \\ &=_{\beta} \lambda f x. f^m(f^n x) \\ &= \lambda f x. f^{m+n} x \\ &= \underline{m + n} \end{aligned}$$

Computable = λ -definable

Theorem. A partial function is computable if and only if it is λ -definable.

We already know that

- Register Machine computable
- = Turing computable
- = partial recursive.

Using this, we break the theorem into two parts:

- ▶ every partial recursive function is λ -definable
- ▶ λ -definable functions are RM computable

λ -Definable functions

Definition. $f \in \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is λ -definable if there is a closed λ -term F that represents it: for all

$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $y \in \mathbb{N}$

- ▶ if $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = y$, then $F \underline{x_1} \cdots \underline{x_n} =_{\beta} \underline{y}$
- ▶ if $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \uparrow$, then $F \underline{x_1} \cdots \underline{x_n}$ has no β -nf.

This condition can make it quite tricky to find a λ -term representing a non-total function.

For now, we concentrate on total functions. First, let us see why the elements of **PRIM** (primitive recursive functions) are λ -definable.

Basic functions

- ▶ **Projection** functions, $\text{proj}_i^n \in \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$:

$$\text{proj}_i^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \triangleq x_i$$

- ▶ **Constant** functions with value **0**, $\text{zero}^n \in \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$:

$$\text{zero}^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \triangleq 0$$

- ▶ **Successor** function, $\text{succ} \in \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$:

$$\text{succ}(x) \triangleq x + 1$$

Basic functions are representable

- ▶ $\text{proj}_i^n \in \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented by $\lambda x_1 \dots x_n. x_i$
- ▶ $\text{zero}^n \in \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented by $\lambda x_1 \dots x_n. \underline{0}$
- ▶ $\text{succ} \in \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented by

$$\text{Succ} \triangleq \lambda x_1 f x. f(x_1 f x)$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Succ } \underline{n} &=_{\beta} \lambda f x. f(\underline{n} f x) \\ &=_{\beta} \lambda f x. f(f^n x) \\ &= \lambda f x. f^{n+1} x \\ &= \underline{n + 1} \end{aligned}$$

Basic functions are representable

- ▶ $\text{proj}_i^n \in \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented by $\lambda x_1 \dots x_n. x_i$
- ▶ $\text{zero}^n \in \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented by $\lambda x_1 \dots x_n. \underline{0}$
- ▶ $\text{succ} \in \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented by

$$\text{Succ} \triangleq \lambda x_1 f x. f(x_1 f x)$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Succ } \underline{n} &=_{\beta} \lambda f x. f(\underline{n} f x) \\ &=_{\beta} \lambda f x. f(f^n x) \\ &= \lambda f x. f^{n+1} x \\ &= \underline{n + 1} \end{aligned}$$

$(\lambda x_1 f x. x_1 f(fx))$ also represents succ

Representing composition

If total function $f \in \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented by F and total functions $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ are represented by G_1, \dots, G_n , then their composition $f \circ (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented simply by

$$\lambda x_1 \dots x_m. F (G_1 x_1 \dots x_m) \dots (G_n x_1 \dots x_m)$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} & F (G_1 \underline{a_1 \dots a_m}) \dots (G_n \underline{a_1 \dots a_m}) \\ =_{\beta} & F \underline{g_1(a_1, \dots, a_m)} \dots \underline{g_n(a_1, \dots, a_m)} \\ =_{\beta} & \underline{f(g_1(a_1, \dots, a_m), \dots, g_n(a_1, \dots, a_m))} \\ = & \underline{f \circ (g_1, \dots, g_n)(a_1, \dots, a_m)} \end{aligned}$$

Representing composition

If total function $f \in \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented by F and total functions $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ are represented by G_1, \dots, G_n , then their composition $f \circ (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented simply by

$$\lambda x_1 \dots x_m. F (G_1 x_1 \dots x_m) \dots (G_n x_1 \dots x_m)$$

This does not necessarily work for partial functions. E.g. totally undefined function $u \in \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented by $U \triangleq \lambda x_1. \Omega$ (why?) and $\text{zero}^1 \in \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented by $Z \triangleq \lambda x_1. \underline{0}$; but $\text{zero}^1 \circ u$ is not represented by $\lambda x_1. Z(U x_1)$, because $(\text{zero}^1 \circ u)(n) \uparrow$ whereas $(\lambda x_1. Z(U x_1)) \underline{n} =_{\beta} Z \Omega =_{\beta} \underline{0}$. (What is $\text{zero}^1 \circ u$ represented by?)

Primitive recursion

Theorem. Given $f \in \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $g \in \mathbb{N}^{n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, there is a unique $h \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} h(\vec{x}, 0) & \equiv f(\vec{x}) \\ h(\vec{x}, x + 1) & \equiv g(\vec{x}, x, h(\vec{x}, x)) \end{cases}$$

for all $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

We write $\rho^n(f, g)$ for h and call it the partial function defined by primitive recursion from f and g .

Representing primitive recursion

If $f \in \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented by a λ -term F and $g \in \mathbb{N}^{n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented by a λ -term G , we want to show λ -definability of the unique $h \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} h(\vec{a}, 0) & = f(\vec{a}) \\ h(\vec{a}, a + 1) & = g(\vec{a}, a, h(\vec{a}, a)) \end{cases}$$

(for all $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}$)

Representing primitive recursion

If $f \in \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented by a λ -term F and $g \in \mathbb{N}^{n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented by a λ -term G , we want to show λ -definability of the unique $h \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$h(\vec{a}, a) = \begin{cases} f(\vec{a}) & \text{if } a = 0 \\ g(\vec{a}, a - 1, h(\vec{a}, a - 1)) & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Representing primitive recursion

If $f \in \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented by a λ -term F and $g \in \mathbb{N}^{n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented by a λ -term G , we want to show λ -definability of the unique $h \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $h = \Phi_{f,g}(h)$

where $\Phi_{f,g} \in (\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N})$ is given by

$$\Phi_{f,g}(h)(\vec{a}, a) \triangleq \begin{cases} f(\vec{a}) & \text{if } a = 0 \\ g(\vec{a}, a - 1, h(\vec{a}, a - 1)) & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Representing primitive recursion

If $f \in \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented by a λ -term F and $g \in \mathbb{N}^{n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented by a λ -term G , we want to show λ -definability of the unique $h \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $h = \Phi_{f,g}(h)$

where $\Phi_{f,g} \in (\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N})$ is given by...

Strategy:

- ▶ show that $\Phi_{f,g}$ is λ -definable;
- ▶ show that we can solve **fixed point equations** $X = M X$ up to β -conversion in the λ -calculus.

Representing booleans

True \triangleq $\lambda x y. x$

False \triangleq $\lambda x y. y$

If \triangleq $\lambda f x y. f x y$

satisfy

- ▶ **If True** $M N =_{\beta}$ **True** $M N =_{\beta} M$
- ▶ **If False** $M N =_{\beta}$ **False** $M N =_{\beta} N$

Representing test-for-zero

$$\mathbf{Eq}_0 \triangleq \lambda x. x(\lambda y. \mathbf{False}) \mathbf{True}$$

satisfies

- ▶ $\mathbf{Eq}_0 \underline{0} =_{\beta} \underline{0} (\lambda y. \mathbf{False}) \mathbf{True}$
 $=_{\beta} \mathbf{True}$
- ▶ $\mathbf{Eq}_0 \underline{n + 1} =_{\beta} \underline{n + 1} (\lambda y. \mathbf{False}) \mathbf{True}$
 $=_{\beta} (\lambda y. \mathbf{False})^{n+1} \mathbf{True}$
 $=_{\beta} (\lambda y. \mathbf{False}) ((\lambda y. \mathbf{False})^n \mathbf{True})$
 $=_{\beta} \mathbf{False}$

Representing ordered pairs

Pair $\triangleq \lambda x y f. f x y$

Fst $\triangleq \lambda f. f \text{ True}$

Snd $\triangleq \lambda f. f \text{ False}$

satisfy

- ▶ **Fst(Pair M N)** $=_{\beta}$ **Fst**($\lambda f. f M N$)
 $=_{\beta}$ ($\lambda f. f M N$) **True**
 $=_{\beta}$ **True M N**
 $=_{\beta}$ **M**
- ▶ **Snd(Pair M N)** $=_{\beta} \dots =_{\beta}$ **N**

Representing predecessor

Want λ -term **Pred** satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Pred} \underline{n + 1} &=_{\beta} \underline{n} \\ \mathbf{Pred} \underline{0} &=_{\beta} \underline{0}\end{aligned}$$

Have to show how to reduce the “ $n + 1$ -iterator” $\underline{n + 1}$ to the “ n -iterator” \underline{n} .

Idea: given f , iterating the function $g_f : (x, y) \mapsto (f(x), x)$ $n + 1$ times starting from (x, x) gives the pair $(f^{n+1}(x), f^n(x))$. So we can get $f^n(x)$ from $f^{n+1}(x)$ parametrically in f and x , by building g_f from f , iterating $n + 1$ times from (x, x) and then taking the second component.

Hence...

Representing predecessor

Want λ -term **Pred** satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Pred} \underline{n + 1} &=_{\beta} \underline{n} \\ \mathbf{Pred} \underline{0} &=_{\beta} \underline{0} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{Pred} \triangleq \lambda y f x. \mathbf{Snd}(y (G f) (\mathbf{Pair} x x))$$

where

$$G \triangleq \lambda f p. \mathbf{Pair}(f(\mathbf{Fst} p))(\mathbf{Fst} p)$$

has the required β -reduction properties. [Exercise]

Show

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \underline{n+1} (Gf) (\text{Pair } xx) =_{\beta} \text{Pair } (\underline{n+1} fx) (\underline{n} fx)$$

by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

Base case $n=0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{1} (Gf) (\text{Pair } xx) &=_{\beta} Gf (\text{Pair } xx) \\ &=_{\beta} \text{Pair } (fx) x \\ &=_{\beta} \text{Pair } (\underline{1} fx) (\underline{0} fx) \end{aligned}$$

✓

Show

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \underline{n+1} (Gf) (\text{Pair } x x) =_{\beta} \text{Pair } (\underline{n+1} f x) (\underline{n} f x)$$

by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

Induction step :

$$\underline{n+2} (Gf) (\text{Pair } x x) =_{\beta} (Gf) \underline{n+1} (Gf) (\text{Pair } x x)$$

by ind. hyp.

$$\rightarrow =_{\beta} (Gf) \text{Pair } (\underline{n+1} f x) (\underline{n} f x)$$

Show

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \underline{n+1} (Gf) (\text{Pair } x x) =_{\beta} \text{Pair } (\underline{n+1} f x) (\underline{n} f x)$$

by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

Induction step:

$$\underline{n+2} (Gf) (\text{Pair } x x) =_{\beta} (Gf) \underline{n+1} (Gf) (\text{Pair } x x)$$

by ind. hyp.

$$=_{\beta} (Gf) \text{Pair } (\underline{n+1} f x) (\underline{n} f x)$$

$$=_{\beta} \text{Pair } (f (\underline{n+1} f x)) (\underline{n+1} f x)$$

$$=_{\beta} \text{Pair } (\underline{n+2} f x) (\underline{n+1} f x) \quad \checkmark$$

Show

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \underline{n+1} (Gf) (\text{Pair } x x) =_{\beta} \text{Pair } (\underline{n+1} f x) (\underline{n} f x)$$

So

$$\text{Pred } \underline{n+1} =_{\beta} \lambda f x. \text{Snd} (\underline{n+1} (Gf) (\text{Pair } x x))$$
$$\rightarrow =_{\beta} \lambda f x. \text{Snd} (\text{Pair } (\underline{n+1} f x) (\underline{n} f x))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Pred } \underline{n+1} &=_{\beta} \lambda f x. \text{Snd}(\underline{n+1} (Gf) (\text{Pair } x x)) \\
&=_{\beta} \lambda f x. \text{Snd}(\text{Pair}(\underline{n+1} f x)(\underline{n} f x)) \\
&=_{\beta} \lambda f x. \underline{n} f x \\
&=_{\beta} \lambda x. f^n x \\
&=_{\beta} \text{Id}
\end{aligned}$$

Representing primitive recursion

If $f \in \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented by a λ -term F and $g \in \mathbb{N}^{n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented by a λ -term G , we want to show λ -definability of the unique $h \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $h = \Phi_{f,g}(h)$

where $\Phi_{f,g} \in (\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N})$ is given by

$$\Phi_{f,g}(h)(\vec{a}, a) \triangleq \begin{cases} f(\vec{a}) & \text{if } a = 0 \\ g(\vec{a}, a - 1, h(\vec{a}, a - 1)) & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Representing primitive recursion

If $f \in \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented by a λ -term F and $g \in \mathbb{N}^{n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is represented by a λ -term G , we want to show λ -definability of the unique $h \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $h = \Phi_{f,g}(h)$

where $\Phi_{f,g} \in (\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N})$ is given by...

Strategy:

► show that $\Phi_{f,g}$ is λ -definable;

$\lambda z \vec{x} x . \text{If } (Eq_0 x) (F \vec{x}) (G \vec{x} (\text{Pred } x) (z \vec{x} (\text{Pred } x)))$