

ACS Statistical Machine Translation

Lecture 1: Introduction to MT



UNIVERSITY OF
CAMBRIDGE

Stephen Clark

Natural Language and Information Processing (NLIP) Group

sc609@cam.ac.uk

- “Nobody in my team is able to read Chinese characters,” says Franz Och, who heads Google’s machine-translation (MT) effort. Yet, they are producing ever more accurate translations into and out of Chinese - and several other languages as well. (www.csmonitor.com/2005/0602/p13s02-stct.html)
- Typical (garbled) translation from MT software: “Alpine white new presence tape registered for coffee confirms Laden.”
- Google translation: “The White House confirmed the existence of a new Bin Laden tape.”

-
- Machine Translation (MT) was one of the first applications envisaged for computers
 - Warren Weaver (1949):
I have a text in front of me which is written in Russian but I am going to pretend that it is really written in English and that it has been coded in some strange symbols. All I need to do is strip off the code in order to retrieve the information contained in the text.
 - First demonstrated by IBM in 1954 with a basic word-for-word translation system.
 - But MT was found to be much harder than expected (for reasons we'll see)

- EU spends more than 1,000,000,000 Euro on translation costs each year - even semi-automation would save a lot of money
- U.S. has invested heavily in MT for Intelligence purposes
- Original MT research looked at Russian → English
 - What are the popular language pairs now?

-
- Computer Science, Linguistics, Languages, Statistics, AI
 - The “holy grail” of AI
 - MT is “AI-hard”: requires a solution to the general AI problem of representing and reasoning about (inference) various kinds of knowledge (linguistic, world ...)
 - or does it? ...
 - the methods we will investigate make no pretence at solving the difficult problems of AI (and it’s debatable how accurate these methods can get)

- Word order
- Word sense
- Pronouns
- Tense
- Idioms

- English word order is *subject-verb-object*
Japanese order is *subject-object-verb*
- English: *IBM bought Lotus*
Japanese: *IBM Lotus bought*
- English: *Reporters said IBM bought Lotus*
Japanese: *Reporters IBM Lotus bought said*

-
- *Bank* as in river
Bank as in financial institution
 - *Plant* as in tree
Plant as in factory
 - Different word senses will likely translate into different words in another language

-
- Japanese is an example of a **pro-drop** language
 - *Kono kēki wa oishii. Dare ga yaita no?*
This cake TOPIC tasty. Who SUBJECT made?
This cake is tasty. Who made it?
 - *Shiranai. Ki ni itta?*
know-NEGATIVE. liked?
I don't know. Do you like it?

[examples from Wikipedia]

-
- Some languages like Spanish can drop subject pronouns
 - In Spanish the verbal inflection often indicates which pronoun should be restored (but not always)
 - o = I
 - as = you
 - a = he/she/it
 - amos = we
 - an they
 - When should the MT system use *she*, *he* or *it*?

-
- Spanish has two versions of the past tense: one for a definite time in the past, and one for an unknown time in the past
 - When translating **from English to Spanish** we need to choose which version of the past tense to use

- “to kick the bucket” means “to die”
- “a bone of contention” has nothing to do with skeletons
- “a lame duck”, “tongue in cheek”, “to cave in”

- Word-for-word translation
- Syntactic transfer
- Interlingual approaches
- Example-based translation
- Statistical translation

- Use a machine-readable bilingual dictionary to translate each word in a text
- Advantages:
 - easy to implement
 - results give a rough idea of what the text is about (perhaps)
- Disadvantages:
 - no account of word order
 - dictionary doesn't tell us which word to translate to in the case of polysemous words
 - results in low-quality translation

-
- Parse the sentence
 - Rearrange constituents (grammatical units)
 - Translate the words

(insert picture here)

-
- Advantages:
 - deals with the word order problem
 - Disadvantages:
 - need to automatically analyse (parse) the sentence in the source language
 - need to construct transfer rules for each possible language pair
 - sometimes there is a syntactic mismatch:
 - The bottle floated into the cave*
 - La botella entro a la cuerva flotando =*
 - The bottle entered the cave floating (Spanish)*

-
- Assign a logical form (meaning representation) to sentences
 - *John must not go* =
OBLIGATORY(NOT(GO(JOHN)))
John may not go =
NOT(PERMITTED(GO(JOHN)))
 - Use logical form to generate a sentence in another language

(wagon-wheel picture)

- Advantages:
 - single logical form means that we can translate between all languages and only write a parser/generator for each language once ($2n$ vs. n^2 systems)
- Disadvantages:
 - difficult to define a single logical form (English words in all capital letters probably won't do)
 - difficult to create parsers and generators, even if we can agree on the representation

-
- Fundamental idea:
 - human translators do not translate by performing deep linguistic analysis
 - they translate by decomposing a sentence into fragments, translating each of those, and then composing the individual translations
 - Translate the parts *by analogy*
 - similar to case-based reasoning, instance-based reasoning, analogical-based reasoning, ... seen in AI, psychology, ...

-
- Translate *He buys a book on international politics* into Japanese with the examples:
 - *He buys a notebook*
Kare ha nouto wo kau
 - *I read a book on international politics*
Watashi ha kokusaiseiji nitsuite kakareta hon wo yomu

(picture of how to do the translation)

-
- Locating similar sentences
 - Aligning sub-sentential fragments
 - Combining multiple fragments of example translations into a single sentence
 - Selecting the best translation out of many candidates

- Advantages:
 - uses fragments of human translations which can result in higher quality
- Disadvantages:
 - may have limited coverage depending on the size of the example database, and the flexibility of the matching heuristics

-
- Find *most probable* English sentence given a foreign language sentence
 - Automatically align words and phrases within sentence pairs in a parallel corpus
 - Probabilities are determined automatically by training a statistical model using the parallel corpus

(pdf of parallel corpus)

- Advantages:
 - has a way of dealing with lexical ambiguity
 - requires minimal human effort
 - can be created for any language pair that has enough training data
- Disadvantages:
 - does not explicitly deal with syntax (reordering is performed at the word or phrase level)
 - requires a large parallel corpus
- Hybrid models are possible (eg hybrid EBMT/SMT, syntax-based SMT) and much recent research is concerned with improving the basic SMT model

-
- Many challenges in MT, many different ways of approaching the task
 - What approach you prefer may depend on your background (eg logicians go for interlingua, linguists syntactic transfer)
 - Objectively choosing a method is tricky

- Do we want to design a system for a single language or many languages?
- Can we assume a constrained vocabulary or do we need to deal with unrestricted text?
- What resources already exist for the languages that we're dealing with?
- How long will it take us to develop the resources, and how large a staff will we need?

- Data driven
- Language independent
- No need for staff of linguists or language experts
- Can prototype a new system quickly and at low cost

- Economic reasons:
 - low cost
 - rapid prototyping
- Practical reasons:
 - many language pairs don't have NLP resources, but do have parallel corpora
- Quality reasons:
 - uses chunks of human translations as its building blocks
 - produces state-of-the-art results when very large data sets are available

-
- Statistical Machine Translation, Philipp Koehn, CUP, 2010
 - www.statmt.org has some excellent introductory tutorials (including the ESSLLI tutorial by Callison-Burch and Koehn, on which these slides are based), and also the classic IBM paper (Brown, Della Petra, Della Petra and Mercer)
 - Foundations of Statistical Natural Language Processing, Manning and Schutze, ch. 13
 - Speech and Language Processing, Jurafsky and Martin, ch. 21
 - The Unreasonable Effectiveness of Data, IEEE Intelligent Systems, vol. 24 (2009), available from <http://research.google.com/pubs/author1092.html>