Architecture review

Architectures for Large-Scale, Networked Systems

Individual user using globally available service

Single administration domain

Federated administration domains

Independent, external services - to be integrated

Detached, ad-hoc, anonymous groups; anonymous principals, issues of trust and risk

Federated administration domains: Examples

- national healthcare services:
 many hospitals, clinics, primary care practices
- national police services:
 many county police forces
- global company: branches in London, Tokyo, New York, Berlin, Paris...
- transport
 County Councils responsible for cities, some roads
- active city:
 fire, police, ambulance, healthcare services.
 mobile workers
 sensor networks e.g. for traffic/pollution monitoring

Federated domains - characteristics

- names: administered per domain (users, roles, services, data-types, messages, sensors, ...)
- authentication: users administered within a domain
- communication: needed within and between domains
- security: per-domain firewall protection
- policies: specified per domain e.g. for communication, access control intra and inter-domain, plus some external policies to satisfy government, legal, and institutional requirements
- high trust and accountability within a domain, known trust between domains

Independent, External Services - Examples

- commercial web-based services
 e.g. online banking, airline booking etc.
- national services used by police and others
 e.g. DVLA, court-case workflow
- national health services
 e.g. national Electronic Health Record (EHR) service
- e-science (grid) databases and generic services
 e.g. astronomical, transport, medical *databases* for *computation* or *storage*
- e-science may support "virtual organisations" –
 collaborating groups across several domains

Independent, external services - characteristics

- naming and authentication
 may be of individuals via trusted third parties (TTPs)
 and/or via home domain of client
- access control policies
 related to client roles in domains and/or individuals
 support for "virtual organisations" spanning domains
- need for: accounting, charging, audit
 these may be done by trusted third parties
 a basis for mutual trust (service done, client paid)
- trust

based on evidence of behaviour clients exchange experiences, services monitor and record assume full connectivity, e.g. TTPs can authenticate/identify

Examples of detached ad hoc groups and the need for trust

- Commuters regularly play cards on the train
- Auctions build up trust of an ID through small honoured purchases, then default on a big one
- E-purse purchases trust in system
- Recommendations: e.g. in a tourist scenario restaurants, places to visit. Recommendations of people and their skills.
- Wireless routing via peers:
 routing of messages P2P rather than by dedicated brokers –
 reliability, confidentiality, altruism
- Trust has a context skills may not transfer e.g. drivers of cars, trains, planes ...

Detached, ad-hoc, anonymous groups

- e.g. connected by wireless
- can't assume trusted third-parties (CAs) accessible
- can't assume knowledge of names and roles, identity likely to be by key/pseudonym
- new identities can be generated (by detected villains)
- parties need to decide whether to interact
- each has a trust policy and a trust engine
- each computes whether to proceed policy is based on:
 - accumulated trust information
 (from recommendations and evidence from monitoring)
 - risk (resource-cost) and likelihood of possible outcomes

Promising Approaches for Large-Scale Systems

- Roles for scalability
- Parametrised roles for expressiveness, scalability, simplicity
- RBAC for services, service-managed objects, including the communication service
- Policy specification and change management
- Policy-driven system management
- Asynchronous, loosely-coupled communication publish/subscribe for scalability event-driven paradigm for ubiquitous computing
- Database integration how best to achieve it?

And don't forget:

- Mobile users
- Sensor network integration