

## Topics in Logic and Complexity

### Handout 5

Anuj Dawar

MPhil Advanced Computer Science, Lent 2010

## Fagin's Theorem

### Theorem (Fagin)

A class  $\mathcal{C}$  of finite structures is definable by a sentence of *existential second-order logic* if, and only if, it is decidable by a *nondeterministic machine* running in polynomial time.

$$\text{ESO} = \text{NP}$$

One direction is easy: Given  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\exists P_1 \dots \exists P_m \phi$ .

a nondeterministic machine can guess an interpretation for  $P_1, \dots, P_m$  and then verify  $\phi$ .

## Fagin's Theorem

Given a machine  $M$  and an integer  $k$ , there is an ESO sentence  $\phi$  such that  $\mathbb{A} \models \phi$  if, and only if,  $M$  accepts  $[\mathbb{A}]_{<}$ , for some order  $<$  in  $n^k$  steps.

We construct a *first-order* formula  $\phi_{M,k}$  such that

$$(\mathbb{A}, <, \mathbf{X}) \models \phi_{M,k} \iff \mathbf{X} \text{ codes an accepting computation of } M \text{ of length at most } n^k \text{ on input } [\mathbb{A}]_{<}$$

So,  $\mathbb{A} \models \exists < \exists \mathbf{X} \phi_{M,k}$  if, and only if, there is some order  $<$  on  $\mathbb{A}$  so that  $M$  accepts  $[\mathbb{A}]_{<}$  in time  $n^k$ .

## Order

The formula  $\phi_{M,k}$  is built up as the *conjunction* of a number of formulas. The first of these simply says that  $<$  is a *linear order*

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall x (\neg x < x) \wedge \\ & \forall x \forall y (x < y \rightarrow \neg y < x) \wedge \\ & \forall x \forall y (x < y \vee y < x \vee x = y) \\ & \forall x \forall y \forall z (x < y \wedge y < z \rightarrow x < z) \end{aligned}$$

We can use a linear order on the elements of  $\mathbb{A}$  to define a lexicographic order on  $k$ -tuples.

## Ordering Tuples

If  $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \dots, x_k$  and  $\mathbf{y} = y_1, \dots, y_k$  are  $k$ -tuples of variables, we use  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$  as shorthand for the formula  $\bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq k} x_i = y_i$  and  $\mathbf{x} < \mathbf{y}$  as shorthand for the formula

$$\bigvee_{1 \leq i \leq k} \left( \bigwedge_{j < i} x_j = y_j \wedge x_i < y_i \right)$$

We also write  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} + 1$  for the following formula:

$$\mathbf{x} < \mathbf{y} \wedge \forall \mathbf{z} (\mathbf{x} < \mathbf{z} \rightarrow (\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{z} \vee \mathbf{y} < \mathbf{z}))$$

## Constructing the Formula

Let  $M = (K, \Sigma, s, \delta)$ .

The tuple  $\mathbf{X}$  of second-order variables appearing in  $\phi_{M,k}$  contains the following:

$S_q$  a  $k$ -ary relation symbol for each  $q \in K$

$T_\sigma$  a  $2k$ -ary relation symbol for each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$

$H$  a  $2k$ -ary relation symbol

Intuitively, these relations are intended to capture the following:

- $S_q(\mathbf{x})$  – the state of the machine at time  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $q$ .
- $T_\sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  – at time  $x$ , the symbol at position  $j$  of the tape is  $\sigma$ .
- $H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  – at time  $\mathbf{x}$ , the tape head is pointing at tape cell  $\mathbf{y}$ .

We now have to see how to write the formula  $\phi_{M,k}$ , so that it enforces these meanings.

Initial state is  $s$  and the head is initially at the beginning of the tape.

$$\forall \mathbf{x} ((\forall \mathbf{y} \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{y}) \rightarrow S_s(\mathbf{x}) \wedge H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}))$$

The head is never in two places at once

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \forall \mathbf{y} (H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \rightarrow (\forall \mathbf{z} (\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{z}) \rightarrow (\neg H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}))))$$

The machine is never in two states at once

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \bigwedge_q (S_q(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{q' \neq q} (\neg S_{q'}(\mathbf{x})))$$

Each tape cell contains only one symbol

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \forall \mathbf{y} \bigwedge_\sigma (T_\sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{\sigma' \neq \sigma} (\neg T_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})))$$

## Initial Tape Contents

The initial contents of the tape are  $[A]_<$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \mathbf{x} \quad \mathbf{x} \leq n &\rightarrow T_1(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{x}) \wedge \\ \mathbf{x} \leq n^a &\rightarrow (T_1(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{x} + n + 1) \leftrightarrow R_1(\mathbf{x}|_a)) \\ \dots \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\mathbf{x} < n^a \quad : \quad \bigwedge_{i \leq (k-a)} x_i = 0$$

The tape does not change except under the head

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \forall \mathbf{y} \forall \mathbf{z} (\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{z} \rightarrow (\bigwedge_{\sigma} (H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \wedge T_{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \rightarrow T_{\sigma}(\mathbf{x} + 1, \mathbf{z})))$$

Each step is according to  $\delta$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \mathbf{x} \forall \mathbf{y} \bigwedge_{\sigma} \bigwedge_q (H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \wedge S_q(\mathbf{x}) \wedge T_{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})) \\ \rightarrow \bigvee_{\Delta} (H(\mathbf{x} + 1, \mathbf{y}') \wedge S_{q'}(\mathbf{x} + 1) \wedge T_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{x} + 1, \mathbf{y}')) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta$  is the set of all triples  $(q', \sigma', D)$  such that  $((q, \sigma), (q', \sigma', D)) \in \delta$  and

$$\mathbf{y}' = \begin{cases} \mathbf{y} & \text{if } D = S \\ \mathbf{y} - 1 & \text{if } D = L \\ \mathbf{y} + 1 & \text{if } D = R \end{cases}$$

Finally, some accepting state is reached

$$\exists \mathbf{x} S_{\text{acc}}(\mathbf{x})$$

## NP

Recall that a language  $L$  is in **NP** if, and only if,

$$L = \{x \mid \exists y R(x, y)\}$$

where  $R$  is *polynomial-time decidable* and *polynomially-balanced*.

Fagin's theorem tells us that polynomial-time decidability can, in some sense, be replaced by *first-order definability*.

## co-NP

USO—*universal second-order logic* consists of those formulas of second-order logic of the form:

$$\forall X_1 \cdots \forall X_k \phi$$

where  $\phi$  is a first-order formula.

A corollary of Fagin's theorem is that a class  $\mathcal{C}$  of finite structures is definable by a sentence of *existential second-order logic* if, and only if, it is decidable by a *nondeterministic machine* running in polynomial time.

$$\text{USO} = \text{co-NP}$$

## Second-Order Alternation Hierarchy

We can define further classes by allowing other second-order *quantifier prefixes*.

$$\Sigma_1^1 = \text{ESO}$$

$$\Pi_1^1 = \text{USO}$$

$\Sigma_{n+1}^1$  is the collection of properties definable by a sentence of the form:  $\exists X_1 \cdots \exists X_k \phi$  where  $\phi$  is a  $\Pi_n^1$  formula.

$\Pi_{n+1}^1$  is the collection of properties definable by a sentence of the form:  $\forall X_1 \cdots \forall X_k \phi$  where  $\phi$  is a  $\Sigma_n^1$  formula.

*Note:* every formula of second-order logic is  $\Sigma_n^1$  and  $\Pi_n^1$  for some  $n$ .

## Polynomial Hierarchy

We have, for each  $n$ :

$$\Sigma_n^1 \cup \Pi_n^1 \subseteq \Sigma_{n+1}^1 \cap \Pi_{n+1}^1$$

The classes together form the *polynomial hierarchy* or PH.

$$\text{NP} \subseteq \text{PH} \subseteq \text{PSPACE}$$

$$\text{P} = \text{NP} \quad \text{if, and only if,} \quad \text{P} = \text{PH}$$

## Reading List for this Handout

1. Grädel et al. Section 3.2
2. Libkin. Chapter 9.
3. Ebbinghaus and Flum. Chapter 7.