Names in Distributed Systems

* Unique Identifiers (UIDs)  e.g. 128 bits
  are never reused
  refer to either: nothing, or: the same thing at all times
  UIDs (may) achieve location-independence: the named object can be moved

* pure and impure names (Needham)

  * pure names
    - the name itself yields no information e.g. UID
    - contains no location information
    - commits system to nothing
    - can only be used to compare with other similar bit-patterns e.g. in table look-up

  * impure names
    examples: email addresses
    foo.cl.cam.ac.uk
    host-ID, object-ID (unless used ONLY to generate UIDs)
    disc-pack-ID, object-ID

    - the name yields information
    - commits the system to maintaining the context in which the name is to be resolved
e.g. the directory hierarchy uk/ac/cam/cl
Unique names

uniqueness is achievable by using

- a hierarchical name: scope of uniqueness is level in hierarchy

- a bit pattern: flat, system-wide uniqueness

Issues
* a problem with pure names:
  - where to look them up?
  - how do you know that an object does not exist?
    how may a global search be avoided?
  - how to engineer uniqueness?

* a problem with impure names
  - how to restructure the namespace
    e.g. when objects move about
    when companies restructure
examples of names - note requirement for **unique** identification

**Health Service ID** - every citizen at birth (but hospitals still use local names)
**UK National Insurance** - on employment
**US Social Security** - on employment

Passport
Driving licence
**Professional Societies:** ACM, IEEE, BCS
**Charities:** National Trust, RSPB, ...
**Services:** RAC, AA, AAA (US)

Credit cards
Bank accounts
**Utilities:** gas/electricity/water/phone customer numbers

**Loyalty schemes:** Airlines - frequent flyer, hotels, shops
**Database key** - must be unique. e.g. "David Evans" could be a poor choice

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
look for structure, explicit or implicit
is allocation centralised or distributed?
what is the resolution context?
Telephone company analogy (wired service)

* geographically partitioned, distributed naming database
  electronic is current, paper is an official cache

* given a name, (Yudel Luke) or (Yudel Luke, 3 Acacia Drive) which directory to use?
  don’t know where to lookup pure names

* call (#) -> unobtainable, # came from official cache
  we detect out-of-date values, call directory enquiries
  cache until new directory comes

* caching numbers in a personal address book (an unofficial cache)
  call (#) -> unobtainable, report fault if use often
  call directory enquiries, or ask another contact (may have moved, or changed provider)

* frequency of update (some years ago)
  e.g. Cambridge area: 1,000,000 entries
  5,000 updates per week

* can’t find an entry
  e.g. Phillips company - check spelling: Philips
  e.g. look under S.S. rather than Social Services

BT offer a web service www.thephonebook.com (name and address -> #)
- only offers exact search e.g. Phillips, Cambridge - doesn’t suggest Philips
need higher-level tools - "do you mean Philips?" increasingly use search engines to augment directories
Naming Services in Distributed Systems

in general - provide clients with values of attributes of named objects

**name space**
the collection of valid names recognised by a name service
a precise specification is required, giving the structure of names

e.g. ISBN-10: 1-234567-89-1 namespace identifier: namespace-specific string
    /a/b/c/d filing system, variable length, hierarchical
    puccini.cl.cam.ac.uk DNS machine name, see later for DNS
    e.g. Mach OS 128-bit port name (system-wide UID)

**naming domain**
a name space for which there exists a single overall administrative authority
for assigning names within it
this authority may delegate name assignment for nested sub-domains (see below for Internet DNS)

**name resolution or binding**
obtaining a value which allows an object to be used

```
  e.g. file-service? → name service
     IP-address, port#, timestamp ← service
```

LATE BINDING is considered GOOD PRACTICE
programs should contain names, not addresses e.g. a machine may fail and a service restarted on another.
Your local agent may cache resolved names for subsequent use + may expire values based on timestamp.
Cached values aren’t embedded in programs and are always used at one’s own risk.
If they don’t work you have (your agent has) to look the name up again.

for a large-scale system, name resolution is an iterative process which requires navigation among name servers (N-8)
**Names, attributes and values stored by a name service**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>object type</th>
<th>attribute list</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>user</td>
<td>login name, mailbox host(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>computer</td>
<td>architecture, OS, network-address, owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>service</td>
<td>network-address, version#, protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>group</td>
<td>list of names of members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alias</td>
<td>canonical name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>directory?</td>
<td>list of hosts holding the directory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Directories may be held as a separate structure rather than as just a type of name as here.

* directories are likely to be **replicated** for scalability, fault-tolerance, efficiency

* directory names will resolve to a list of hosts, as above, with their addresses to avoid further lookup

* attribute-based (inverse) lookup may be offered -
a **YELLOW PAGES** style of service for object discovery e.g. X.500, LDAP

**TOO MUCH** information might be dangerous - could reveal structure
A useful structure for names

query:
object type, object-name, attribute-name  ->  attribute-value

  machine, foo.cl.cam.ac.uk, location  ->  IP address
  user, some-user-name, public-key    ->  PK-bit-pattern
  etc ....

checking:
object type, object-name, attribute-name, attribute-value  ->  yes/no

  ACL, filename, some-user-name, write-access  ->  yes/no
  (is the user on the ACL with the permissions requested? e.g. Xerox PARC Grapevine)

attribute-based lookup may be offered (yellow-pages style):
object-type, attribute-value  ->  list of object names having that attribute value

  machine, OS version#  ->  list of machines
user agent starts off with the root address of the name service or some well-known sub-tree root:
e.g. the location of the uk directory for agents in the UK
to resolve cl.cam.ac.uk, the UA can start from the uk directory then ac then cam then cl
the UA (and directories) will cache resolved names as hints for future use

alternative: any name server will take a name, resolve it and return the required attribute
client can sometimes choose e.g. select "recursive" in DNS

in practice, there are engineering optimisations: local caches are tried first, directories may be able to indicate whether they offer recursive evaluation, etc.
Examples of name services

**DNS** - see below N-10 to N-13

**Grapevine** - see below N-17

Xerox PARC early 80’s
- two-level naming hierarchy  name@registry
  birrell@pa
- primarily for email, but also gave (primitive) authentication (password as attribute) and access control
- any GV server would take a request from a GV-user agent

ref: Birrell, Levin, Needham, Schroeder, Comm. ACM 25(1) April 82

**Clearinghouse**

ISO standard based on an extension of Grapevine, developed at Xerox PARC
- three-level naming hierarchy

**GNS** - see below N-18 to N-20

developed for DEC at SRC, Lampson et al. 1986
- full hierarchical naming
  - support for namespace reconfiguration

**X.500** - see below N-21
Example: DNS - the Internet Domain Name System

Before 1987 the whole naming database was held centrally and copied to selected servers periodically. The Internet had become too large for this approach and a hierarchical scheme was needed (Mockapetris 1987).

**What does it name?** in practice, the objects named are:

- computers
- servers such as mail hosts *named objects*
- servers providing other services
- domains
- directories - resolution contexts

**Definition of names**

hierarchy of components or labels (total max 255 chars)

- highest level of hierarchy is last component
- label: max 63 chars, case insensitive, restrictions on characters (but arguments over relaxing these)

Final label of a fully qualified name (a TLD) can be:

- 3+ letter code: type of hosting organisation
  - *edu, gov, mil* are still US-based, others e.g. *com, net, org, int, jobs* can be anywhere

- 2+ letter code: area of registrar, defined by ISO 3166 e.g. *uk, fr, ie, de, ....*

- arpa: for inverse lookup (e.g. 20.0.232.128.in-addr.arpa)

**Examples**

- mit.edu
- cl.cam.ac.uk
- cs.tcd.ie
- tu-darmstadt.de

Final 2-letter label doesn’t imply country of location of host, just where registered

E.g. *www.yahoo.co.uk* has been in Germany
computers using DNS are grouped into zones, e.g. uk, cam
within a zone, management of sub-domains is delegated
e.g. cl is managed locally by the domain manager - names added to a local file

primary name server (authority) for a zone is the computer that holds the master list for the zone
usually there will be secondary servers, holding replicas, for the zone

queries can relate to individual hosts or zones/domains, examples:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>computer name</th>
<th>-&gt; IPv4 address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAAA</td>
<td>computer name</td>
<td>-&gt; IPv6 address</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| MX         | mail-host (domain)          | -> < host, preference, IP address > list
|            | includes mail hosts for detached computers |
| NS         | DNS servers for a domain    | -> < host, IP address, ... > list |

Unix examples
/etc/hosts holds IP addresses of hosts in local domain

```
$ /usr/bin/nslookup
> set q=A
> cosi.cl.cam.ac.uk
Address: 128.232.8.110
> www.cl.cam.ac.uk
Address: 128.232.0.20
>set q=MX
>cl.cam.ac.uk
mail exchanger = 5 mx.cl.cam.ac.uk
Address: 128.232. ...

$ /usr/bin/nslookup
> set q=NS
> cl.cam.ac.uk
Server: 128.232.1.2
Address: 128.232.1.2#53
cl.cam.ac.uk nameserver=resolv1.cl.cam.ac.uk.
cl.cam.ac.uk nameserver=resolv6.cl.cam.ac.uk.
cl.cam.ac.uk nameserver=resolv2.cl.cam.ac.uk.
cl.cam.ac.uk nameserver=resolv3.cl.cam.ac.uk.
cl.cam.ac.uk nameserver=resolv0.cl.cam.ac.uk.

> set q=A
> resolv1.cl.cam.ac.uk
...
Address = 128.232.1.2
```
DNS name servers (note the large scale)

* the domain database is partitioned into directories which form a distributed namespace
  e.g. ac.uk may be held on the computer ns1.cs.ucl.ac.uk

* can lookup DNS directory address for a domain: IP address, well-known port
  need a starting point for name resolution

* directories are replicated for availability and good response
  (authoritative name server for domain is distinguished (weak consistency))
  
**optimisations**

* resolved queries are cached (by user agent and at directories) as naming data tends to be stable
  if not in directory, consult cache. values returned with a TTL (time to live)

* queries and responses may be batched into composite query messages
DNS future issues

1. mobile/roaming devices attach anywhere worldwide

2. mobile, wireless ad-hoc networks, groups form (MANETS)
   (i) some node may act as an internet gateway
       single-hop or multi-hop connection to it
   (ii) may be detached from Internet, may be prepared to share services

approaches
first need protocols - mobile IP: mip6 IETF working group

for 1 above: device can contact local DNS server
   local and home can cooperate
   can you be monitored while roaming? - privacy?

for 2(i) above - any node can connect, as in 1, via the gateway,
   provided gateway has appropriate code

for 2(ii) above: any node may broadcast offering to act as DNS server
   asuming it has server code and others have client code
   the group can then advertise services to clients
directories are replicated for scalability etc.... how should propagation of updates between replicas be managed?

- lookup (args) → is the most recent value, known system-wide, guaranteed to be returned?

if system-wide consistency is guaranteed we have: - delay to update - delay on lookup

**it is essential to have fast access to naming data**
- so we must relax the strong consistency requirement

this is justified because:

1. naming data doesn’t change very fast, changes propagate quickly, inconsistencies will be rare
   - YES - info on users and machines...usually
   - NO - distribution lists
   - NEW/NO - mobile users and computers
   - NEW - huge number of things to be named - does the design rely on low update traffic? (like service advertisements)

2. we detect obsolete naming data when it doesn’t work
   - YES - users
   - NO - distribution lists

3. if it works it doesn’t matter that it’s out of date
   - you might have made the request a little earlier - recall uncertainties over time in DS
The crux of this problem

*consistency vs availability* tradeoff

have to choose availability for name services - they underlie most use of the system

what should be returned when only weak consistency is supported:

lookup (args) → either: value, version# / timestamp

← or: not known at time (timestamp of last update)

examples:

service on failed machine - restart at new IP address - update directories - rare event

user changes company - coarse time grain

companies merge - coarse time grain

change of password - takes time to propagate - insecurity during propagation?

changes to ACLs and DLs - insecurity during propagation?

revocation of users’ credentials - may have been used for authentication/authorisation

hot lists - must PUSH rather than PULL - must propagate fast

so - take care what name services are being used for, and how they are being used.

Perhaps active database triggers could be useful

(registered interest in some change - notified of change immediately)

DNS-SD tries to do this
Long-term consistency must be ensured for correctness

requirement:
if updates stopped there would be consistency after all updates had propagated

this cannot be tested
- we cannot guarantee there will be periods with no updates (quiescence)
- we would, in any case, need to specify failure behaviour in detail

* updates are propagated by the message transport system
  conflicting updates might arrive out of order
  need an arbitration policy e.g. based on timestamps

* typically, transmit whole directories periodically and compare them
  tag the directory with a version number after this consistency check
  e.g. GNS declares a new "epoch" after such a check
Example: Grapevine - outline

A grapevine (GV) server

registration database  mail host

registration server  mail server

2D names  name@registry

every GV server contains the gv registry which contains

registry name -> list of locations

2 types of name within a registry  Note: small scale allows rapid navigation

group-name -> list of members

used for distribution lists,
access control lists

individual-name -> attributes: (password, mail-host list, ....)

problems - soon outgrew its specification:

#servers, #clients
huge distribution lists not foreseen
message transport used for update messages - updates could be held up.
DEC’s Global Name Service (GNS)

Butler Lampson 1986, Designing a Global Name Service, Proc. 5th ACM PODC, pp1-10

Aims:
* long life
  many changes in the organisation of the name space
* large size
  arbitrary number of names and administrative domains

Names
define two-dimensional (2D) names of the form <directory name, value name>

where value names may be a tree such as

```
    foo
  /   \
mailboxes  password
   /     \
hostA.....  hostN
```

the GNS directory structure is
- hierarchical
- **every directory has a UID**, a directory identifier (DI)

A **full name** is any name starting with a DI

* doesn’t require a single root directory
* doesn’t rely on the availability of some root directory
If the directory hierarchy is reconfigured a directory may still be found via its DI. Names starting with that DI will not change, if the reconfiguration is above that DI.

Support is needed to locate a directory from its DI (*a pure name - where do we look it up?*) as well as the usual location of directories by pathname lookup. Top level directories provide DIs with directory names.
names starting from DEC: 311/SRC, birrell
name of DEC directory: is always 311
names starting above DEC
was: 999/DEC, name
now: 999/Compaq/DEC, name
was: 999/DEC/SRC, birrell
now: 999/Compaq/DEC/SRC, birrell
directory entries
- include DIs with directory names
- include pathnames from root
X.500 Directory Service (White and Yellow pages)

ISO and CCITT standard, above OSI protocol stack.
More general than a name service where names must be known precisely and are resolved to locations.

components:
DIT directory information tree
DSA directory service agent
DUA directory user agent
DAP directory access protocol

resource-consuming and difficult to use

1993 major revision including replication, access control, schema management.
But X.500 was never accepted as a generic name service.
X.509 certificates for authentication and authorisation have been successful.

Lightweight Directory Access protocol (LDAP)  Howes, Kille, Yeong, Robbins, 1993
IETF accepted. can download free and deploy - widely used

* access protocol built on TCP/IP

* heavy use of strings, instead of ASN.1 data-types

* simplification of server and client

* current status V3

* LDUP duplication and update protocol (but see internet draft draft-zeilenga-ldup-harmful-02.txt)
Naming - summary

Naming for the Internet - see DNS

Naming for companies, world-wide - see Grapevine, GNS

Standard name services - X.500 (CCITT, ISO), X.509 for authentication, LDAP (IETF)

Naming for the web - document names are based on Internet naming:
    scheme://host-name:port/pathname at host
    scheme = protocol: http, ftp, local file, ...
    host name = web server’s DNS address, default port 80
    pathname in web server’s world of file containing web page

    e.g. http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/research/.....

Also web services...
Object-oriented middleware

remotely accessible objects are registered with local ORB
a remote object reference is returned which may be entered in a name service
together with an associated name

e.g. CORBA Naming Service
    name -> remote object reference
    CORBA Trading Service
    attributes -> name, remote object reference
    JAVA Naming and Directory Interface (JNDI) for services

naming interface: service interface publication
    service-name -> remote object reference
directory interface: attribute -> remote object reference
Message-oriented middleware

MOM evolved from the packet switching paradigm
naming and routing may be defined statically
e.g. IBM MQSeries queue names are assumed known to the application
   and embody fixed routing from client to server

e.g. JMS (Java Messaging Service) can use JNDI

Event-based middleware

names are topics or event types, used by (advertisers) publishers and subscribers

topics may be assumed to be known (TIBCO)
or may be advertised (Siena, Hermes, ... )

message routing tables, for publications,
   are set up from (advertisments and) subscriptions

subscription can be either topic/type or attribute/content-value based
   e.g. topic hierarchy: stocks . stock-exchange-name . stock-type . stock-subtype
       subscription: stocks . * . utilities . *

   e.g. attribute/content:
       subscription: stocks, stock-exchange-name=NY, stock-type=mining, value>$100, ...

   e.g. for message type: seen (person, room)
       subscription: seen (person = *, room = FN34)

may be integrated with a programming language