

# ~ Lecture X ~

## Keywords:

testing and verification; rigorous and formal proofs; structural induction on lists; law of extensionality; multisets; structural induction on trees.

## References:

- ◆ [MLWP, Chapter 6]

/ 1

## Rigorous vs. formal proof

A rigorous proof is a convincing mathematical argument

- ◆ **Rigorous proof.**
  - ◆ What mathematicians and some computer scientists do.
  - ◆ Done in the mathematical vernacular.
  - ◆ Needs clear foundations.
- ◆ **Formal proof.**
  - ◆ What logicians and some computer scientists study.
  - ◆ Done within a formal proof system.
  - ◆ Needs machine support.

/ 3

## Testing and verification

Functional programs are easier to reason about

- ◆ We wish to establish that a program is correct, in that it meets its specification.
- ◆ **Testing.**

Try a selection of inputs and check against expected results.

There is no guarantee that all bugs will be found.
- ◆ **Verification.**

Prove that the program is correct within a mathematical model.

Proofs can be long, tedious, complicated, hard, *etc.*

/ 2

## Modelling assumptions

- ◆ Proofs treat *programs as mathematical objects*, subject to mathematical laws.
- ◆ Only *purely functional programs* will be allowed.
- ◆ *Types* will be interpreted *as sets*, which restricts the form of datatype declarations.
- ◆ We shall allow only *well-defined expressions*. They must be *legally typed*, and must denote *terminating computations*. By insisting upon termination, we can work within elementary set theory.

/ 4

# Structural induction on lists

Let  $P$  be a property on lists that we would like to prove.

To establish

$P(\ell)$  for all  $\ell$  of type  $\tau \text{ list}$

by *structural induction*, it suffices to prove.

1. The *base case*:  $P([])$ .
2. The *inductive step*: For all  $h$  of type  $\tau$  and  $t$  of type  $\tau \text{ list}$ ,  
 $P(t)$  implies  $P(h::t)$

**Example:** No list equals its own tail.

For all  $h$  of type  $\tau$  and all  $t$  of type  $\tau \text{ list}$ ,  $h::t \neq t$ .

```
infix @ ;
fun [] @ l = l
  | (h::t) @ l = h :: ( t@l ) ;

fun nrev [] = []
  | nrev (h::t) = (nrev t) @ [h] ;

fun revApp( [] , l ) = l
  | revApp( h::t , l ) = revApp( t , h::l ) ;
```

/ 5

/ 7

# Applications

```
fun nlen [] = 0
  | nlen (h::t) = 1 + nlen(t) ;

fun len l
  = let
    fun addlen( n , [] ) = n
      | addlen( n , h::t ) = addlen( n+1 , t )
    in
      addlen( 0 , l )
    end ;
```

/ 6

- ◆ For all lists  $l, l_1$ , and  $l_2$ ,
1.  $nlen(l_1@l_2) = nlen(l_1) + nlen(l_2)$ .
  2.  $revApp(l_1, l_2) = nrev(l_1)@l_2$ .
  3.  $nrev(l_1@l_2) = nrev(l_2)@nrev(l_1)$ .
  4.  $l@[] = l$ .
  5.  $l@(l_1@l_2) = (l@l_1)@l_2$ .
  6.  $nrev(nrev(l)) = l$ .
  7.  $nlen(l) = len(l)$ .

/ 8

# Applications

## Equality of functions

The *law of extensionality* states that functions  $f, g : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$  are equal iff  $f(x) = g(x)$  for all  $x \in \alpha$ .

### Example:

- ◆ Associativity of composition.

```
infix o;
```

```
fun (f o g) x = f( g x ) ;
```

For all  $f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ ,  $g : \beta \rightarrow \gamma$ , and  $h : \gamma \rightarrow \delta$ ,

$$h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f \quad : \alpha \rightarrow \delta$$

- ◆ `fun id x = x ;`

For all  $f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ , `f o id = f = id o f`

```
fun map f [] = []
  | map f (h::t) = (f h) :: map f t ;
```

1. Functoriality<sup>a</sup> of map.

$$\text{map id} = \text{id}$$

For all  $f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$  and  $g : \beta \rightarrow \gamma$ ,

$$\text{map}(g \circ f) = \text{map}(g) \circ \text{map}(f) \quad : \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \gamma \text{ list}$$

2. For all  $f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ , and  $l_1, l_2 : \alpha \text{ list}$ ,

$$\text{map } f (l_1 @ l_2) = (\text{map } f l_1) @ (\text{map } f l_2) \quad : \beta \text{ list}$$

3. For all  $f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ ,

$$(\text{map } f) \circ \text{nrev} = \text{nrev} \circ (\text{map } f) \quad : \beta \text{ list}$$

<sup>a</sup>This is a technical term from *Category Theory*.

## Multisets

Multisets are a useful abstraction to specify properties of functions operating on lists.

- ◆ A *multiset*, also referred to as a *bag*, is a collection of elements that takes account of their number but not their order.

Formally, a multiset  $m$  on a set  $S$  is represented as a function  $m : S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ .

- ◆ Some ways of forming multisets:

1. the *empty multiset* contains no elements and corresponds to the constantly 0 function

$$\emptyset : x \mapsto 0$$

2. the *singleton s multiset* contains one occurrence of  $s$ , and corresponds to the function

$$\langle s \rangle : x \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x = s \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

3. the *multiset sum*  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  contains all elements in the multisets  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  (accumulating repetitions of elements), and corresponds to the function

$$m_1 \uplus m_2 : x \mapsto m_1(x) + m_2(x)$$

## An application

Consider

```

fun take( [] , _ ) = []
  | take( h::t , i )
    = if i > 0
      then h :: take( t , i-1 )
      else [] ;

fun drop( [] , _ ) = []
  | drop( l as h::t , i )
    = if i > 0 then drop( t , i-1 )
      else l ;

```

/ 13

and let

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{mset}}( []) &= \emptyset \\ \underline{\text{mset}}( h::t ) &= \langle h \rangle \uplus \underline{\text{mset}}(t) \end{aligned}$$

Then, for all  $l : \alpha \text{ list}$  and  $n : \text{int}$ ,

$$\underline{\text{mset}}(\text{take}(l, n)) \uplus \underline{\text{mset}}(\text{drop}(l, n)) = \underline{\text{mset}}(l)$$

/ 14

## Structural induction on trees

Let  $P$  be a property on binary trees that we would like to prove.  
To establish

$P(t)$  for all  $t$  of type  $\tau \text{ tree}$

by *structural induction*, it suffices to prove.

1. The *base case*:  $P(\text{empty})$ .
2. The *inductive step*: For all  $n$  of type  $\tau$  and  $t_1, t_2$  of type  $\tau \text{ tree}$ ,

$P(t_1)$  and  $P(t_2)$  imply  $P(\text{node}(n, t_1, t_2))$

**Example:** No tree equals its own left subtree.

For all  $n$  of type  $\tau$  and all  $t_1, t_2$  of type  $\tau \text{ list}$ ,  
 $\text{node}(n, t_1, t_2) \neq t_1$ .

/ 15

## An application

```

fun treemap f empty = empty
  | treemap f ( node(n,l,r) )
    = node( f n , treemap f l , treemap f r ) ;

```

Functoriality of `treemap`.

$$\text{treemap id} = \text{id}$$

For all  $f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$  and  $g : \beta \rightarrow \gamma$ ,

$$\text{treemap}(g \circ f) = \text{treemap}(g) \circ \text{treemap}(f) \quad : \alpha \text{ tree} \rightarrow \gamma \text{ tree}$$

/ 16

## Structural induction on finitely-branching trees

```
datatype
  'a FBtree = node of 'a * 'a FBforest
and
  'a FBforest = empty | seq of 'a FBtree * 'a FBforest ;
```

/ 17

## An application

```
fun FBtreemap f ( node(n,F) )
  = node( f n , FBforestmap f F )
and FBforestmap f empty = empty
  | FBforestmap f ( seq(t,F) )
  = seq( FBtreemap f t , FBforestmap f F ) ;
```

Functoriality of `FBtreemap` and `FBforestmap`.

<code>FBtreemap id = id</code>	<code>FBforestmap id = id</code>
--------------------------------	----------------------------------

For all  $f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$  and  $g : \beta \rightarrow \gamma$ ,

<code>FBtreemap(g o f) = FBtreemap(g) o FBtreemap(f)</code>
<code>FBforestmap(g o f) = FBforestmap(g) o FBforestmap(f)</code>

/ 19

Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be properties on finitely-branching trees and forests, respectively, that we would like to prove.

To establish

$P(t)$  for all  $t$  of type  $\tau$  `FBtree`  
and  
 $Q(F)$  for all  $F$  of type  $\tau$  `FBforest`

by *structural induction*, it suffices to prove.

1. The *base case*:  $Q(\text{empty})$ .
2. The *inductive step*: For all  $n$  of type  $\tau$ ,  $t$  of type  $\tau$  `FBtree`, and  $F$  of type  $\tau$  `FBforest`,  
 $Q(F)$  implies  $P(\text{node}(n, F))$   
and  
 $P(t)$  and  $Q(F)$  imply  $Q(\text{seq}(t, F))$

/ 18