

Topic VII

Data abstraction and modularity SML Modules^a

References:

- ◆ Chapter 7 of *ML for the working programmer* (2ND EDITION) by L. C. Paulson. CUP, 1996.

^aLargely based on an *Introduction to SML Modules* by Claudio Russo (<http://research.microsoft.com/~crusso>).

/ 1

The Core and Modules languages

SML consists of two sub-languages:

- ◆ The *Core* language is for *programming in the small*, by supporting the definition of types and expressions denoting values of those types.
- ◆ The *Modules* language is for *programming in the large*, by grouping related Core definitions of types and expressions into self-contained units, with descriptive interfaces.

The *Core* expresses details of *data structures* and *algorithms*. The *Modules* language expresses *software architecture*. Both languages are largely independent.

/ 3

- ◆ *The Standard ML Basis Library* edited by E. R. Gansner and J. H. Reppy. CUP, 2004.

[A useful introduction to SML standard libraries, and a good example of modular programming.]

- ◆ (<http://www.standardml.org/>)

/ 2

The Modules language

Writing a real program as an unstructured sequence of Core definitions quickly becomes unmanageable.

```
type nat = int
val zero = 0
fun succ x = x + 1
fun iter b f i =
    if i = zero then b
      else f (iter b f (i-1))
...
(* thousands of lines later *)
fun even (n:nat) = iter true not n
```

The SML Modules language lets one split large programs into separate units with descriptive interfaces.

/ 4

SML Modules

Signatures and structures

- ◆ An *abstract data type* is a type equipped with a set of operations, which are the only operations applicable to that type.
Its representation can be changed without affecting the rest of the program.
- ◆ *Structures* let us *package* up declarations of related types, values, and functions.
- ◆ *Signatures* let us *specify* what components a structure must contain.

/ 5

The dot notation

One can name a structure by binding it to an identifier.

```
structure IntNat =  
  struct  
    type nat = int  
    ...  
    fun iter b f i = ...  
  end
```

Components of a structure are accessed with the *dot notation*.

```
fun even (n: IntNat.nat) = IntNat.iter true not n
```

NB: Type `IntNat.nat` is statically equal to `int`.

Value `IntNat.iter` dynamically evaluates to a closure.

/ 7

Structures

In Modules, one can encapsulate a sequence of Core type and value definitions into a unit called a *structure*.

We enclose the definitions in between the keywords

```
struct ... end.
```

Example: A structure representing the natural numbers, as positive integers.

```
struct  
  type nat = int  
  val zero = 0  
  fun succ x = x + 1  
  fun iter b f i = if i = zero then b  
                  else f (iter b f (i-1))  
end
```

/ 6

Nested structures

Structures can be nested inside other structures, in a hierarchy.

```
structure IntNatAdd =  
  struct  
    structure Nat = IntNat  
    fun add n m = Nat.iter m Nat.succ n  
  end  
  ...  
  fun mult n m =  
    IntNatAdd.Nat.iter IntNatAdd.Nat.zero (IntNatAdd.add m) n
```

The dot notation (`IntNatAdd.Nat`) accesses a nested structure.

Sequencing dots provides deeper access (`IntNatAdd.Nat.zero`).

Nesting and dot notation provides *name-space* control.

/ 8

Concrete signatures

Signature expressions specify the types of structures by listing the specifications of their components.

A signature expression consists of a *sequence* of component specifications, enclosed in between the keywords `sig... end`.

```
sig type nat = int
  val zero : nat
  val succ : nat -> nat
  val 'a iter : 'a -> ('a->'a) -> nat -> 'a
end
```

This signature fully describes the *type* of `IntNat`.

The specification of type `nat` is *concrete*: it must be `int`.

/ 9

Example: Polymorphic functional stacks.

```
signature STACK =
sig
  exception E
  type 'a retype (* <-- INTERNAL REPRESENTATION *)
  val new: 'a retype
  val push: 'a -> 'a retype -> 'a retype
  val pop: 'a retype -> 'a retype
  val top: 'a retype -> 'a
end ;
```

/ 11

Opaque signatures

On the other hand, the following signature

```
sig type nat
  val zero : nat
  val succ : nat -> nat
  val 'a iter : 'a -> ('a->'a) -> nat -> 'a
end
```

specifies structures that are free to use *any* implementation for type `nat` (perhaps `int`, or `word`, or some recursive datatype).

This specification of type `nat` is *opaque*.

/ 10

```
structure MyStack: STACK =
struct
  exception E ;
  type 'a retype = 'a list ;
  val new = [] ;
  fun push x s = x::s ;
  fun split( h::t ) = ( h , t )
    | split _ = raise E ;
  fun pop s = #2( split s ) ;
  fun top s = #1( split s ) ;
end ;
```

/ 12

```

val MyEmptyStack = MyStack.new ;
val MyStack0 = MyStack.push 0 MyEmptyStack ;
val MyStack01 = MyStack.push 1 MyStack0 ;
val MyStack0' = MyStack.pop MyStack01 ;
MyStack.top MyStack0' ;

```

```

val MyEmptyStack = [] : 'a MyStack.reptype
val MyStack0 = [0] : int MyStack.reptype
val MyStack01 = [1,0] : int MyStack.reptype
val MyStack0' = [0] : int MyStack.reptype
val it = 0 : int

```

Signature inclusion

To avoid nesting, one can also directly `include` a signature identifier:

```

sig include NAT
  val add: nat -> nat -> nat
end

```

NB: This is equivalent to the following signature.

```

sig type nat
  val zero: nat
  val succ: nat -> nat
  val 'a iter: 'a -> ('a->'a) -> nat -> 'a
  val add: nat -> nat -> nat
end

```

Named and nested signatures

Signatures may be *named* and referenced, to avoid repetition:

```

signature NAT =
  sig type nat
    val zero : nat
    val succ : nat -> nat
    val 'a iter : 'a -> ('a->'a) -> nat -> 'a
  end

```

Nested signatures specify named sub-structures:

```

signature Add =
  sig structure Nat: NAT (* references NAT *)
    val add: Nat.nat -> Nat.nat -> Nat.nat
  end

```

/ 13

/ 14

Signature matching

Q: When does a structure satisfy a signature?

A: The type of a structure *matches* a signature whenever it implements at least the components of the signature.

- The structure must *realise* (i.e. define) all of the opaque type components in the signature.
- The structure must *enrich* this realised signature, component-wise:
 - ★ every concrete type must be implemented equivalently;
 - ★ every specified value must have a more general type scheme;
 - ★ every specified structure must be enriched by a substructure.

/ 15

/ 16

Properties of signature matching

The components of a structure can be defined in a different order than in the signature; names matter but ordering does not.

A structure may contain more components, or components of more general types, than are specified in a matching signature.

Signature matching is *structural*. A structure can match many signatures and there is no need to pre-declare its matching signatures (unlike “interfaces” in Java and C#).

Although similar to record types, signatures actually play a number of different roles.

/ 17

Using signatures to restrict access

The following structure uses a *signature constraint* to provide a restricted view of `IntNat`:

```
structure ResIntNat =
  IntNat : sig type nat
            val succ : nat->nat
            val iter : nat->(nat->nat)->nat->nat
          end
```

NB: The constraint `str:sig` prunes the structure `str` according to the signature `sig`:

- ◆ `ResIntNat.zero` is *undefined*;
- ◆ `ResIntNat.iter` is *less* polymorphic than `IntNat.iter`.

/ 19

Subtyping

Signature matching supports a form of *subtyping* not found in the Core language:

- ◆ A structure with more type, value, and structure components may be used where fewer components are expected.
- ◆ A value component may have a more general type scheme than expected.

/ 18

Transparency of `_:_`

Although the `_:_` operator can hide names, it does not conceal the definitions of opaque types.

Thus, the fact that `ResIntNat.nat = IntNat.nat = int` remains *transparent*.

For instance the application `ResIntNat.succ(~3)` is still well-typed, because `~3` has type `int` ... but `~3` is negative, so not a valid representation of a natural number!

/ 20

SML Modules

Information hiding

In SML, we can limit outside access to the components of a structure by *constraining* its signature in *transparent* or *opaque* manners.

Further, we can *hide* the representation of a type by means of an *abstype* declaration.

The combination of these methods yields *abstract structures*.

/ 21

Now, the actual implementation of `AbsNat.nat` by `int` is *hidden*, so that `AbsNat.nat` \neq `int`.

`AbsNat` is just `IntNat`, but with a hidden type representation.

`AbsNat` defines an *abstract datatype* of natural numbers: the only way to construct and use values of the abstract type `AbsNat.nat` is through the operations, `zero`, `succ`, and `iter`.

For example, the application `AbsNat.succ(~3)` is ill-typed: `~3` only has type `int`, not `AbsNat.nat`. This is what we want, since `~3` is not a natural number in our representation.

In general, abstractions can also prune and specialise components.

/ 23

Using signatures to hide types identities

With different syntax, signature matching can also be used to enforce *data abstraction*:

```
structure AbsNat =
  IntNat :> sig type nat
              val zero: nat
              val succ: nat->nat
              val 'a iter: 'a->('a->'a)->nat->'a
            end
```

The constraint `str:>sig` prunes `str` but also generates a new, *abstract* type for each opaque type in `sig`.

/ 22

Opaque signature constraints

```
structure MyOpaqueStack :> STACK = MyStack ;

val MyEmptyOpaqueStack = MyOpaqueStack.new ;
val MyOpaqueStack0 = MyOpaqueStack.push 0 MyEmptyOpaqueStack ;
val MyOpaqueStack01 = MyOpaqueStack.push 1 MyOpaqueStack0 ;
val MyOpaqueStack0' = MyOpaqueStack.pop MyOpaqueStack01 ;
MyOpaqueStack.top MyOpaqueStack0' ;

val MyEmptyOpaqueStack = - : 'a MyOpaqueStack.reptype
val MyOpaqueStack0 = - : int MyOpaqueStack.reptype
val MyOpaqueStack01 = - : int MyOpaqueStack.reptype
val MyOpaqueStack0' = - : int MyOpaqueStack.reptype
val it = 0 : int
```

/ 24

abstypeS

```
structure MyHiddenStack: STACK =
struct
  exception E ;
  abstype 'a reptime = S of 'a list (* <-- HIDDEN *)
  with (* REPRESENTATION *)
    val new = S [] ;
    fun push x (S s) = S( x::s ) ;
    fun pop( S [] ) = raise E
      | pop( S(_::t) ) = S( t ) ;
    fun top( S [] ) = raise E
      | top( S(h::_) ) = h ;
  end ;
end ;
```

/ 25

Datatype and exception specifications

Signatures can also specify datatypes and exceptions:

```
structure PredNat =
struct
  datatype nat = zero | succ of nat
  fun iter b f i = ...
  exception Pred
  fun pred zero = raise Pred
    | pred (succ n) = n
end
:> sig
  datatype nat = zero | succ of nat
  val iter: 'a->('a->'a)->(nat->'a)
  exception Pred
  val pred: nat -> nat (* raises Pred *)
end
```

This means that clients can still pattern match on datatype constructors, and handle exceptions.

/ 27

```
val MyHiddenEmptyStack = MyHiddenStack.new ;
val MyHiddenStack0 = MyHiddenStack.push 0 MyHiddenEmptyStack ;
val MyHiddenStack01 = MyHiddenStack.push 1 MyHiddenStack0 ;
val MyHiddenStack0' = MyHiddenStack.pop MyHiddenStack01 ;
MyHiddenStack.top MyHiddenStack0' ;
```

```
val MyHiddenEmptyStack = - : 'a MyHiddenStack.reptime
val MyHiddenStack0 = - : int MyHiddenStack.reptime
val MyHiddenStack01 = - : int MyHiddenStack.reptime
val MyHiddenStack0' = - : int MyHiddenStack.reptime
val it = 0 : int
```

/ 26

SML Modules

Functors

- ◆ An SML *functor* is a structure that takes other structures as parameters.
- ◆ Functors let us write program units that can be combined in different ways. Functors can also express generic algorithms.

/ 28

Functors

Modules also supports parameterised structures, called *functors*.

Example: The functor `AddFun` below takes any implementation, `N`, of naturals and re-exports it with an addition operation.

```
functor AddFun(N:NAT) =
  struct
    structure Nat = N
    fun add n m = Nat.iter n (Nat.succ) m
  end
```

/ 29

Functor application

A functor may be used to create a structure by *applying* it to an actual argument:

```
structure IntNatAdd = AddFun(IntNat)
structure AbsNatAdd = AddFun(AbsNat)
```

The actual argument must match the signature of the formal parameter—so it can provide more components, of more general types.

Above, `AddFun` is applied twice, but to arguments that differ in their implementation of type `nat` (`AbsNat.nat` \neq `IntNat.nat`).

/ 31

A functor is a *function* mapping a formal argument structure to a concrete result structure.

The body of a functor may assume no more information about its formal argument than is specified in its signature.

In particular, opaque types are treated as distinct type parameters.

Each actual argument can supply its own, independent implementation of opaque types.

/ 30

Example: Generic imperative stacks.

```
signature STACK =
sig
  type itemtype
  val push: itemtype -> unit
  val pop: unit -> unit
  val top: unit -> itemtype
end ;
```

/ 32


```

exception E ;
functor Stack( T: sig type atype end ) : STACK =
struct
  type itemtype = T.atype
  val stack = ref( []: itemtype list )
  fun push x
    = ( stack := x :: !stack )
  fun pop()
    = case !stack of [] => raise E
      | _::s => ( stack := s )
  fun top()
    = case !stack of [] => raise E
      | t::_ => t
end ;

```

/ 33

```

map ( intStack.push ) [3,2,1] ;
map ( fn _ => let val top = intStack.top()
              in intStack.pop(); top end )
    [(),(),(),()] ;

```

```

val it = [(),(),()] : unit list
val it = [1,2,3,4] : intStack.itemtype list

```

/ 35

```

structure intStack
  = Stack(struct type atype = int end) ;

structure intStack : STACK

intStack.push(0) ;
intStack.top() ;
intStack.pop() ;
intStack.push(4) ;

val it = () : unit
val it = 0 : intStack.itemtype
val it = () : unit
val it = () : unit

```

/ 34

Why functors ?

Functors support:

Code reuse.

`AddFun` may be applied many times to different structures, reusing its body.

Code abstraction.

`AddFun` can be compiled before any argument is implemented.

Type abstraction.

`AddFun` can be applied to different types `N.nat`.

/ 36

Are signatures types?

The syntax of Modules suggests that signatures are just the types of structures ... but signatures can contain opaque types.

In general, signatures describe *families of structures*, indexed by the realisation of any opaque types.

The interpretation of a signature really depends on how it is used!

In functor parameters, opaque types introduce *polymorphism*; in signature constraints, opaque types introduce *abstract types*.

Since type components may be type constructors, not just types, this is really *higher-order* polymorphism and abstraction.

/ 37

Type propagation through functors

Each functor application *propagates* the actual realisation of its argument's opaque type components.

Thus, for

```
structure IntNatAdd = AddFun(IntNat)
structure AbsNatAdd = AddFun(AbsNat)
```

the type `IntNatAdd.Nat.nat` is just another name for `int`, and `AbsNatAdd.Nat.nat` is just another name for `AbsNat.nat`.

Examples: `IntNatAdd.Nat.succ(0)` ✓
`IntNatAdd.Nat.succ(IntNat.Nat.zero)` ✓
`AbsNatAdd.Nat.succ(AbsNat.Nat.zero)` ✓
`AbsNatAdd.Nat.succ(0)` ✗
`AbsNatAdd.Nat.succ(IntNat.Nat.zero)` ✗

/ 39

Structures as records

Structures are like Core records, but can contain definitions of types as well as values.

What does it mean to project a type component from a structure, e.g. `IntNatAdd.Nat.nat`?

Does one need to evaluate the application `AddFun(IntNat)` at *compile-time* to simplify `IntNatAdd.Nat.nat` to `int`?

No! It's sufficient to know the *compile-time* types of `AddFun` and `IntNat`, ensuring a *phase distinction* between compile-time and run-time.

/ 38

Generativity

The following functor almost defines an identity function, but *re-abstracts* its argument:

```
functor GenFun(N:NAT) = N :> NAT
```

Now, each application of `GenFun` generates a new abstract type: For instance, for

```
structure X = GenFun(IntNat)
structure Y = GenFun(IntNat)
```

the types `X.nat` and `Y.nat` are *incompatible*, even though `GenFun` was applied to the *same* argument.

Functor application is *generative*: abstract types from the body of a functor are replaced by fresh types at each application. This is consistent with inlining the body of a functor at applications.

/ 40

Why should functors be generative?

It is really a design choice. Often, the invariants of the body of a functor depend on both the types *and values* imported from the argument.

```
functor OrdSet(O:sig type elem
  val compare: (elem * elem) -> bool
end) = struct
  type set = O.elem list (* ordered list of elements *)
  val empty = []
  fun insert e [] = [e]
  | insert e1 (e2::s) = if O.compare(e1,e2)
    then if O.compare(e2,e1) then e2::s else e1::e2::s
    else e2::insert e1 s
end :> sig type set
  val empty: set
  val insert: O.elem -> set -> set
end
```

/ 41

Why functors?

- ◆ Functors let one decompose a large programming task into separate subtasks.
- ◆ The propagation of types through application lets one extend existing abstract data types with type-compatible operations.
- ◆ Generativity ensures that applications of the same functor to data types with the same representation, but different invariants, return distinct abstract types.

/ 43

For

```
structure S = OrdSet(struct type elem=int
  fun compare(i,j)= i <= j end)
structure R = OrdSet(struct type elem=int
  fun compare(i,j)= i >= j end)
```

we want $S.set \neq R.set$ because their representation invariants depend on the `compare` function: the set $\{1, 2, 3\}$ is $[1, 2, 3]$ in $S.set$, but $[3, 2, 1]$ in $R.set$.

/ 42

Sharing specifications

Functors are often used to combine different argument structures.

Sometimes, these structure arguments need to communicate values of a *shared* type.

For instance, we might want to implement a sum-of-squares function ($n, m \mapsto n^2 + m^2$) using separate structures for naturals with addition and multiplication ...

/ 44

Sharing violations

```
functor SQ(structure AddNat: sig
  structure Nat: sig type nat end
  val add:Nat.nat -> Nat.nat -> Nat.nat
end
structure MultNat: sig
  structure Nat: sig type nat end
  val mult:Nat.nat -> Nat.nat -> Nat.nat
end) =
struct fun sumsquare n m
  = AddNat.add (MultNat.mult n n) (MultNat.mult m m) ×
end
```

The above piece of code is *ill-typed*: the types `AddNat.Nat.nat` and `MultNat.Nat.nat` are opaque, and thus different. The `add` function cannot consume the results of `mult`.

/ 45

Sharing constraints

Alternatively, one can use a post-hoc *sharing specification* to identify opaque types.

```
functor SQ(
  structure AddNat: sig structure Nat: sig type nat end
    val add:Nat.nat -> Nat.nat -> Nat.nat
  end
  structure MultNat: sig structure Nat: sig type nat end
    val mult:Nat.nat -> Nat.nat -> Nat.nat
  end
  sharing type MultNat.Nat.nat = AddNat.Nat.nat ) =
struct fun sumsquare n m
  = AddNat.add (MultNat.mult n n) (MultNat.mult m m) ✓
end
```

/ 47

Sharing specifications

The fix is to declare the type sharing directly at the specification of `MultNat.Nat.nat`, using a concrete, not opaque, specification:

```
functor SQ(
  structure AddNat:
    sig structure Nat: sig type nat end
      val add: Nat.nat -> Nat.nat -> Nat.nat
    end
  structure MultNat:
    sig structure Nat: sig type nat = AddNat.Nat.nat end
      val mult: Nat.nat -> Nat.nat -> Nat.nat
    end) =
struct fun sumsquare n m
  = AddNat.add (MultNat.mult n n) (MultNat.mult m m) ✓
end
```

/ 46

Limitations of modules

Modules is great for expressing programs with a complicated static architecture, but it's not perfect:

- ◆ Functors are *first-order*: unlike Core functions, a functor cannot be applied to, nor return, another functor.
- ◆ Structure and functors are *second-class* values, with very limited forms of computation (dot notation and functor application): modules cannot be constructed by algorithms or stored in data structures.
- ◆ Module definitions are *too sequential*: splitting mutually recursive types and values into separate modules is awkward.

/ 48