Complexity Theory 123

Savitch's Theorem - 2

The space efficient algorithm for reachability used on the configuration graph of a nondeterministic machine shows:

$$\mathsf{NSPACE}(f(n)) \subseteq \mathsf{SPACE}(f(n)^2)$$

for $f(n) \ge \log n$.

This yields

PSPACE = NPSPACE = co-NPSPACE.

Anuj Dawar May 21, 2008

Complexity Theory 125

Complexity Classes

We have established the following inclusions among complexity classes:

 $\mathsf{L}\subseteq\mathsf{NL}\subseteq\mathsf{P}\subseteq\mathsf{NP}\subseteq\mathsf{PSPACE}\subseteq\mathsf{EXP}$

Showing that a problem is NP-complete or PSPACE-complete, we often say that we have proved it intractable.

While this is not strictly correct, a proof of completeness for these classes does tell us that the problem is structurally difficult.

Similarly, we say that PSPACE-complete problems are harder than NP-complete ones, even if the running time is not higher.

Complexity Theory 124

Complementation

A still more clever algorithm for Reachability has been used to show that nondeterministic space classes are closed under complementation:

If $f(n) \geq \log n$, then

 $\mathsf{NSPACE}(f(n)) = \mathsf{co-NSPACE}(f(n))$

In particular

NL = co-NL.

Anuj Dawar

Complexity Theory 126

Provable Intractability

Our aim now is to show that there are languages (or, equivalently, decision problems) that we can prove are not in P.

This is done by showing that, for every *reasonable* function f, there is a language that is not in TIME(f(n)).

The proof is based on the diagonal method, as in the proof of the undecidability of the halting problem.

Anuj Dawar May 21, 2008 Anuj Dawar May 21, 2008

Constructible Functions

A complexity class such as $\mathsf{TIME}(f(n))$ can be very unnatural, if f(n) is.

We restrict our bounding functions f(n) to be proper functions:

Definition

A function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is *constructible* if:

- f is non-decreasing, i.e. $f(n+1) \ge f(n)$ for all n; and
- there is a deterministic machine M which, on any input of length n, replaces the input with the string $0^{f(n)}$, and M runs in time O(n + f(n)) and uses O(f(n)) work space.

Anuj Dawar

May 21, 2008

Complexity Theory

129

Using Constructible Functions

Recall $\mathsf{NTIME}(f(n))$ is defined as the class of those languages L accepted by a *nondeterministic* Turing machine M, such that for every $x \in L$, there is an accepting computation of M on x of length at most O(f(n)).

If f is a constructible function then any language in $\mathsf{NTIME}(f(n))$ is accepted by a machine for which all computations are of length at most O(f(n)).

Also, given a Turing machine M and a constructible function f, we can define a machine that simulates M for f(n) steps.

Examples

All of the following functions are constructible:

- $\lceil \log n \rceil$;
- n^2 ;
- \bullet n;
- \bullet 2^n .

If f and g are constructible functions, then so are f+g, $f\cdot g$, 2^f and f(g) (this last, provided that f(n)>n).

Anuj Dawar

May 21, 2008

Complexity Theory

130

Inclusions

The inclusions we proved between complexity classes:

- $\mathsf{NTIME}(f(n)) \subseteq \mathsf{SPACE}(f(n));$
- $\mathsf{NSPACE}(f(n)) \subseteq \mathsf{TIME}(k^{\log n + f(n)});$
- $\mathsf{NSPACE}(f(n)) \subseteq \mathsf{SPACE}(f(n)^2)$

really only work for constructible functions f.

The inclusions are established by showing that a deterministic machine can simulate a nondeterministic machine M for f(n) steps.

For this, we have to be able to compute f within the required bounds.

Anuj Dawar

May 21, 2008

Anuj Dawar

May 21, 2008

Complexity Theory

131

Complexity Theory

132

Time Hierarchy Theorem

For any constructible function f, with $f(n) \ge n$, define the f-bounded halting language to be:

$$H_f = \{ [M], x \mid M \text{ accepts } x \text{ in } f(|x|) \text{ steps} \}$$

where [M] is a description of M in some fixed encoding scheme.

Then, we can show

$$H_f \in \mathsf{TIME}(f(n)^3) \text{ and } H_f \not\in \mathsf{TIME}(f(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor))$$

Time Hierarchy Theorem

For any constructible function $f(n) \ge n$, TIME(f(n)) is properly contained in TIME $(f(2n+1)^3)$.

Anuj Dawar

May 21, 2008

Complexity Theory

133

Consequences

- For each k, TIME $(n^k) \neq \text{TIME}(n^{k+1})$.
- P ≠ EXP.
- L ≠ PSPACE.
- Any language that is EXP-complete is not in P.
- There are no problems in P that are complete under linear time reductions.

Strong Hierarchy Theorems

For any constructible function $f(n) \ge n$, TIME(f(n)) is properly contained in TIME $(f(n)(\log f(n)))$.

Space Hierarchy Theorem

For any pair of constructible functions f and g, with f = O(g) and $g \neq O(f)$, there is a language in $\mathsf{SPACE}(g(n))$ that is not in $\mathsf{SPACE}(f(n))$.

Similar results can be established for nondeterministic time and space classes.

Anuj Dawar

May 21, 2008

Complexity Theory

134

P-complete Problems

It makes little sense to talk of complete problems for the class P with respect to polynomial time reducibility \leq_P .

There are problems that are complete for P with respect to logarithmic space reductions \leq_L .

One example is CVP—the circuit value problem.

- If $CVP \in L$ then L = P.
- If $CVP \in NL$ then NL = P.

Anuj Dawar

May 21, 2008

Anuj Dawar

May 21, 2008