

Types of switching elements

- Telephone switches
 - switch samples
- Datagram routers
- switch datagrams
- ATM switches
 - switch ATM cells

What is it all about?

- How do we move traffic from one part of the network to another?
- Connect end-systems to switches, and switches to each other
- Data arriving to an input port of a switch have to be moved to one or more of the output ports



Classification

- Packet vs. circuit switches
 - packets have headers and samples don't
- Connectionless vs. connection oriented
 - connection oriented switches need a call setup
 - setup is handled in control plane by switch controller
 - connectionless switches deal with self-contained datagrams

	Connectionless (router)	Connection-oriented (switching system)
Packet switch	Internet router	ATM switching system
Circuit switch		Telephone switching system



- Participate in routing algorithms
 - to build routing tables
- Resolve contention for output trunks

scheduling

- Admission control
 - to guarantee resources to certain streams
- We'll discuss these later
- Here we focus on pure data movement

Requirements

- Capacity of switch is the maximum rate at which it can move information, assuming all data paths are simultaneously active
- Primary goal: maximize capacity
 - subject to cost and reliability constraints
- Circuit switch must reject call if can't find a path for samples from input to output
 - goal: minimize call blocking
- Packet switch must reject a packet if it can't find a buffer to store it awaiting access to output trunk
 - goal: minimize packet loss
- Don't reorder packets





Circuit switching

- Moving 8-bit samples from an input port to an output port
- Recall that samples have no headers
- Destination of sample depends on *time* at which it arrives at the switch
 - actually, relative order within a frame
- We'll first study something simpler than a switch: a multiplexor

Multiplexors and demultiplexors

- Most trunks time division multiplex voice samples
- At a central office, trunk is demultiplexed and distributed to active circuits
- Synchronous multiplexor
 - N input lines
 - Output runs N times as fast as input



More on multiplexing

- Demultiplexor
 - one input line and N outputs that run N times slower
 - samples are placed in output buffer in round robin order
- Neither multiplexor nor demultiplexor needs addressing information (why?)
- Can cascade multiplexors
 - need a standard
 - example: DS hierarchy in the US and Japan

Inverse multiplexing

- Takes a high bit-rate stream and scatters it across multiple trunks
- At the other end, combines multiple streams
 - resequencing to accommodate variation in delays
- Allows high-speed virtual links using existing technology

A circuit switch

- A switch that can handle N calls has N logical inputs and N logical outputs
 - N up to 200,000
- In practice, input trunks are multiplexed
 - example: DS3 trunk carries 672 simultaneous calls
- Multiplexed trunks carry frames = set of samples
- Goal: extract samples from frame, and depending on position in frame, switch to output
 - each incoming sample has to get to the right output line and the right slot in the output frame
 - demultiplex, switch, multiplex

Call blocking

- Can't find a path from input to output
- Internal blocking
 - slot in output frame exists, but no path
- Output blocking
 - no slot in output frame is available
- Output blocking is reduced in *transit* switches
 - need to put a sample in one of several slots going to the desired next hop

Time division switching

- Key idea: when demultiplexing, position in frame determines output trunk
- Time division switching interchanges sample position within a frame: time slot interchange (TSI)



How large a TSI can we build?

- Limit is time taken to read and write to memory
- For 120,000 circuits
 - need to read and write memory once every 125 microseconds
 - each operation takes around 0.5 ns => impossible with current technology
- Need to look to other techniques



Crossbar

- Simplest possible space-division switch
- Crosspoints can be turned on or off
- For multiplexed inputs, need a switching *schedule* (why?)
- Internally nonblocking
 - but need N² crosspoints
 - time taken to set each crosspoint grows quadratically
 - vulnerable to single faults (why?)



Multistage crossbar

- In a crossbar during each switching time only one crosspoint per row or column is active
- Can save crosspoints if a crosspoint can attach to more than one input line (why?)
- This is done in a multistage crossbar
- Need to rearrange connections every switching time



Multistage crossbar

- Can suffer internal blocking
 - unless sufficient number of second-level stages
- Number of crosspoints < N²
- Finding a path from input to output requires a depth-first-search
- Scales better than crossbar, but still not too well
 - 120,000 call switch needs ~250 million crosspoints



Outline

- Circuit switching
- Packet switching
 - Switch generations
 - Switch fabrics
 - Buffer placement
 - Multicast switches



Packet switching

- In a circuit switch, path of a sample is determined at time of connection establishment
- No need for a sample header--position in frame is enough
- In a packet switch, packets carry a destination field
- Need to look up destination port on-the-fly
- Datagram
 - lookup based on entire destination address
- Cell
 - Iookup based on VCI
- Other than that, very similar

Repeaters, bridges, routers, and gateways

- Repeaters: at physical level
- Bridges: at datalink level (based on MAC addresses) (L2)
 - discover attached stations by listening
- Routers: at network level (L3)
 - participate in routing protocols
- Application level gateways: at application level (L7)
 - treat entire network as a single hop
 - e.g mail gateways and transcoders
- Gain functionality at the expense of forwarding speed
 - for best performance, push functionality as low as possible

Port mappers

- Look up output port based on destination address
- Easy for VCI: just use a table
- Harder for datagrams:
 - need to find *longest prefix match*
 - e.g. packet with address 128.32.1.20
 - ☞ entries: (128.32.*, 3), (128.32.1.*, 4), (128.32.1.20, 2)
- A standard solution: trie





Dealing with blocking

Overprovisioning

- internal links much faster than inputs
- Buffers
 - at input or output
- Backpressure
 - if switch fabric doesn't have buffers, prevent packet from entering until path is available
- Parallel switch fabrics
 - increases effective switching capacity

Outline

- Circuit switching
- Packet switching
 - Switch generations
 - Switch fabrics
- Buffer placement
- Multicast switches

Three generations of packet switches

- Different trade-offs between cost and performance
- Represent evolution in switching capacity, rather than in technology
 - With same technology, a later generation switch achieves greater capacity, but at greater cost
- All three generations are represented in current products

First generation switch



- Most Ethernet switches and cheap packet routers
- Bottleneck can be CPU, host-adaptor or I/O bus, depending



Amortized interrupt cost balanced by routing protocol cost



<text><list-item>

Third generation (contd.)

- Features
 - self-routing fabric
 - output buffer is a point of contention
 - ☞ unless we arbitrate access to fabric
 - potential for unlimited scaling, as long as we can resolve contention for output buffer

Outline

- Circuit switching
- Packet switching
 - Switch generations
 - Switch fabrics
 - Buffer placement
 - Multicast switches

Switch fabrics

- Transfer data from input to output, ignoring scheduling and buffering
- Usually consist of links and switching elements

Crossbar

- Simplest switch fabric
 - think of it as 2N buses in parallel
- Used here for *packet* routing: crosspoint is left open long enough to transfer a packet from an input to an output
- For fixed-size packets and known arrival pattern, can compute schedule in advance
- Otherwise, need to compute a schedule on-the-fly (what does the schedule depend on?)

Buffered crossbar

- What happens if packets at two inputs both want to go to same output?
- Can defer one at an input buffer
- Or, buffer crosspoints







Features of fabrics built with switching elements

- NxN switch with bxb elements has $\lceil \log_b N \rceil$ elements with $\lfloor N \rangle$ elements per stage
- Fabric is self routing
- Recursive
- Can be synchronous or asynchronous
- Regular and suitable for VLSI implementation



Blocking

- Can avoid with a buffered banyan switch
 - but this is too expensive
 - hard to achieve zero loss even with buffers
- Instead, can check if path is available before sending packet
 - three-phase scheme
 - send requests
 - inform winners
 - send packets
- Or, use several banyan fabrics in parallel
 - intentionally misroute and tag one of a colliding pair
 - divert tagged packets to a second banyan, and so on to k stages
 - expensive
 - can reorder packets
 - output buffers have to run k times faster than input

Sorting

- Can avoid blocking by choosing order in which packets appear at input ports
- If we can
 - present packets at inputs sorted by output
 - remove duplicates
 - remove gaps
 - precede banyan with a perfect shuffle stage
 - then no internal blocking
- For example, [X, 010, 010, X, 011, X, X, X] -(sort)-> [010, 011, 011, X, X, X, X, X] -(remove dups)-> [010, 011, X, X, X, X, X, X] -(shuffle)-> [010, X, 011, X, X, X, X, X]
- Need sort, shuffle, and trap networks

Sorting

- Build sorters from merge networks
- Assume we can merge two sorted lists
- Sort pairwise, merge, recurse





Effect of packet size on switching fabrics

- A major motivation for small fixed packet size in ATM is ease of building large parallel fabrics
- In general, smaller size => more per-packet overhead, but more preemption points/sec
 - At high speeds, overhead dominates!
- Fixed size packets helps build synchronous switch
 - But we could fragment at entry and reassemble at exit
 - Or build an asynchronous fabric
 - Thus, variable size doesn't hurt too much
- Maybe Internet routers can be almost as cost-effective as ATM switches

Outline

- Circuit switching
- Packet switching
 - Switch generations
 - Switch fabrics
 - Buffer placement
 - Multicast switches

Buffering

- All packet switches need buffers to match input rate to service rate
 - or cause heavy packet loses
- Where should we place buffers?
 - input
 - in the fabric
 - output
 - shared











Buffered fabric

- Buffers in each switch element
- Pros
 - Speed up is only as much as fan-in
 - Hardware backpressure reduces buffer requirements
- Cons
 - costly (unless using single-chip switches)
 - scheduling is hard

Hybrid solutions

- Buffers at more than one point
- Becomes hard to analyze and manage
- But common in practice

Outline

- Circuit switching
- Packet switching
 - Switch generations
 - Switch fabrics
 - Buffer placement
 - Multicast switches

Multicasting

- Useful to do this in hardware
- Assume portmapper knows list of outputs
- Incoming packet must be copied to these output ports
- Two subproblems
 - generating and distributing copes
 - VCI translation for the copies

Generating and distributing copies

- Either implicit or explicit
- Implicit
 - suitable for bus-based, ring-based, crossbar, or broadcast switches
 - multiple outputs enabled after placing packet on shared bus
 - used in Paris and Datapath switches
- Explicit
 - need to copy a packet at switch elements
 - use a copy network
 - place # of copies in tag
 - element copies to both outputs and decrements count on one of them
 - collect copies at outputs
- Both schemes increase blocking probability

Header translation

- Normally, in-VCI to out-VCI translation can be done either at input or output
- With multicasting, translation easier at output port (why?)
- Use separate port mapping and translation tables
- Input maps a VCI to a set of output ports
- Output port swaps VCI
- Need to do two lookups per packet