Complexity Theory

23

Complexity Theory

24

Decidability and Complexity

For every decidable language L, there is a computable function f such that

 $L \in \mathsf{TIME}(f(n))$

If L is a semi-decidable (but not decidable) language accepted by M, then there is no computable function f such that every accepting computation of M, on input of length n is of length at most f(n).

Anuj Dawar

May 2, 2007

Complexity Theory

25

Polynomial Bounds

By making the bounds broad enough, we can make our definitions fairly independent of the model of computation.

The collection of languages recognised in *polynomial time* is the same whether we consider Turing machines, register machines, or any other deterministic model of computation.

The collection of languages recognised in *linear time*, on the other hand, is different on a one-tape and a two-tape Turing machine.

We can say that being recognisable in polynomial time is a property of the language, while being recognisable in linear time is sensitive to the model of computation.

Complexity Classes

A complexity class is a collection of languages determined by three things:

- A model of computation (such as a deterministic Turing machine, or a nondeterministic TM, or a parallel Random Access Machine).
- A resource (such as time, space or number of processors).
- A set of bounds. This is a set of functions that are used to bound the amount of resource we can use.

Anuj Dawar

May 2, 2007

Complexity Theory

26

Polynomial Time

$$\mathsf{P} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathsf{TIME}(n^k)$$

The class of languages decidable in polynomial time.

The complexity class P plays an important role in our theory.

- It is robust, as explained.
- It serves as our formal definition of what is *feasibly computable*

One could argue whether an algorithm running in time $\theta(n^{100})$ is feasible, but it will eventually run faster than one that takes time $\theta(2^n)$.

Making the distinction between polynomial and exponential results in a useful and elegant theory.

Anuj Dawar

May 2, 2007

Anuj Dawar

May 2, 2007

Example: Reachability

The Reachability decision problem is, given a *directed* graph G = (V, E) and two nodes $a, b \in V$, to determine whether there is a path from a to b in G.

A simple search algorithm as follows solves it:

- 1. mark node a, leaving other nodes unmarked, and initialise set S to $\{a\}$;
- 2. while S is not empty, choose node i in S: remove i from S and for all j such that there is an edge (i, j) and j is unmarked, mark j and add j to S;
- 3. if b is marked, accept else reject.

Anuj Dawar

May 2, 2007

Complexity Theory

29

Example: Euclid's Algorithm

Consider the decision problem (or *language*) RelPrime defined by:

$$\{(x,y) \mid \gcd(x,y) = 1\}$$

The standard algorithm for solving it is due to Euclid:

- 1. Input (x, y).
- 2. Repeat until y = 0: $x \leftarrow x \mod y$; Swap x and y
- 3. If x = 1 then accept else reject.

Analysis

This algorithm requires $O(n^2)$ time and O(n) space.

The description of the algorithm would have to be refined for an implementation on a Turing machine, but it is easy enough to show that:

 $\mathsf{Reachability} \in \mathsf{P}$

To formally define Reachability as a language, we would have to also choose a way of representing the input (V, E, a, b) as a string.

Anuj Dawar

May 2, 2007

Complexity Theory

30

Analysis

The number of repetitions at step 2 of the algorithm is at most $O(\log x)$.

why?

May 2, 2007

This implies that RelPrime is in P.

If the algorithm took $\theta(x)$ steps to terminate, it would not be a polynomial time algorithm, as x is not polynomial in the *length* of the input.

Anuj Dawar May 2, 2007 Anuj Dawar

Primality

Consider the decision problem (or *language*) Prime defined by:

 $\{x \mid x \text{ is prime}\}$

The obvious algorithm:

For all y with $1 < y \le \sqrt{x}$ check whether y|x.

requires $\Omega(\sqrt{x})$ steps and is therefore *not* polynomial in the length of the input.

Is $Prime \in P$?

Anuj Dawar

May 2, 2007

Complexity Theory

33

Evaluation

If an expression contains no variables, then it can be evaluated to either true or false.

Otherwise, it can be evaluated, *given* a truth assignment to its variables.

Examples:

$$\begin{array}{l} (\texttt{true} \lor \texttt{false}) \land (\neg \texttt{false}) \\ (x_1 \lor \texttt{false}) \land ((\neg x_1) \lor x_2) \\ (x_1 \lor \texttt{false}) \land (\neg x_1) \\ (x_1 \lor (\neg x_1)) \land \texttt{true} \end{array}$$

Boolean Expressions

Boolean expressions are built up from an infinite set of variables

$$X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots\}$$

and the two constants true and false by the rules:

- a constant or variable by itself is an expression;
- if ϕ is a Boolean expression, then so is $(\neg \phi)$;
- if ϕ and ψ are both Boolean expressions, then so are $(\phi \wedge \psi)$ and $(\phi \vee \psi)$.

Anuj Dawar

May 2, 2007

Complexity Theory

34

Boolean Evaluation

There is a deterministic Turing machine, which given a Boolean expression *without variables* of length n will determine, in time $O(n^2)$ whether the expression evaluates to true.

The algorithm works by scanning the input, rewriting formulas according to the following rules:

Anuj Dawar

May 2, 2007

Anuj Dawar

May 2, 2007

Rules

- $(\mathsf{true} \lor \phi) \Rightarrow \mathsf{true}$
- $(\phi \lor \mathsf{true}) \Rightarrow \mathsf{true}$
- (false $\lor \phi$) $\Rightarrow \phi$
- $(false \land \phi) \Rightarrow false$
- $(\phi \land \mathtt{false}) \Rightarrow \mathtt{false}$
- (true $\wedge \phi$) $\Rightarrow \phi$
- $(\neg true) \Rightarrow false$
- $(\neg false) \Rightarrow true$

Anuj Dawar

May 2, 2007

May 2, 2007

Complexity Theory

37

Satisfiability

For Boolean expressions ϕ that contain variables, we can ask

Is there an assignment of truth values to the variables which would make the formula evaluate to true?

The set of Boolean expressions for which this is true is the language SAT of satisfiable expressions.

This can be decided by a deterministic Turing machine in time $O(n^2 2^n)$.

An expression of length n can contain at most n variables.

For each of the 2^n possible truth assignments to these variables, we check whether it results in a Boolean expression that evaluates to true.

Is $SAT \in P$?

Anuj Dawar

Analysis

Each scan of the input (O(n)) steps) must find at least one subexpression matching one of the rule patterns.

Applying a rule always eliminates at least one symbol from the formula.

Thus, there are at most O(n) scans required.

The algorithm works in $O(n^2)$ steps.

Anuj Dawar

May 2, 2007