DATOM: A Proposal for an Alternative Storage System API



Calicrates Policroniades and Ian Pratt

Computer Laboratory, SRG

University of Cambridge

http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk

{cbp25, iap10}@cl.cam.ac.uk

Outline

Introduction

- The research problem and motivation: manipulation of structure and type.

The Storage System API

Persistent Data Abstractions.

Model of Persistence

Implementation

High-level architecture and underlying mechanisms.

Evaluation

Future Work and Conclusions

Introduction

The Research Problem

Do current storage technologies provide adequate support to manipulate data rich in structure and type?

File Systems (FS): Flat storage space and an API to operate on arrays of bytes [Daley and Neumann, 1965; Sandberg, 1986; Nagar, 1997].

Relational Databases: Tabular data representation, query capabilities, ACID transactional support [Codd, 1970].

Object Oriented DB: Well-known relational databases capabilities (query and transactions) + object oriented data model [Atkinson et al., 1989; Stonebraker et al., 1990].

Persistent Programming Languages (PPLs): Merge the programming language and the data store into one system at runtime [Dearle, 1989; Atkinson, 1995].

Programmers benefit with higher levels of abstraction: File Systems vs. PPLs.

What's the problem with current storage systems APIs?

Unbalanced trade-off between I/O efficiency and programmability in FS [Gribble et al., 2000: MacCormick et al., 2004: OLE]:

→ Considerable amount of data rich in type and structure (MPEG, PDF, HTML, XML JAR, TAR, soffice, etc.) or amenable to structural decomposition.

→Lack of ability to manipulate any abstraction: *tedious and prone to errors!*

If applications are migrated to other storage technologies:

★ <u>Addition of overheads</u>: Intermediate language to access data (SQL, OQL, XQuery), transactional frameworks (ACID and long transactions), or complex data models.

★ Loss of interoperability: Orthogonal persistence confines type support to a specific language compiler and adoption of a programming model.

Mismatch with applications' functional requirements: Data-centric approach, well-defined access patterns with varying recoverability and consistency requirements.

Introduction

Our Proposal

✤ Depart from the flat file paradigm → more abstract data representation.

 Creation of an efficient yet general storage system API for application data rich in structure and type.

- → Retain a reasonable amount of structure and expose persistent data type.
- → Based on semantically rich and general abstractions.
- → Common use in applications code: Map, List, Matrix, Queue, and Stack.

Potential for impact:

✓ <u>Less effort to develop</u> persistence code: augment the level of abstraction and software quality.

✓ Advanced *data access strategies*: data prefetching, concurrency, and data sharing.

✓ Assertive <u>hints</u> to persistent data access patterns.

The Storage System API

Persistent Data Abstractions

- Composite Entities: Aggregation of application-specific data Elements.
 - → Choose the right interface according to data access requirements.

➔ Popular programming abstractions: expressive power, predefined semantics, potential to be implemented efficiently.

- + Map: Store elements associated with a key.
- + List: Collection of items in which certain order has to be preserved.
- + Matrix: Bidirectional access to collections of items.
- + Queue: Collection of items with FIFO access semantics.
- + Stack: Collection of items with LIFO access semantics.
- *Elements*: Information-hiding items [Keedy and Richards, 1982].
 - → Defined using Datom Data Language (DDL).
 - ➔ Application-specific semantics and types.
 - → Final data containers: they do not reference to other data items.

Data Model

Example: Breaking File Data into Discernible Items

Composite Entity: Map



Data Model



Implementation



Model of Persistence

- Reachability AND Type: Smooth and complete control on data transferred to disk.
 Any CE can be used as a root of persistence.
 - ➔ Any CE can be used as a root of persistence.
 - → The system restricts by type the addition of *Elements* into the graph of persistence.

Updates are invoked from the roots of persistence: CE.update();

- → Traversal of persistence graph: promotion of new items, and update of mutated items.
- → Persistent items exist in apps' memory space until promoted to persistence.



Persistent Data Life Cycle





Porting applications on top of the Datom API: Bibkeeper.

- Application recovered file data to a graph of persistent objects.
- Remove parsing and serialization libraries.
- Made the code self-explaining.
- Potential avoidance of redundant data transmission to disk.
- Application size reduced.

// Connecting to the store

```
StoreConn myStore = new StoreConn(cfgObj);
```

// Getting a root map

```
RootDatomMap myRefs = myStore.open("bibtexRefs");
```

// Getting an application data Element Reference ref = (Reference) myRefs.get("gray:1998");

// In-memory updates

```
ref.setTitle("Transaction Processing: Concepts and Techniques");
    ref.setYear(1998);
```

// Pushing changes to stable storage as an atomic operation
myRefs.save();

Results

Bibkeeper: The graph of persistence.

- 1) Map, List, and different types of Elements.
- 2) Changes in the morphology of the application.





Bibkeeper: Source code measurements.

- PCMT tool [Gri97].
- It collects metrics related with lines of code and classes that contain persistent code.
- Parsing and tracking lines of code as productions rather than textual text.

Version	LoCs	PLoCs	# Classes	# Persistent Classes
File-based	6002	208	81	15
Datom-based	5570	469	76	40

• Key findings.

- Reduction in size: code (432) and classes (5).
- PLoCs and # of persistent classes increased: Explicit tracking of persistent objects.
- Breakdown per class shows: programs either modify persistent abstractions all over source files; or show high locality => directly related to density of PLoCs.

Results – CDC Framework

Cognitive Dimensions Framework.

→ Usability aspects of the API contrasted with cognitive demands of different programming styles: Opportunistic, Pragmatic, and Systematic.

→12 dimensions evaluated through:

→ Task analysis: Typical use scenarios.

 \rightarrow Code snippets for each main task.

-	
1.	Recover a root of persistence.
2.	Setup a graph of persistence
3.	Add an Element to the graph of persistence.
4.	Update an Element.
5.	Read data from an Element.
6.	Delete an Element.
7.	Remove a Composite Entity.
8.	Apply atomic updates.
9.	Modify the morphology of the graph of persistence.
10.	Query the graph of persistence.
11.	Navigate and update the graph of persistence.

Results – CDC Framework



Results – Performance

Datasets: 36,000 Elements of type Data (int, int, int, double, String, Data).

Read barrier: Persistent item faulting.

- Selective retrieval: Map, List, and Matrix.
 - Run: 25 iterations, 9000 Elements per iteration. Simple read procedure.
 Measures cache warming.
- One-way retrieval: Stack, and Queue.
 - Run: 8 iterations, 1000(n) Elements per iteration, Simple read procedure.

Write barrier: Detecting and logging updates.

Similar access strategies but and update procedure is applied on fetched Elements.

Results – Read barrier



Read barrier: Persistent item faulting.

- Selective retrieval: Warming of caches.
- One-way retrieval: Constant increase of time.

Results – Write barrier



• Write barrier: Detecting and logging updates. Selective retrieval.

- Caches warming effects.
- Checkpoint independent of cache state.

Results – Write barrier



Write barrier: Detecting and logging updates. One way retrieval.

Constant times according with the density of updates.

Future Work and Conclusions

Work to be done:

- → Developers' feedback. <u>http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/~cbp25/datom/apidocs/</u>
- → Porting more applications.
- →Ad-hoc storage layer to exploit abstractions.
- → Partial checkpoints??

Conclusions:

→ DATOM: A storage system whose API captures a judicious degree of structure and data type.

- → Applications' persistent code can be simplified and developers' job eased.
 - Management of persistent data layouts and provision of data integrity services.
 - Sophisticated data access strategies based on applications' persistent data semantics: key, position, type, or content.
 - Fine-grained data manipulation to enable data sharing and concurrency.

The End

Questions?

ACID transaction for applications that need them and use more relaxed access semantics.

Reachability of a set of well-known elements.

Read and update barriers related to granularity of the objects, Elements as collections of small data items.

- Learning curve for programmers vs. DB models.
- A model to reason about.

Introduction

Introduction