Post-doc Forum Meeting
3 July 2017, 12 noon, FW11

Agenda

Membership
David Chisnall
Claire Chapman (Secretary)
Daniel Bates
Matt Danish
Salvator Galea
Alice Hutchings
Stephen Kell (Chair)
Andrea Kells
Ekaterina Kochmar
Pietro Lio’ (Researcher Developer Co-ordinator for 2016-17)
Marwa Mahmoud
Laura Rimell
Peter Robinson
Caroline Stewart
Zohreh Shams
Noa Zilberman

1. Apologies
Marwa Mahmoud, Ekaterina Kochmar and Pietro Lio’

2. Welcome to New Members
Matt Danish, Zohreh Shams and Peter Robinson

3. Minutes of last minutes
The minutes from the last meeting held on 14 November 2016 are attached (2017-07-03)

4. Report on actions from last meeting
   i. Mentoring Scheme update of mentors - Caroline Stewart
   ii. Anonymous case studies of RAs update - Claire Chapman
   iii. Research Programmer post update (2017-07-3iii) – Caroline Stewart
   iv. Probation info re-sent to PIs who employ staff - Caroline Stewart
   v. Research grant emails, circulation to RAs - Caroline Stewart
   vi. Travel Funds for RAs update - Andrea Kells
vii. Departmental policy on salary differential for SRAs applying for fellowships
   - PdOC advice on whether this occurs in other Depts - Alice Hutchings
   - How other Depts resolve issue - Andrea Kells and Caroline Stewart
   - School of Technology view - Caroline Stewart

5. **Suggestion from Pietro for all lab RAs to submit feedback to the Forum**
   - Caroline Stewart

6. **Researcher Developer Co-ordinator for 2017-18**

7. **Next Social Event**

8. **Any other business**

9. **Date of next meeting**
   To be held in Michaelmas Term
Minutes of the meeting of the Post-Doc Forum held at 12noon on Monday 14 November 2016, in Room GC22, William Gates Building

**Present:**  David Chisnall (Chair)  
Claire Chapman (Secretary)  
Daniel Bates  
Salvator Galea  
Alice Hutchings  
Andrea Kells  
Pietro Lio’  
Laura Rimell  
Caroline Stewart  
Noa Zilberman

1. **Apologies**  
Stephen Kell  
Ekaterina Kochmar  
Marwa Mahmoud

2. **Minutes of last minutes**  
The minutes from the last meeting held on Monday 9 May 2016 were approved.

3. **Report on actions from last meeting**

   i. **Social Tea – plea for new mentors to be sent out with invitation to tea**  
   Two emails have been circulated to lab-ras and lab-sras with an invitation to attend the social tea on Thursday 17 November.

   ii. **Mentoring Scheme call out for volunteers update**  
   13 mentors were assigned mentees. However, 2 mentors have now left the Department and the number has decreased to 11. It was noted that current post docs have not been sent the mentor form to complete. CS will ask Joanne McNeely to circulate it. Discussion took place that a more emphatic approach of assigning mentors to new starters should be taken. The consensus view was that all new researchers should automatically be assigned a mentor prior to arrival, with an opt out option if requested. Mentees are usually assigned a mentor for 6 months.

   Action: Caroline Stewart

   iii. **Cambridge University Technical Services – providing info in induction guidelines**  
   Addendum: Information on CUTS has already been provided in the Induction
iv. **Anonymous case studies of RAs – potential questions to ask**
It was agreed to ask other members of the Forum to complete the questions CS had drafted and to feedback any comments.

It was questioned whether applicants can apply to be a Research Associate without a PhD. It was reported that it is not the normal route and each application would need to be reviewed centrally by Human Resources.

**Action: Claire Chapman**

A proposal was put forward to create an equivalent post to what commercial research organisations call a Research Programmer, which would be a career progression path for postdocs that didn’t want to move towards research leadership, but did want to remain in research. This would then be available for projects that involved large amounts of engineering work.

It was agreed that each research group who shared this resource would need to partly fund the post by costing this in their initial grant application. It was agreed to ask the HoD or other senior members of the Lab for their view. If appropriate this could be discussed at Faculty Board. It was also noted that the minutes of these meetings should go to Faculty Board.

**Action: Caroline Stewart**

v. **Probation Meeting – identify ways to improve the process**
Further work still needs to be done. However, more emphasis has been made on the importance of new starters having regular probation meetings and for this to be a two way process. Information will be re-sent to PIs who employ staff.

**Action: Caroline Stewart**

vi. **Committee Membership – new members to represent each research group**
Marwa Mahmoud from the Rainbow Group has been recruited. Further post docs still need to be found to represent AI and the DTG. PL agreed to think about an AI rep.

**Action: Pietro Lio’ and David Chisnall**

vii. **Committee Leadership and Strategy Development Training**
As this was workshop based, nothing to report.

viii. **Departmental Postdoc Committee Chairs Network**
AH gave a report. The following post docs needs have been addressed:

- The Steering Group is now overseen by the Post Doc Matters Committee.
• Continued access to RDP (Researcher Development Programme).
• Two new Researcher Development consultants for post docs have been appointed.
• A mentoring pilot scheme is in process.
• A mandatory introduction session is now held for all new post docs (note; concerns about the availability of the sessions was raised).
• A College affiliation funding scheme has been launched.
• A new post doc centre has opened at the Cambridge Biomedical Campus.
• There will be a Post doc Centre in Mill Lane until 2019
• Travel subsistence to attend conferences was discussed.

It was suggested that it would be helpful to provide a bespoke course for post-docs on leadership, running a research group and writing grant applications. It was agreed that an event away from Cambridge would be the most beneficial. ARK reported that it was unlikely the Lab would be able to fund such an event but sponsors could be sought, giving them the opportunity to speak at the event. It was agreed to gain post doc views for their views and discuss suggestions for speakers at the social tea. NZ felt there should be some caution regarding the speakers. It would be important that this didn’t turn into a recruitment event, being taken over by the speaker’s company.

It was reported that Simon Moore had circulated an email on research grants to SRAs but when asked to circulate it to RAs had declined and said it was only relevant for SRAs. It was decided it would still be helpful for RAs to have the opportunity to attend. CS will ask Simon Moore if this would be possible.

Action: Caroline Stewart

ix. Travel Funds for RAs update
The Industrial Supporters Club fund used to support research students travel has overspent. Given this, it seemed unlikely that there would be the opportunity to support post-docs from this fund but this could be re-looked at.

Discussion took place on how much scrutiny is given on grant application for travel requests. It was reported that the conferences which are costed in applications are not usually specified in great detail.

Action: Andrea Kells

4. Departmental policy on salary differential for SRAs applying for fellowships
It was reported that for SRAs who apply for Research Fellowships, such as Royal Society, RAEng etc. are having difficulty in applying because their starting salary falls above the funded amount (more apparent if they have had increments). HR have agreed to resolve this by allowing an SRA to resign from their current post, should a Fellowship application be successful, however this would result in a pay cut. There was a feeling that this was not satisfactory and the question whether the University, School or Department would agree to top up Fellowships was raised. It was felt that the University should be encouraging SRAs to apply for
fellowships so that they can set up their own research group.

CS reiterated that the Department has considered this and will not be able to fund the difference in salary. The Department also had concerns that any matching funds would mean the Department would need to evaluate and rank applications which it did not feel was appropriate. However, any PI could use their own funds to make any necessary top ups. AH agreed to contact PdOC to discover if this occurs in other Departments. CS and ARK will contact other Departments to ask how/if they resolve this issue. CS would also speak to the School of Technology office.

Action: Alice Hutchings/Caroline Stewart/Andrea Kells

5. Any other business
   Nothing to report.

6. Date of next meeting
   To be held in Lent Term
UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE
FACULTY OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

Minutes of the 65th meeting of the Faculty Board held at 14.15 on Tuesday 29 November 2016 in the Board Room (FW11), Computer Laboratory, William Gates Building, JJ Thomson Avenue.

Present:  Professor P Hewett (Chair)  Professor I M Leslie
Professor A Blackwell  Dr A Moore
Dr P Brooks  Professor S W Moore
Dr D Chisnall  Mrs D Pounds
Dr D Greaves  Professor R Prager
Dr A Jones  Mr T Read-Cutting
Professor A Hopper  Professor P Robinson
Professor S Hochgreb  Mr L Smith
Mr L Hulstaert  Mrs C A Stewart (Secretary)

UNRESERVED BUSINESS

19.  Post Doc Forum (un-starred)
The minutes of the meeting of the Post Doc Forum held on 14 November 2016 were approved. Dr Chisnall drew attention to minute 3.iv “Proposal to create a Research Programmer post”. It was generally agreed that this would be a useful asset for research groups, but the Head of Department stated that funds for any such post would need to come from soft money. Professor Moore agreed to investigate the possibility of including computer officer type roles on research grant applications.