# 2<sup>nd</sup> Workshop HCI for Medicine and Healthcare (HCI4MED):

# **Evaluation of Information Technology in Healthcare**

Andreas	Harold	Russell	Carsten	Martina	Jan
Holzinger	Thimbleby	Beale	Röcker	Ziefle	Borchers
Medical	Swansea	University of	RWTH Aachen	RWTH Aachen	RWTH Aachen
University Graz	University	Birmingham	University	University	University
Auenbrugger-	Singleton Park	Edgbaston	Human	Human	The Media
platz 2/V	Swansea	Birmingham	Technology	Technology	Computing
A-8036 Graz	SA2 8PP	B15 2TT	Centre	Centre	Group
+43 316 385	+44 179	+44 121 414	+49 241	+49 241	+49 241 80
3883	2295393	3729	8025508	8025508	21050
a.holzinger@ hci4all.at	h.thimbleby@ swansea.ac.uk	r.beale@ cs.bham.ac.uk	roecker@ humtec.rwth- aachen.de	ziefle@ humtec.rwth -aachen.de	jan@rwth- aachen.de

### ABSTRACT

Medicine and Healthcare are currently subject to exceedingly rapid technological change. Information technology (IT) is continually penetrating into and driving this healthcare. Following the 1st Workshop HCI4MED at HCI 2008 in Liverpool [8], in 2009 we focus on one extremely important topic: Evaluation of IT in Healthcare. Following a case-based approach, we will discuss and review diverse methods of evaluation. Researchers from different disciplines will work on the interrelation of medical, technological, psychological and social factors and their consequences for the design, development, use and acceptance of smart IT in healthcare. The participants of this workshop will gain insight into whether and to what extent, different healthcare applications have the potential to contribute towards enhancing the *performance* on three levels: patients/caregivers; clinicians; hospital managers. Moreover, it is of vital importance that evaluation results are integrated into engineering at a systemic level in order to impact future IT: as well as understanding the issues that stakeholders can and do encounter, we need to ensure that effective solutions are engineered. It is particularly important for Medical Information Systems to be designed with the appropriate awareness of the diversity of the end users.

#### **Categories and Subject Descriptors**

H.5, D.2, J.3, J.4, K.4

#### **General Terms**

Design, Human Factors, Measurement

#### Keywords

Evaluation, Usability, Methods, Assessment, Performance, Acceptance, Medicine, Health Care, IT

#### 1. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

The increasing functionality and performance of IT is resulting in a mass of information [1], [4]; however, human perception and cognition does not advance at the same speed [10], consequently the quality of information in healthcare is of increasing importance [6]. The daily actions of medical professionals is a central concern, supporting them with new and emerging technologies, but patients and their clinically untrained caregivers - and also untrained users of IT are a growing factor, for instance in home management of chronic illness. A wide range of users are encountered, even for simple devices, ranging from patients to medics to technicians to nurses, and all have different skills and backgrounds, yet all need to be able to use devices rapidly and without error (or to manage the errors that occur). The traditional approaches of HCI are essential, but they are unable to cope with the complexity of typical modern interactive devices in the safety critical context of medical devices [12], [13]; this has led to a broad range of UCD methods (see e.g. [9], [7], [5], [11], [14]); however, much further work is necessary, especially to bridge both user models and system models. One very important aspect which concerns patients, medical professionals and hospital managers more than others is the tangible use of IT within their profession. Therefore it is obvious that the evaluation of new technology to emphasize the advantages, where clarifying the disadvantages is of inestimable value. The range of different usability evaluation methods and HCI research methods [3] can be confusing and occasionally lead to the use of an inappropriate method, which in worst case could lead to biased results.

# 2. AIMS OF THE WORKSHOP

Ideally this workshop is an appropriate setting for the investigation of multidisciplinary user interfaces in health care, from the point of view of patients, medical professionals and hospital managers, thereby corresponding to the three levels of Bronfenbrenner (1977) [2]: microlevel, mesolevel and macrolevel. Unlike last year's mini conference approach, the emphasis will be on discussion and exchange of ideas. We have hopes that this workshop will stimulate the production of a joint

publication (e.g. a volume of Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science) on the appropriate selection of evaluation methods for IT in healthcare and their effective application.

# **3. WORKSHOP TOPICS**

Topics include, but are not limited to: Formal Methods and Methodologies (including Agile Methods); Usability of Medical Information Systems; Human Aspects of Future Technologies in Health Care; Evaluation of Safety Issues for Healthcare; Usability Evaluation in Ambient Assisted Living and Life Long Learning, etc.

# 4. WORKSHOP APPROACH

This workshop will take a case-based approach, allowing participants to understand a set of scenarios, and contribute their perspectives onto that. This will highlight differences and disjunctions between approaches, focusing discussion and debate amongst the participants. The main aim of the day is to generate focused interest on specific problems and approaches.

# 5. WORKSHOP COMMITTEE

Ray Adams, Middlesex University London, UK; Sheikh Iqbal Ahamed, Marquette University, USA; Sue Bogner, LLC Bethesda, USA; Noelle Carbonell, Université Henri Poincare Nancy, France; Tiziana Catarci, Università di Roma La Sapienza, Italy; Wendy Chapman, University of Pittsburgh, US: Luca Chittaro, University of Udine, Italy; Matjaz Debevc, University of Maribor, Slovenia; Alan Dix, Lancaster University, UK; Judy Edworthy, University of Plymouth, UK; Peter L. Elkin, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, USA; Pier Luigi Emiliani, National Research Council, Florence, Italy; Daryle Gardner-Bonneau, Western Michigan University, US; Andrina Granic, University of Split, Croatia; Eduard Groeller, TU Wien, Austria; Sissel Guttormsen, University of Bern, Switzerland; Martin Hitz, University of Klagenfurt, Austria; Timo Honkela, Helsinki University of Technology, Finland; Ebba P. Hvannberg, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland; Julie Jacko, Georgia Institute of Technology, US; Chris Johnson, University of Glasgow, UK; Homa Javahery, Concordia University, Montreal, Canada; Erik Liljegren, Chalmers Technical University, Sweden; Zhengjie liu, Dalian Maritime University, China; Klaus Miesenberger, University of Linz, Austria; Silvia Miksch, Donau University Krems, Austria; Lisa Neal, Tufts University School of Medicine Boston, US; Shogo Nishida, Osaka University, Japan; Hiromu Nishitani, University of Tokushima, Japan; Nuno J Nunes, University of Madeira, Portugal; Anne-Sophie Nyssen, Université de Liege, Belgium; Erika Orrick, GE Healthcare, Carrollton, US; Philipe Palanque, Université Toulouse, France; Helen Petrie, University of York, UK; Margit Pohl, TU Wien, Austria; Robert W. Proctor, Purdue University, USA; Harald Reiterer, University of Konstanz, Germany; Wendy Rogers, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA; Anxo C. Roibas, University of Brighton, UK; Anthony Savidis, ICS FORTH, Heraklion, Greece; Albrecht Schmidt, Fraunhofer IAIS/B-IT, Uni Bonn, Germany; Andrew Sears, UMBC, Baltimore, US; Klaus-Martin Simonic, Medical University Graz, AT; Erich Sorantin, Medical University Graz, AT; A Min Tjoa, TU Wien, Austria; Berndt Urlesberger, Med. University of Graz, Austria; William Wong, Middlesex University, London, UK; Rainer Hofmann-Wellenhof, Medical University Graz, AT, Panaviotis Zaphiris, City University London, UK; Ping Zhang, Syracuse University, US; Jiajie Zhang, University of Texas Health Science Center, US

# 6. REFERENCES

- Beale, R., Supporting serendipity: Using ambient intelligence to augment user exploration for data mining and Web browsing, International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 65, 5, (2007), 421-433.
- [2] Bronfenbrenner, U., Toward an Experimental Ecology of Human-Development, American Psychologist, 32, 7, (1977), 513-531.
- [3] Cairns, P. and Cox, A. L., eds., Research Methods for Human-Computer Interaction, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (UK), 2008.
- [4] Edmondson, W. H. and Beale, R., Projected Cognition extending Distributed Cognition for the study of human interaction with computers, Interacting with Computers, 20, 1, (2008).
- [5] Holzinger, A., Application of Rapid Prototyping to the User Interface Development for a Virtual Medical Campus, IEEE Software, 21, 1, (2004), 92-99.
- [6] Holzinger, A., ed., HCI and Usability for Medicine and Health Care: 3rd Symposium of the WG HCI&UE of the Austrian Computer Society, USAB 2007 Lecture Notes in Computer Science (LNCS 4799), Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2007.
- [7] Holzinger, A., Usability Engineering for Software Developers, Communications of the ACM, 48, 1, (2005), 71-74.
- [8] Holzinger, A., Beale, R. and Thimbleby, H., Workshop HCI for Medicine and Health Care (HCI4MED), In Abuelmaatti, O. and England, D., eds., 23rd BCS Conference on People and Computers, British Computer Society, Liverpool, 2008, 191-192
- [9] Holzinger, A. and Errath, M., Mobile computer Webapplication design in medicine: some research based guidelines, Universal Access in the Information Society International Journal, 6, 1, (2007), 31-41.
- [10] Holzinger, A., Geierhofer, R., Modritscher, F. and Tatzl, R., Semantic Information in Medical Information Systems: Utilization of Text Mining Techniques to Analyze Medical Diagnoses, Journal of Universal Computer Science, 14, 22, (2008), 3781-3795.
- [11] Holzinger, A., Kickmeier-Rust, M. D., Wassertheurer, S. and Hessinger, M., Learning performance with interactive simulations in medical education: Lessons learned from results of learning complex physiological models with the HAEMOdynamics SIMulator, Computers & Education, 52, 2, (2009), 292-301.
- [12] Thimbleby, H., User-Centered Methods Are Insufficient for Safety Critical Systems, In Holzinger, A., ed., HCI and Usability for Medicine and Health Care: 3rd Symposium of the WG HCI&UE of the Austrian Computer Society, USAB 2007 (LNCS 4799), Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2007, 1-20.
- [13] Thimbleby, H. and Thimbleby, W., Internalist and Externalist HCI, HCI 2007, British Computer Society, Lancaster, 2007
- [14] Ziefle, M., The influence of user expertise and phone complexity on performance, ease of use and learnability of different mobile phones, Behaviour & Information Technology, 21, 5, (2002), 303-311.