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## Species

## Definition

Let $S$ denote the symmetric groupoid, the category consisting of sets $n=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ for objects $(0=\emptyset)$, and bijections for the morphisms.


Notice, all morphisms are automorphisms. This is a skeleton of FinBij, the maximal subgroupoid in FinSet.

## Definition ([Joy81])

A combinatorial species is a functor $F: S \rightarrow$ Set.

## Example

$S G: S \rightarrow$ Set by $S G(n)=$ the set of simple graphs with $n$ nodes.

(4) (3)


## Network models

## Definition ([BFMP20])

A network model is a symmetric lax monoidal functor of the form

$$
(F, \sqcup):(\mathrm{S},+) \rightarrow(\text { Mon }, \times) .
$$

"overlay" $\cup_{n}: F(n) \times F(n) \rightarrow F(n)$

$\cup$

and "disjoint union"
$\sqcup_{m, n}: F(m) \times F(n) \rightarrow F(m+n)$

$\sqcup$



## Example

- simple graphs
- directed edges
- multiple edges
- edge colors
- hypergraphs
- Petri nets


## Nonexample

acyclic graphs

## Operad from a network model

The original motivation for network models is to construct operads modeling network design:
$\operatorname{NetMod} \xrightarrow{\int} \mathrm{SMC} \xrightarrow{U}$ Oprd


## Constructing network models

## Construction

You can get a network model from any monoid. There's a functor Mon $\rightarrow$ NetMod given by $M \mapsto\left(n \mapsto M\binom{n}{2}\right.$.

## Example

- $M=(\mathbb{B},+)$ recovers the simple graphs network model.
- $M=(\mathbb{N},+)$ gives graphs with multiple (indistinguishable) edges.
- $M=\left(2^{X}, \cup\right)$ gives graphs with edges labeled in $X$.

But notice different edge components automatically commute with each other:
(1) $\frac{m_{1}}{-}(2)$
$\cup$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (4) } \overline{m_{2}}(3) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=
$$

(4) (3)

$\cup$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(m_{1}, 0,0,0,0,0\right) \cup\left(0, m_{2}, 0,0,0,0\right) \\
& \quad=\left(0, m_{2}, 0,0,0,0\right) \cup\left(m_{1}, 0,0,0,0,0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Can we define $\Gamma_{M}: S \rightarrow$ Set by
$\Gamma_{M}(n)=\coprod^{\binom{n}{2}} M$ ?

## Eckmann-Hilton for network models

Disjoint components must commute with each other: Let $a \in F(m)$ and $b \in F(n)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a \sqcup \emptyset) \cup(\emptyset \sqcup b) & =(a \cup \emptyset) \sqcup(\emptyset \cup b) \\
& =(\emptyset \cup a) \sqcup(b \cup \emptyset) \\
& =(\emptyset \sqcup b) \cup(a \sqcup \emptyset)
\end{aligned}
$$



So what this means is that we want to define $\Gamma_{M}$ to be $n \mapsto \coprod_{\binom{n}{2}} M / \sim$ where $\sim$ tells us to impose commutativity for edge components which are disjoint. Let's take a look at the first few levels to see how this relation looks.

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \mapsto 1, \quad 1 \mapsto 1, \quad 2 \mapsto M, \quad 3 \mapsto \coprod^{3} M, \\
4 \mapsto \coprod^{6} M /\left\langle a_{1,2} b_{3,4}=b_{3,4} a_{1,2}, a_{1,3} b_{2,4}=b_{2,4} a_{1,3}, a_{1,4} b_{2,3}=b_{2,3} a_{1,4}\right\rangle \\
5 \mapsto \coprod^{10} M /\left\langle a_{1,2} b_{3,4}=b_{3,4} a_{1,2}, a_{1,2} b_{3,4}=b_{3,4} a_{1,2}, a_{1,2} b_{3,5}=b_{3,5} a_{1,2},\right. \\
a_{1,3} b_{2,4}=b_{2,4} a_{1,3}, a_{1,3} b_{2,5}=b_{2,5} a_{1,3}, a_{1,3} b_{4,5}=b_{4,5} a_{1,3}, \\
a_{1,4} b_{2,3}=b_{2,3} a_{1,4}, a_{1,4} b_{2,5}=b_{2,5} a_{1,4}, a_{1,4} b_{3,5}=b_{3,5} a_{1,4} \\
a_{1,5} b_{2,3}=b_{2,3} a_{1,5}, a_{1,5} b_{2,4}=b_{2,4} a_{1,5}, a_{1,5} b_{3,4}=b_{3,4} a_{1,5}, \\
\left.a_{2,3} b_{4,5}=b_{4,5} a_{2,3}, a_{2,4} b_{3,5}=b_{3,5} a_{2,4}, a_{2,5} b_{3,4}=b_{3,4} a_{2,5}\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

 us to impose commutativity for edge components which are disjoint. Let's take a look at the first few levels to see how this relation looks.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \mapsto \coprod^{3} M \\
& 4 \mapsto \coprod^{6} M /\langle\odot \odot \odot \odot \odot \odot\rangle \\
& 5 \mapsto \coprod^{10} M /\langle\odot \odot \odot \odot \odot \\
& \bigcirc(-) \cdot() \cdot \\
& \odot \odot \odot \odot \ggg \gg
\end{aligned}
$$



## Graph products of monoids

## Definition ([Gre90, Vel01])

Let $G$ be a graph with $N$ nodes, and $M_{i}$ a family of $N$ monoids. The graph product is the monoid

$$
G\left(M_{i}\right)=\coprod M_{i} /\left\langle a_{k} b_{\ell}=b_{\ell} a_{k} \text { if }(k, \ell) \in G\right\rangle .
$$

Define IC: SimpleGrph $\rightarrow$ Cat by


Let $D: I C(G) \rightarrow$ Mon be the diagram


## Proposition (M.)

$$
G\left(M_{i}\right) \cong \operatorname{colim} D .
$$

Now for a given monoid $M$ we define a network model $\Gamma_{M}:(S,+, 0) \rightarrow(M o n, \times, 1)$ by $n \mapsto K G_{n, 2}(M)$.

## Theorem (M.)

$\Gamma_{M}$ defined above is a network model. Moreover, we have an adjunction

where NetMod ${ }^{+}$is the subcategory of NetMod of network models with trivial involution (thanks to Mike Shulman for pointing out a mistake in the original).

Network models with trivial involution are essentially "undirected network models" (thanks Mike Shulman).
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