

6 Computation Theory (amp12)

(a) Explain why the Church-Rosser Theorem implies that any  $\lambda$ -term that is  $\beta$ -convertible ( $=_\beta$ ) to a term in  $\beta$ -normal form is in fact  $\beta$ -reducible ( $\rightarrow$ ) to one in  $\beta$ -normal form. [2 marks]

(b) Let  $Bnf$  denote the set of  $\lambda$ -terms that have a  $\beta$ -normal form. Give with justification an example of two closed  $\lambda$ -terms  $I$  and  $\Omega$  with  $I \in Bnf$  and  $\Omega \notin Bnf$ . [3 marks]

(c) Suppose that  $\#$  is a bijection between the set of all  $\lambda$ -terms and the set  $\mathbb{N}$  of all natural numbers and that there are recursive functions  $\alpha : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and  $\nu : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  satisfying for all  $\lambda$ -terms  $M, N$  and numbers  $n$  that

$$\alpha(\#(M), \#(N)) = \#(M N) \tag{1}$$

$$\nu(n) = \#(\underline{n}) \tag{2}$$

where the  $\lambda$ -term  $\underline{n}$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Church numeral. Writing  $\ulcorner M \urcorner$  for  $\#(M)$ , show that there are closed  $\lambda$ -terms **App** and **Num** satisfying for all  $\lambda$ -terms  $M, N$  that

$$\mathbf{App} \ulcorner M \urcorner \ulcorner N \urcorner =_\beta \ulcorner M N \urcorner \tag{3}$$

$$\mathbf{Num} \ulcorner N \urcorner =_\beta \ulcorner \ulcorner N \urcorner \urcorner \tag{4}$$

(Any general properties of partial recursive functions with respect to  $\lambda$ -calculus you use should be carefully stated, but need not be proved.) [6 marks]

(d) Consider the following property of a closed  $\lambda$ -term  $F$  (where  $\ulcorner M \urcorner$  is as in part (c)):

$$\text{for all } \lambda\text{-terms } M, \quad \begin{cases} F \ulcorner M \urcorner =_\beta \underline{0} & \text{if } M \in Bnf \\ F \ulcorner M \urcorner =_\beta \underline{1} & \text{if } M \notin Bnf \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

Let  $H = \lambda h. P \Omega I (F (\mathbf{App} h (\mathbf{Num} h)))$  where  $P = \lambda x y f. f (\lambda z. y) x$  and  $\Omega$  and  $I$  are as in part (b). By considering whether or not  $H \ulcorner H \urcorner$  is in  $Bnf$ , deduce that there can be no  $\lambda$ -term  $F$  satisfying (7). [6 marks]

(e) Deduce from part (d) that  $\{\#(M) \mid M \in Bnf\}$  is an undecidable set of numbers. [3 marks]