

5 Denotational Semantics (MPF)

(a) (i) Give the grammar defining the PCF expressions that are values. [2 marks]

(ii) Prove or disprove that, for every PCF type τ , there is a closed PCF expression that is not a value of type τ . [2 marks]

(b) (i) Define the contextual-equivalence relation $M \cong_{\text{ctx}} N : \tau$ for pairs of closed PCF expressions M, N and a PCF type τ . [2 marks]

(ii) Prove or disprove that

$$(\mathbf{fn} \ n : \mathit{nat}. n) \cong_{\text{ctx}} (\mathbf{fn} \ n : \mathit{nat}. \mathbf{succ}(\mathbf{pred}(n))) : \mathit{nat} \rightarrow \mathit{nat}$$

[2 marks]

(c) For every pair of closed PCF expressions M, N of type nat , let $F_{M,N}$ be the closed PCF expression of type $(\mathit{nat} \rightarrow \mathit{nat}) \rightarrow (\mathit{nat} \rightarrow \mathit{nat})$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{fn} \ f : \mathit{nat} \rightarrow \mathit{nat}. \mathbf{fn} \ n : \mathit{nat}. \\ & \quad \mathbf{if} \ \mathbf{zero}(n) \ \mathbf{then} \ M \\ & \quad \mathbf{else} \ \mathbf{if} \ \mathbf{zero}(\mathbf{pred}(n)) \ \mathbf{then} \ N \\ & \quad \mathbf{else} \ \mathbf{succ}(f(\mathbf{pred}(n))) \end{aligned}$$

(i) Give an explicit description of $\llbracket \mathbf{fix}(F_{M,N}) \rrbracket \in (\mathbb{N}_\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_\perp)$ in terms of $\llbracket M \rrbracket, \llbracket N \rrbracket \in \mathbb{N}_\perp$. Justify your answer. [8 marks]

(ii) Prove or disprove that there are closed PCF expressions M, N of type nat such that $\mathbf{fix}(F_{M,N}) \cong_{\text{ctx}} (\mathbf{fn} \ n : \mathit{nat}. \mathbf{pred}(n)) : \mathit{nat} \rightarrow \mathit{nat}$. You may use any standard results provided that you state them clearly. [4 marks]