

2008 Paper 12 Question 6

Compiler Construction

Consider the following grammar for expressions (where `Id` is a terminal symbol representing an identifier resulting from lexical analysis):

$$\text{Expr} ::= 1 \mid 2 \mid \text{Id} \mid \text{Expr} + \text{Expr} \mid \text{Expr} / \text{Expr} \mid \\ \text{Expr} \wedge \text{Expr} \mid (\text{Expr})$$

- (a) Explain in what principal respect this grammar is unsatisfactory. [1 mark]
- (b) Assuming further that `+` is to be left-associative, `\wedge` is to be right-associative and `/` is to be *non-associative* (i.e. `2/2/2` is forbidden but `(2/2)/2` and `2/(2/2)` are allowed), re-write the grammar to reflect this. [4 marks]
- (c) List the terminal symbols and non-terminal symbols, and count the production rules both in the original grammar and in the grammar in your answer to part (b). Indicate the *start symbol* in both grammars. [2 marks]
- (d) Define a type or types (in C, Java, or ML) suitable for holding an abstract syntax tree resulting from your answer to part (b). [2 marks]
- (e) Give a brief and elementary explanation of the principles of how the grammar resulting from part (b) might be used to create a syntax analyser taking a token stream as input (via calls to function `lex()`) and giving as output an abstract syntax tree corresponding to part (d). Mention both hand-written and automatically-generated syntax analysers. [8 marks]
- (f) Summarise any issues related to left- or right-associative operators in the two techniques (in implementing the parser and in constructing the tool) you outlined in part (e). [3 marks]