

Complexity Theory

Lecture 11

<http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/teaching/2324/Complexity>

Configuration Graph

Define the *configuration graph* of M, x to be the graph whose nodes are the possible configurations, and there is an edge from i to j if, and only if, $i \rightarrow_M j$.

Then, M accepts x if, and only if, some accepting configuration is reachable from the starting configuration $(s, \triangleright, x, \triangleright, \varepsilon)$ in the configuration graph of M, x .

Using the $O(n^2)$ algorithm for **Reachability**, we get that $L(M)$ —the language accepted by M —can be decided by a deterministic machine operating in time

$$c'(nc^{f(n)})^2 \sim c'c^{2(\log n+f(n))} \sim k^{(\log n+f(n))}$$

In particular, this establishes that $\text{NL} \subseteq \text{P}$ and $\text{NPSPACE} \subseteq \text{EXP}$.

We can construct an algorithm to show that the **Reachability** problem is in **NL**:

1. write the index of node a in the work space;
2. if i is the index currently written on the work space:
 - 2.1 if $i = b$ then accept, else
guess an index j ($\log n$ bits) and write it on the work space.
 - 2.2 if (i, j) is not an edge, reject, else replace i by j and return to (2).

Savitch's Theorem

Further simulation results for nondeterministic space are obtained by other algorithms for *Reachability*.

We can show that *Reachability* can be solved by a *deterministic* algorithm in $O((\log n)^2)$ space.

Consider the following recursive algorithm for determining whether there is a path from a to b of length at most i .

$O((\log n)^2)$ space Reachability algorithm:

$\text{Path}(a, b, i)$

if $i = 1$ and $a \neq b$ and (a, b) is not an edge reject

else if (a, b) is an edge or $a = b$ accept

else, for each node x , check:

1. $\text{Path}(a, x, \lfloor i/2 \rfloor)$

2. $\text{Path}(x, b, \lceil i/2 \rceil)$

if such an x is found, then accept, else reject.

The maximum depth of recursion is $\log n$, and the number of bits of information kept at each stage is $3 \log n$.

Savitch's Theorem

The space efficient algorithm for reachability used on the configuration graph of a nondeterministic machine shows:

$$\text{NSPACE}(f) \subseteq \text{SPACE}(f^2)$$

for $f(n) \geq \log n$.

This yields

$$\text{PSPACE} = \text{NPSPACE} = \text{co-NPSPACE}.$$

Complementation

A still more clever algorithm for **Reachability** has been used to show that nondeterministic space classes are closed under complementation:

If $f(n) \geq \log n$, then

$$\text{NSPACE}(f) = \text{co-NSPACE}(f)$$

In particular

$$\text{NL} = \text{co-NL}.$$

Logarithmic Space Reductions

We write

$$A \leq_L B$$

if there is a reduction f of A to B that is computable by a deterministic Turing machine using $O(\log n)$ workspace (with a *read-only* input tape and *write-only* output tape).

Note: We can compose \leq_L reductions. So,

$$\text{if } A \leq_L B \text{ and } B \leq_L C \text{ then } A \leq_L C$$

NP-complete Problems

Analysing carefully the reductions we constructed in our proofs of NP-completeness, we can see that SAT and the various other NP-complete problems are actually complete under \leq_L reductions.

Thus, if $SAT \leq_L A$ for some problem A in L then not only $P = NP$ but also $L = NP$.

P-complete Problems

It makes little sense to talk of complete problems for the class P with respect to polynomial time reducibility \leq_P .

There are problems that are complete for P with respect to *logarithmic space* reductions \leq_L .

One example is CVP —the circuit value problem.

That is, for every language A in P ,

$$A \leq_L CVP$$

- If $CVP \in L$ then $L = P$.
- If $CVP \in NL$ then $NL = P$.