

# Complexity Theory

## Lecture 12

Anuj Dawar

<http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/teaching/2223/Complexity>

# Circuits

A circuit is a directed graph  $G = (V, E)$ , with  $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$  together with a labeling:  $l : V \rightarrow \{\text{true}, \text{false}, \wedge, \vee, \neg\}$ , satisfying:

- If there is an edge  $(i, j)$ , then  $i < j$ ;
- Every node in  $V$  has *indegree* at most 2.
- A node  $v$  has
  - indegree 0 iff  $l(v) \in \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$ ;
  - indegree 1 iff  $l(v) = \neg$ ;
  - indegree 2 iff  $l(v) \in \{\vee, \wedge\}$

The value of the expression is given by the value at node  $n$ .

# CVP

A circuit is a more compact way of representing a Boolean expression.

*Identical subexpressions need not be repeated.*

**CVP** - the *circuit value problem* is, given a circuit, determine the value of the result node  $n$ .

**CVP** is solvable in polynomial time, by the algorithm which examines the nodes in increasing order, assigning a value **true** or **false** to each node.

**CVP** is complete for **P** under **L** reductions.  
That is, for every language  $A$  in **P**,

$$A \leq_L \text{CVP}$$

# Reachability

Similarly, it can be shown that **Reachability** is, in fact, **NL**-complete.

*For any language  $A \in \text{NL}$ , we have  $A \leq_L \text{Reachability}$*

$L = \text{NL}$  if, and only if,  $\text{Reachability} \in L$

*Note:* it is known that the reachability problem for *undirected* graphs is in  $L$ .

# Provable Intractability

Our aim now is to show that there are languages (*or, equivalently, decision problems*) that we can prove are not in  $P$ .

This is done by showing that, for every *reasonable* function  $f$ , there is a language that is not in  $\text{TIME}(f)$ .

The proof is based on the diagonal method, as in the proof of the undecidability of the halting problem.

# Time Hierarchy Theorem

For any constructible function  $f$ , with  $f(n) \geq n$ , define the  $f$ -bounded *halting language* to be:

$$H_f = \{[M], x \mid M \text{ accepts } x \text{ in } f(|x|) \text{ steps}\}$$

where  $[M]$  is a description of  $M$  in some fixed encoding scheme.

Then, we can show

$$H_f \in \text{TIME}(f(n)^2) \text{ and } H_f \notin \text{TIME}(f(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor))$$

## Time Hierarchy Theorem

For any constructible function  $f(n) \geq n$ ,  $\text{TIME}(f(n))$  is properly contained in  $\text{TIME}(f(2n+1)^2)$ .

# Strong Hierarchy Theorems

For any constructible function  $f(n) \geq n$ ,  $\text{TIME}(f(n))$  is properly contained in  $\text{TIME}(f(n)(\log f(n)))$ .

## Space Hierarchy Theorem

For any pair of constructible functions  $f$  and  $g$ , with  $f = O(g)$  and  $g \neq O(f)$ , there is a language in  $\text{SPACE}(g(n))$  that is not in  $\text{SPACE}(f(n))$ .

Similar results can be established for nondeterministic time and space classes.

# Consequences

- For each  $k$ ,  $\text{TIME}(n^k) \neq P$ .
- $P \neq \text{EXP}$ .
- Any language that is  $\text{EXP}$ -complete is not in  $P$ .
- $L \neq \text{PSPACE}$ .
- $\text{NL} \neq \text{PSPACE}$ .
- There are no problems in  $P$  that are complete under linear time reductions.

# The End

Please provide *feedback*, using the link sent to you by e-mail.