

Errata for the *Semantics of Programming Languages* Notes

Peter Sewell

Time-stamp: <2003-05-19 15:08:54 pes20>

The updated notes available on the course web page includes all these corrections, together with other improvements.

- Slide 51, *Executing L1 in mosml*, omitted a definition of **skip** = (). It should read:

L1 is essentially a fragment of mosml - given a typable L1 expression e and an initial store s , e can be executed in mosml by wrapping it

```
let val skip = ()
    and l1 = ref n1
    and l2 = ref n2
    ..
    and lk = ref nk
in
  e
end;
```

where s is the store $\{l_1 \mapsto n_1, \dots, l_k \mapsto n_k\}$ and all locations that occur in e are contained in $\{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$. (watch out for ~ 1 and -1).

- The printing process misaligned the $\mapsto$ arrows used for writing partial maps. They should be rendered with the short vertical at the left end of the arrow. Negated turnstiles $\nvdash$ were also misprinted; they should render as a turnstile $\vdash$ with a slash through it.
- The l1 code omitted a clause for **if**.
- On Slide 92, the second clause of the definition of substitution should read

$$\{e/z\}(\mathbf{fn } x:T \Rightarrow e_1) = \mathbf{fn } x:T \Rightarrow (\{e/z\}e_1) \quad \text{if } x \neq z (*) \text{ and } x \notin \text{fv}(e) (*)$$

if (*) is not true, we first have to pick an alpha-variant of $\mathbf{fn } x:T \Rightarrow e_1$ to make it so (always can)

- On Page 13, the two $\mathbb{N}$ should be $\mathbb{Z}$.
- On Slide 112, the last expression should be **let val rec** $f:T_1 \rightarrow T_2 = \mathbf{fn } x:T_1 \Rightarrow e_1$ **in** e_2 **end**.
- On Page 73, two () should be **skip**.
- One of the L3 rules for records was omitted – add:

$$(\text{record3}) \quad \frac{\langle e, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle}{\langle \#lab_i e, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \#lab_i e', s' \rangle}$$

(a) (an errata erratum) That rule has e' not e on the right of the conclusion

- One of the L3 rules for references was omitted – add:

$$(\text{loc}) \quad \frac{\Gamma(\ell) = T \text{ ref}}{\Gamma \vdash \ell: T \text{ ref}}$$

- The statement of store typing for L3 was missing a **ref**; it should be

$$\Gamma \vdash s \text{ if } \forall \ell \in \text{dom}(s). \exists T. \Gamma(\ell) = T \text{ ref} \wedge \Gamma \vdash s(\ell):T.$$

- In the proof of Determinacy, the start of the $e_1 \text{ op } e_2$ case had a bogus $l :=$. It should read:

Case $e_1 \text{ op } e_2$. Suppose $\Phi(e_1)$ and $\Phi(e_2)$.

Take arbitrary s, e', s', e'', s'' such that $\langle e_1 \text{ op } e_2, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \wedge \langle e_1 \text{ op } e_2, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle e'', s'' \rangle$.

12. The precise definition of the Ordered 2PL discipline should be as below

Slide 1

Now can make the Ordered 2PL Discipline precise

Say e obeys the discipline if for any (finite or infinite)

$e \xrightarrow{a_1} e_1 \xrightarrow{a_2} e_2 \xrightarrow{a_3} \dots$

- if a_i is $(l_j := n)$ or $(!l_j = n)$ then for some $k < i$ we have $a_k = \mathbf{lock} \ m_j$ without an intervening $\mathbf{unlock} \ m_j$.
- for each j , the subsequence of $a_1, a_2, \dots$ with labels $\mathbf{lock} \ m_j$ and $\mathbf{unlock} \ m_j$ is a prefix of $((\mathbf{lock} \ m_j)(\mathbf{unlock} \ m_j))^*$. Moreover, if $\neg(e_k \xrightarrow{a})$ then the subsequence does not end in a $\mathbf{lock} \ m_j$.
- if $a_i = \mathbf{lock} \ m_j$ and $a_{i'} = \mathbf{unlock} \ m_{j'}$ then $i < i'$
- if $a_i = \mathbf{lock} \ m_j$ and $a_{i'} = \mathbf{lock} \ m_{j'}$ and $i < i'$ then $j < j'$

13. The precise definition of deadlock-freedom should have an s' instead of an s in the final state.