

Topic 7

Relating Denotational and Operational Semantics

Recall:

PCF denotational semantics – aims

- PCF types $\tau \mapsto$ domains $[[\tau]]$.
- Closed PCF terms $M : \tau \mapsto$ elements $[[M]] \in [[\tau]]$.
Denotations of open terms will be continuous functions.

- **Compositionality.**

In particular: $[[M]] = [[M']] \Rightarrow [[C[M]]] = [[C[M']]]$.

- **Soundness.**

For any type τ , $M \Downarrow_{\tau} V \Rightarrow [[M]] = [[V]]$.

- **Adequacy.**

For $\tau = \text{bool}$ or nat , $[[M]] = [[V]] \in [[\tau]] \implies M \Downarrow_{\tau} V$.

e.g. if $M : \text{nat}$ & $[[M]] = n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $M \Downarrow_{\text{nat}} \text{succ}^n(0)$

Recall:

Theorem. For all types τ and closed terms $M_1, M_2 \in \text{PCF}_\tau$, if $\llbracket M_1 \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket$ are equal elements of the domain $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket$, then $M_1 \cong_{\text{ctx}} M_2 : \tau$.

Proof.

$$\mathcal{C}[M_1] \Downarrow_{\text{nat}} V \Rightarrow \llbracket \mathcal{C}[M_1] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \quad (\text{soundness})$$

$$\Rightarrow \llbracket \mathcal{C}[M_2] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \quad (\text{compositionality} \\ \text{on } \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket = \llbracket M_2 \rrbracket)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}[M_2] \Downarrow_{\text{nat}} V \quad (\text{adequacy})$$

and symmetrically (*& similarly for \Downarrow_{bool}*). □

Soundness

Proposition. For all closed terms $M, V \in \text{PCF}_\tau$,

if $M \Downarrow_\tau V$ then $\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$.

Proof: by rule induction for $M \Downarrow_\tau V$

Induction step for $(\Downarrow_{\text{cbn}})$

$$\frac{M_1 \Downarrow_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} \text{fn } x:\tau \ M \quad M[M_2/x] \Downarrow_{\tau'} V}{M_1 M_2 \Downarrow_{\tau'} V}$$

Suppose $\begin{cases} \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{fn } x:\tau. M \rrbracket \\ \llbracket M[M_2/x] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \end{cases}$

Have to prove $\llbracket M_1 M_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$.

$$\text{Induction step for } (\Downarrow_{\text{cbv}}) \frac{M_1 \Downarrow_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} \text{fn } x:\tau M \quad M[M_2/x] \Downarrow_{\tau'} V}{M_1 M_2 \Downarrow_{\tau'} V}$$

$$\text{Suppose } \begin{cases} \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{fn } x:\tau. M \rrbracket \\ \llbracket M[M_2/x] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \end{cases}$$

Have to prove $\llbracket M_1 M_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$.

$$\text{But } \llbracket M_1 M_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket)$$

by definition
of $\llbracket - \rrbracket$

$$\text{Induction step for } (\Downarrow_{\text{cbv}}) \frac{M_1 \Downarrow_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} \text{fn } x:\tau \ M \quad M[M_2/x] \Downarrow_{\tau'} V}{M_1 M_2 \Downarrow_{\tau'} V}$$

Suppose $\begin{cases} \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{fn } x:\tau. M \rrbracket \\ \llbracket M[M_2/x] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \end{cases}$

Have to prove $\llbracket M_1 M_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } \llbracket M_1 M_2 \rrbracket &= \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket) \\ &= \llbracket \text{fn } x:\tau. M \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket) \\ &\quad \lambda d \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket. \llbracket x \mapsto \tau \vdash M \rrbracket (d) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Induction step for } (\Downarrow_{\text{cbv}}) \frac{M_1 \Downarrow_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} \text{fn } x : \tau \ M \quad M[M_2/x] \Downarrow_{\tau'} V}{M_1 M_2 \Downarrow_{\tau'} V}$$

Suppose $\begin{cases} \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{fn } x : \tau. M \rrbracket \\ \llbracket M[M_2/x] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \end{cases}$

Have to prove $\llbracket M_1 M_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$.

But $\llbracket M_1 M_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket)$
 $= \llbracket \text{fn } x : \tau. M \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket)$
 $= \llbracket x \mapsto \tau \vdash M \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket)$

by definition
of $\llbracket - \rrbracket$

Substitution property

Proposition. Suppose that $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ and that $\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau] \vdash M' : \tau'$, so that we also have $\Gamma \vdash M'[M/x] : \tau'$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M'[M/x] \rrbracket (\rho) \\ &= \llbracket \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau] \vdash M' \rrbracket (\rho[x \mapsto \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket (\rho)]) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\rho \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$. (Proved by induction on structure of M')

In particular when $\Gamma = \emptyset$, $\llbracket x \mapsto \tau \vdash M' \rrbracket : \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket$ and

$$\boxed{\llbracket M'[M/x] \rrbracket = \llbracket x \mapsto \tau \vdash M' \rrbracket (\llbracket M \rrbracket)}$$

$$\text{Induction step for } (\Downarrow_{\text{cbv}}) \frac{M_1 \Downarrow_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} \text{fn } x : \tau \ M \quad M[M_2/x] \Downarrow_{\tau'} V}{M_1 M_2 \Downarrow_{\tau'} V}$$

$$\text{Suppose } \begin{cases} \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{fn } x : \tau. M \rrbracket \\ \llbracket M[M_2/x] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \end{cases}$$

Have to prove $\llbracket M_1 M_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } \llbracket M_1 M_2 \rrbracket &= \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket) \\ &= \llbracket \text{fn } x : \tau. M \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket) \\ &= \llbracket x \mapsto \tau \vdash M \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket) \\ &= \llbracket M[M_2/x] \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Induction step for } (\Downarrow_{\text{cbv}}) \frac{M_1 \Downarrow_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} \text{fn } x : \tau \ M \quad M[M_2/x] \Downarrow_{\tau'} V}{M_1 M_2 \Downarrow_{\tau'} V}$$

$$\text{Suppose } \begin{cases} \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{fn } x : \tau. M \rrbracket \\ \llbracket M[M_2/x] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \end{cases}$$

Have to prove $\llbracket M_1 M_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } \llbracket M_1 M_2 \rrbracket &= \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket) \\ &= \llbracket \text{fn } x : \tau. M \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket) \\ &= \llbracket x \mapsto \tau \vdash M \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket) \\ &= \llbracket M[M_2/x] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Adequacy

For any closed PCF terms M and V of *ground* type $\gamma \in \{\text{nat}, \text{bool}\}$ with V a value

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \in \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket \implies M \Downarrow_{\gamma} V .$$

Adequacy

For any closed PCF terms M and V of *ground type*
 $\gamma \in \{nat, bool\}$ with V a value

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \in \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket \implies M \Downarrow_{\gamma} V .$$

NB. Adequacy does not hold at function types

Adequacy

For any closed PCF terms M and V of *ground* type $\gamma \in \{\text{nat}, \text{bool}\}$ with V a value

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \in \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket \implies M \Downarrow_{\gamma} V.$$

NB. Adequacy does not hold at function types:

$$\llbracket \mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau. (\mathbf{fn} \ y : \tau. y) \ x \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau. x \rrbracket : \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$$

$$\lambda d \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket. d$$

Adequacy

For any closed PCF terms M and V of *ground* type $\gamma \in \{nat, bool\}$ with V a value

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \in \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket \implies M \Downarrow_{\gamma} V .$$

NB. Adequacy does not hold at function types:

$$\llbracket \mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau . (\mathbf{fn} \ y : \tau . y) \ x \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau . x \rrbracket : \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$$

but

$$\mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau . (\mathbf{fn} \ y : \tau . y) \ x \not\Downarrow_{\tau \rightarrow \tau} \mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau . x$$

Adequacy proof idea

1. We cannot proceed to prove the adequacy statement by a straightforward induction on the structure of terms.

► Consider M to be $M_1 M_2, \text{fix}(M')$.

↑
of type
nat
or
bool

↑ ↑
 M_1 & M'
are of function type

Adequacy proof idea

1. We cannot proceed to prove the adequacy statement by a straightforward induction on the structure of terms.
 - ▶ Consider M to be $M_1 M_2, \mathbf{fix}(M')$.
2. So we proceed to prove a stronger statement that applies to terms of arbitrary types and implies adequacy.

Adequacy proof idea

1. We cannot proceed to prove the adequacy statement by a straightforward induction on the structure of terms.
 - ▶ Consider M to be $M_1 M_2$, $\mathbf{fix}(M')$.
2. So we proceed to prove a stronger statement that applies to terms of arbitrary types and implies adequacy.

This statement roughly takes the form:

$$\boxed{[[M]] \triangleleft_{\tau} M \text{ for all types } \tau \text{ and all } M \in \text{PCF}_{\tau}}$$

where the *formal approximation relations*

$$\triangleleft_{\tau} \subseteq [[\tau]] \times \text{PCF}_{\tau}$$

← closed PCF terms of type τ

are *logically* chosen to allow a proof by induction.

Requirements on the formal approximation relations, I

We want that, for $\gamma \in \{nat, bool\}$,

$$[[M]] \triangleleft_{\gamma} M \text{ implies } \underbrace{\forall V ([[M] = [V] \implies M \Downarrow_{\gamma} V)}_{\text{adequacy}}$$

Definition of $d \triangleleft_{\gamma} M$ ($d \in \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, M \in \text{PCF}_{\gamma}$)
for $\gamma \in \{\text{nat}, \text{bool}\}$

$$d \triangleleft_{\text{nat}} M \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (d \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow M \Downarrow_{\text{nat}} \mathbf{succ}^d(\mathbf{0}))$$

$$d \triangleleft_{\text{bool}} M \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (d = \text{true} \Rightarrow M \Downarrow_{\text{bool}} \mathbf{true}) \\ \& (d = \text{false} \Rightarrow M \Downarrow_{\text{bool}} \mathbf{false})$$

Definition of $d \triangleleft_{\gamma} M$ ($d \in \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, M \in \text{PCF}_{\gamma}$)
for $\gamma \in \{\text{nat}, \text{bool}\}$

$$d \triangleleft_{\text{nat}} M \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (d \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow M \Downarrow_{\text{nat}} \text{succ}^d(0))$$

$$d \triangleleft_{\text{bool}} M \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (d = \text{true} \Rightarrow M \Downarrow_{\text{bool}} \text{true}) \\ \& (d = \text{false} \Rightarrow M \Downarrow_{\text{bool}} \text{false})$$

↙ equivalently:

$$d = \perp \vee (d \in \mathbb{N} \wedge M \Downarrow_{\text{nat}} \text{succ}^d(0))$$

Definition of

$$f \triangleleft_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} M \quad (f \in ([\tau] \rightarrow [\tau']), M \in \text{PCF}_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'})$$

Definition of

$$f \triangleleft_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} M \quad (f \in ([\tau] \rightarrow [\tau']), M \in \text{PCF}_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'})$$

$$f \triangleleft_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} M$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \forall d \in [\tau], N \in \text{PCF}_{\tau}$$

$$(d \triangleleft_{\tau} N \Rightarrow f(d) \triangleleft_{\tau'} M N)$$

The full

Definition of $d \triangleleft_{\tau} M$ ($d \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket, M \in \text{PCF}_{\tau}$)

$$d \triangleleft_{nat} M \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (d \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow M \Downarrow_{nat} \mathbf{succ}^d(\mathbf{0}))$$

$$d \triangleleft_{bool} M \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (d = true \Rightarrow M \Downarrow_{bool} \mathbf{true}) \\ \& (d = false \Rightarrow M \Downarrow_{bool} \mathbf{false})$$

$$d \triangleleft_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} M \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \forall e, N (e \triangleleft_{\tau} N \Rightarrow d(e) \triangleleft_{\tau'} M N)$$

Fundamental property

Theorem. For all $\Gamma = [x_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto \tau_n]$ and all $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$, if $d_1 \triangleleft_{\tau_1} M_1, \dots, d_n \triangleleft_{\tau_n} M_n$ then $[[\Gamma \vdash M]][x_1 \mapsto d_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto d_n] \triangleleft_{\tau} M[M_1/x_1, \dots, M_n/x_n]$.

Fundamental property

Theorem. For all $\Gamma = [x_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto \tau_n]$ and all $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$, if $d_1 \triangleleft_{\tau_1} M_1, \dots, d_n \triangleleft_{\tau_n} M_n$ then $[[\Gamma \vdash M]][x_1 \mapsto d_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto d_n] \triangleleft_{\tau} M[M_1/x_1, \dots, M_n/x_n]$.

NB. The case $\Gamma = \emptyset$ reduces to

$$[[M]] \triangleleft_{\tau} M$$

for all $M \in \text{PCF}_{\tau}$.

Requirements on the formal approximation relations, III

We want to be able to proceed by induction.

▶ Consider the case $M = \mathbf{fix}(M')$.

\rightsquigarrow *admissibility* property

Admissibility property

Lemma. For all types τ and $M \in \text{PCF}_\tau$, the set

$$\{ d \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \mid d \triangleleft_\tau M \}$$

is an admissible subset of $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket$.

(Easy proof by induction on structure of types τ .)

Further properties

Lemma. For all types τ , elements $d, d' \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$, and terms $M, N, V \in \text{PCF}_\tau$,

1. If $d \sqsubseteq d'$ and $d' \triangleleft_\tau M$ then $d \triangleleft_\tau M$.
2. If $d \triangleleft_\tau M$ and $\forall V (M \Downarrow_\tau V \implies N \Downarrow_\tau V)$ then $d \triangleleft_\tau N$.

(Easy proofs by induction on structure of types τ .)

Fundamental property of the relations \triangleleft_τ

Proposition. If $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ is a valid PCF typing, then for all Γ -environments ρ and all Γ -substitutions σ

$$\rho \triangleleft_\Gamma \sigma \Rightarrow \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket(\rho) \triangleleft_\tau M[\sigma]$$

(Proof by rule induction for $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ — see sect. 7.2)

- $\rho \triangleleft_\Gamma \sigma$ means that $\rho(x) \triangleleft_{\Gamma(x)} \sigma(x)$ holds for each $x \in \text{dom}(\Gamma)$.
- $M[\sigma]$ is the PCF term resulting from the simultaneous substitution of $\sigma(x)$ for x in M , each $x \in \text{dom}(\Gamma)$.

Proof of Adequacy property

Case $\gamma = \text{nat}$.

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$$

$$\implies \llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{succ}^n(\mathbf{0}) \rrbracket \quad \text{for some } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\implies n = \llbracket M \rrbracket \triangleleft_{\gamma} M$$

by Fundamental Property

$$\implies M \Downarrow \text{succ}^n(\mathbf{0})$$

by definition of $\triangleleft_{\text{nat}}$

Case $\gamma = \text{bool}$ is similar.